CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

08-SBd-95 DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	PM 9.7/33.6 P.M./P.M.	1F131/0815000211 E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local F	Project)/Project No.
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	(Briefly describe proje	ect including need, purp		
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.) Work within the Typical Footprint includes Cold in Place Recycling and Upgrading Concrete Dikes, which will occur from Existing EP to EP, and reestablishing shoulder backing within existing graded area from EP to Berm or Toe of cut slope. There are Exceptions to this typical footprint at 2 potential Contractor Staging Areas where materials and equipment may be stored. All work is within State Right-of-Way and additional right-of-way acquisition is not required.				
CEQA COMPLIANCE (for State Projects only)				
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply (See 14 CCR 15300 et seq.): If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.				
CALTRANS CEQA DETER	MINATION (Check	one)		
Not Applicable – Caltrans is	not the CEQA Lead		plicable – Caltrans has prepared ntal impact Report under CEQA	d an Initial Study or
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3].)				
Gabrielle Duff	,	F° Mahmuda		,
Print Name: Senior Environmental P	anner or	Print Name:		
Environmental Branch Chief			Project Manager	
Environmental Branch Chief	Duth 5/4	H18 M	and Are	5/4/18
Signature	5/4 Date	H/18 Signature	and And	5/4/18 Date
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums	7, and based on an exvely have a significant ronmental Assessmental ances pursuant to 23	Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature	sal and supporting information, the	State has
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Envi	7, and based on an exvely have a significant ronmental Assessmental ances pursuant to 23	Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature	sal and supporting information, the	State has
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envioration has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circuments to prepare an Certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): accidental control of the cont	7, and based on an except have a significant ronmental Assessmentances pursuant to 23 MINATION (Check etermined that this promotion EA or EIS under the responsibility to mum of Understanding at is a Categorical Exceptivity (c)(26)	Signature Signature Camination of this proposition in the environmental (EA) or Environmental (ER) or Enviro	sal and supporting information, the ment as defined by NEPA, and is existed in the same of	s State has coluded from the ined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby Juited States Code,
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Envi • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has doubted that there are no unusual circuments to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): according 123 CFR 771.117(d): according 123 USC 327: Based on an exact Categorical Exclusion under 2	7, and based on an expely have a significant ronmental Assessmentances pursuant to 23 MINATION (Check etermined that this promote as describing EA or EIS under the responsibility to mum of Understanding the activity (c)(26) tivity (d)() Appendix A of the Mamination of this proper units project are being reliable to the project are being reliable to the mamination of this proper units project are being reliable to 237.	Signature camination of this proportion of the environment (EA) or Environmental CFR 771.117(b). ck one) oject has no significant ed in 23 CFR 771.117(b). National Environmental ake this determination dated May 31, 2016, exclusion under: OU between FHWA are osal and supporting inforonmental review, consig, or have been, carried	sal and supporting information, the ment as defined by NEPA, and is explained in the statement (EIS), and impacts on the environment as defined. As such, the project is categorical Policy Act. The State has been as pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Lecuted between the FHWA and the late of the state mation, the State has determined cultation, and any other actions required out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 US	ined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby Inited States Code, a State. The State that the project is a uired by applicable
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Envi • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETERI 23 USC 326: The State has doubted that there are no unusual circuments to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): according 123 USC 327: Based on an exact Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for the section 1 and 1	7, and based on an expely have a significant ronmental Assessmentances pursuant to 23 MINATION (Check etermined that this promote as describing EA or EIS under the responsibility to mum of Understanding the activity (c)(26) tivity (d)() Appendix A of the Mamination of this proper units project are being reliable to the project are being reliable to the mamination of this proper units project are being reliable to 237.	Signature camination of this proposition of this proposition of the environmental (EA) or Environmental CFR 771.117(b). Signature camination of this proposition of the environmental control (EA) or Environmental control (EA) or Environmental control (EA) and Signature of the environmental control (EA) and Signature of the environmental control (EA) or Environme	sal and supporting information, the ment as defined by NEPA, and is exit Impact Statement (EIS), and impacts on the environment as defined. As such, the project is categorical Policy Act. The State has been as pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Lecuted between the FHWA and the mation, the State has determined ultation, and any other actions requout by Caltrans pursuant to 23 US of FHWA and Caltrans.	ined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby Inited States Code, a State. The State that the project is a uired by applicable
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envivional stream of the project of the requirements to prepare and the requirements to prepare and the requirements to prepare and certifies that it has carried out section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project of the requirements to prepare and the requirements to prepare and certifies that it has carried out section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project of the requirement of of the requiremen	7, and based on an expely have a significant ronmental Assessmentances pursuant to 23 MINATION (Chec etermined that this prumstances as describing EA or EIS under the responsibility to mum of Understanding this a Categorical Exceptivity (c)(26) tivity (d)() Appendix A of the Mamination of this propers 3 USC 327. The environmental response of the propers of the project are being dated December 23	Signature camination of this propo timpact on the environmenta (EA) or Environmenta CFR 771.117(b). ck one) oject has no significant ed in 23 CFR 771.117(b) el National Environmenta take this determination dated May 31, 2016, ex clusion under: OU between FHWA ar osal and supporting inforonmental review, consi g, or have been, carried 8, 2016 and executed by For Mahmud	sal and supporting information, the ment as defined by NEPA, and is exit Impact Statement (EIS), and impacts on the environment as defined. As such, the project is categorical Policy Act. The State has been as pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Lecuted between the FHWA and the mation, the State has determined ultation, and any other actions requout by Caltrans pursuant to 23 US of FHWA and Caltrans.	ined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby Inited States Code, a State. The State that the project is a uired by applicable
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Enviii • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETERI 23 USC 326: The State has done that there are no unusual circuments to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): according a Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understanding Gabrielle Duff	7, and based on an expely have a significant ronmental Assessmentances pursuant to 23 MINATION (Chec etermined that this prumstances as describing EA or EIS under the responsibility to mum of Understanding this a Categorical Exceptivity (c)(26) tivity (d)() Appendix A of the Mamination of this propers 3 USC 327. The environmental response of the propers of the project are being dated December 23	Signature camination of this propo timpact on the environmenta (EA) or Environmenta CFR 771.117(b). ck one) oject has no significant ed in 23 CFR 771.117(b) el National Environmenta take this determination dated May 31, 2016, ex clusion under: OU between FHWA ar osal and supporting inforonmental review, consi g, or have been, carried 8, 2016 and executed by For Mahmud	sal and supporting information, the nent as defined by NEPA, and is exit Impact Statement (EIS), and impacts on the environment as defined. As such, the project is categorical Policy Act. The State has been as pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Lecuted between the FHWA and the detailed of the State mation, the State has determined cultation, and any other actions requout by Caltrans pursuant to 23 US of FHWA and Caltrans.	ined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby Inited States Code, a State. The State that the project is a uired by applicable

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

The following technical documentation was prepared in conjunction with determining and addressing applicable National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and applicable California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documentation and compliance requirements.

Biological Resources: NES(MI) - April 4, 2018

Biological Environmental Avoidance and Minimization Efforts:

In order to minimize impacts and avoid effects to the special status and listed plant species, the project will implement all applicable Caltrans Best Management Practices (BMPs). For Special Status and Listed Plant Species, refer to Bio-1 and Bio-2. For Avian Species, refer to Bio-1 through Bio-3. For Desert Tortoise and Desert Tortoise Critical Habitat, refer to Bio-2 and Bio-4 through Bio-16.

- BIO-1 Equipment Staging
- BIO-2 Material and Spoils Control
- BIO-3 Material and Spoils Control
- BIO-4 Biological Monitor
- BIO-5 Biological Resource Information Program
- BIO-6 Pre-construction Desert Tortoise Survey
- BIO-7 Temporary Desert Tortoise Fencing
- BIO-8 Desert Tortoise under Vehicles and/or Equipment
- BIO-9 Desert Tortoise in Work Area
- BIO-10 Injured Desert Tortoise
- BIO-11 Desert Tortoise Monitoring Reports
- BIO-12 Speed Limit in Desert Tortoise Habitat
- BIO-13 Predation Prevention
- BIO-14 Firearms and Pet Restrictions
- BIO-15 Handling Desert Tortoise
- BIO-16 Moving Desert Tortoise

Cultural Resources: Historic Property Survey Report - April 3, 2018

A Historic Property Survey Report (HPSR) and a Finding of Effect (FOE) was prepared on 4/3/18 with a Finding of No Adverse Effect (without Standard Conditions).

Efforts identified two resources within the APE:

- P-36-000437/CA-SBR-437: a small prehistoric lithic scatter
- P-36-012473/CA-SBR-12263/H: a multi-component resource that includes a large prehistoric lithic procurement area and historic-era features associated with the Desert Training Center/California-Arizona Maneuver Area Historic District

Pursuant to Stipulation VIII.C.4 of the Section 106 PA, Caltrans will treat P-36-000437 as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under Criterion D for the purposes of this undertaking only. Caltrans will also treat P-36-012473 as eligible for listing on the NRHP under criteria A and D for the purposes of this undertaking only.

In accordance with Stipulation X.A of the Section 106 PA, Caltrans has applied the criteria of adverse effect and has determined that although ground disturbing construction activities will occur within a "sliver portion" of CA-SBR-437 and CA-SBR-12263/H, research has revealed that all work will occur within areas that have undergone prior and extensive ground disturbance. Therefore the likelihood of encountering and/or effecting data sets that contribute to the assumed eligibility of the sites as a whole is low. The portions of CA-SBR-437 and CA-SBR-12263/H located outside ground disturbing activities will be protected in their entirety through the establishment of ESAs. Caltrans has developed an ESA Action Plan that describes the delineation of ESA fencing, the methods of ESA installation, and the duties of responsible parties during all phases of construction activities.

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

08-SBd-95PM 9.7/33.61F131/0815000211Dist.-Co.-Rte. (or Local Agency)P.M./P.M.E.A/Project No.Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.SHPO concurred with the Finding of No Adverse Effect (without Standard Conditions) on May 3, 2018.

Environmental Engineering:

Hazardous Waste - February 27,2018

Low risk

Air Quality - March 21, 2017

• Project is exempt from all air emissions analysis.

Noise Analysis - March 3, 2017

• Project is a Type III project per Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol, therefore is exempt from traffic noise analysis and a noise study analysis is not needed.

Paleontological Resources - April 9, 2018

No paleontological studies are required.

Permits

The following permits are required for this project:

- 1602 Streambed Alternation Agreement from CDFW
- 401 Water Certification permit from RWQCB
- 404 Nationwide permit from USACE
- 2081 Incidental take permit from CDFW
- Biological Opinion Concurrence received April 23, 2018

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

Governor's Office of Planning & Research