## 2019088112

## **Notice of Exemption**

Appendix E

То:	Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 County Clerk	From: (Public Agency): Caltrans 855 M. St., Ste 200		
		Fresno, CA 93721		
	County of:		(Address)	
	ODIDOMADT :	NATIONAL ASSESSMENT		
	ect Title: GRIDSMART installation at Mt	. vvnitney Ave.		
Proje	ect Applicant: Caltrans		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Proje	ect Location - Specific:			
Inte	ersection of State Route 41 and Mo	ount Whitney Ave.		
Proje	ect Location - City: Kingsburg	Project Location -	County: Fresno	
Desc	cription of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries			
Ins	tall GRIDSMART system (Video de	etection system) to re	eplace damaged loops.	
			place damaged reeps.	
Nam	e of Public Agency Approving Project: Calt	rans		
	e of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project			
	mpt Status: (check one):  ☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268);  ☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3))  ☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 1  ☐ Categorical Exemption. State type and some statutory Exemptions. State code number	5269(b)(c)); section number: Class 1,		
Reas	sons why project is exempt:			
Mir	nor alteration of existing structure o	r facilities not expand	ding existing uses.	
	Agency act Person: Shane Gunn	Area Code/Teleph	one/Extension: 559 445-6310	
	ed by applicant: 1. Attach certified document of exemption fir 2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by t		ng the project? □ Yes □ No	
Sign	ature:	Date: 8/22/19	Title: Senior EP	
	■ Signed by Lead Agency □ Signed	by Applicant	Governor's Office of Planning & Research	
	ty cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resourc	es Code. Date Recesources Code.	eived for filing at OPR: AUG 27 2019	

**STATE CLEARINGHOUSE** 

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

06-FRE-41		5-0S990	0615000001	Davis on /Davis of No.				
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) PROJECT DESCRIPTION		A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local					
activities involved in this box. Use			ise, location, itilita, night-or-way i	equitoritatita, and				
The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposes to install GRIDSMART system (Video detection system) to replace damaged loops due to grinding operation that will be completed by state forces. All work will be done with in state right-of-way at the intersection of State Route 41 and Mount Whitney Ave.								
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)								
Not Applicable - Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency  Environmental Impact Report under CEQA  Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:								
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:  Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)								
Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)  Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:								
concern where design  There will not be a sign	<ul> <li>If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.</li> <li>There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place,</li> </ul>							
circumstances.	over time.  There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual							
This project is not loca     This project does not compared to the second compared to	This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.							
Common Sense Exemption possibility that the activity ma		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lass, but it can be seen with cert (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)	ainty that there is no				
Shane Gunn		Samuel C						
Print Name: Senior Environmental	Planner or	Print Name:	Project Manager					
Environmental Branch Chief				. , 1				
Environmental Branch Unier	8/24/19		Z.	8/27/19				
Signature	8/24/19 Date	Signature	<u>L</u>	8/27/19 Date				
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE	8/26/19 baie		Z.	8/27/19 Date				
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1	8/24/19 bate		esal and supporting information,	8/27/19 Date				
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE	atively have a significant in vironmental Assessment	mination of this propo npact on the environ (EA) or Environment	nent as defined by NEPA, and is					
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulate requirements to prepare an En	attively have a significant in ovironmental Assessment ostances pursuant to 23 C	mination of this propo npact on the environ (EA) or Environment FR 771.117(b).	nent as defined by NEPA, and is					
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an Enhas considered unusual circum  CALTRANS NEPA DETS  23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual circum the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried or Section 326 and a Memorar has determined that the projection.	atively have a significant in a stances pursuant to 23 C RMINATION (Check of determined that this project is a described an EA or EIS under the Nut the responsibility to main and of Understanding daject is a Categorical Exclusive	mination of this proportion (EA) or Environments (EA) of Environments (E	nent as defined by NEPA, and is	defined by NEPA, and rically excluded from a ssigned, and hereby 3, United States Code,				
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an Enhas considered unusual circum  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual circum the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried or Section 326 and a Memorar	atively have a significant in invironmental Assessment instances pursuant to 23 C RMINATION (Check is determined that this project in EA or EIS under the Nut the responsibility to main add of Understanding data ject is a Categorical Exclusive (c) ()	mination of this proportion (EA) or Environments (EA) of Environments (E	nent as defined by NEPA, and is al Impact Statement (EIS), and impacts on the environment as b). As such, the project is catego al Policy Act. The State has been pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23	defined by NEPA, and rically excluded from a ssigned, and hereby 3, United States Code,				
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an Enhas considered unusual circum  CALTRANS NEPA DETS  23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual circum the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried or Section 326 and a Memorar has determined that the project of the control o	atively have a significant in invironmental Assessment instances pursuant to 23 C RMINATION (Check is determined that this project in a categorized an EA or EIS under the Nut the responsibility to maindum of Understanding dailect is a Categorical Exclusive (c) () activity (d) () in Appendix A of the MO	mination of this proportion (EA) or Environments (E	nent as defined by NEPA, and is all Impact Statement (EIS), and impacts on the environment as ob. As such, the project is categoal Policy Act. The State has been pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 20 recuted between the FHWA and and the State	defined by NEPA, and orically excluded from a assigned, and hereby 3, United States Code, the State. The State				
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumula requirements to prepare an En  has considered unusual circum  CALTRANS NEPA DETES  23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual cir the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried or Section 326 and a Memorar has determined that the project of the project o	attively have a significant in invironmental Assessment instances pursuant to 23 C RMINATION (Check of determined that this project cumstances as described an EA or EIS under the Nut the responsibility to main activity (c) () activity (d) () n Appendix A of the MO examination of this proposible 23 USC 327. The environ for this project are being,	mination of this proportion (EA) or Environments FR 771.117(b).  The proportion of the environments of the environments of the environment of the	nent as defined by NEPA, and is all Impact Statement (EIS), and impacts on the environment as ab. As such, the project is categoal Policy Act. The State has been pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 2: eccuted between the FHWA and and the State bas determined that the State has determined the state and any other actions red out by Caltrans pursuant to 23	defined by NEPA, and prically excluded from a assigned, and hereby 3, United States Code, the State. The State				
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an Enhas considered unusual circum  CALTRANS NEPA DETE  23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual circum the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried on Section 326 and a Memorar has determined that the project of the project	atively have a significant in invironmental Assessment instances pursuant to 23 C RMINATION (Checked determined that this projectum stances as described an EA or EIS under the Nut the responsibility to maindum of Understanding daject is a Categorical Exclusivity (c) () activity (d) () n Appendix A of the MO examination of this proposity 23 USC 327. The environ for this project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being.	mination of this proportion (EA) or Environments FR 771.117(b).  one)  ect has no significant in 23 CFR 771.117(lational Environment tet this determination ted May 31, 2016, existent under:  U between FHWA a all and supporting informental review, control or have been, carrier 2016 and executed to	impacts on the environment as a limpact statement (EIS), and impacts on the environment as a limpacts on the project is categoral Policy Act. The State has been pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 2 recuted between the FHWA and and the State has determine the state has determined the state has dete	defined by NEPA, and prically excluded from a assigned, and hereby 3, United States Code, the State. The State				
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an En.  has considered unusual circum  CALTRANS NEPA DETE  23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual circum the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried or Section 326 and a Memorar has determined that the project of the proje	atively have a significant in invironmental Assessment instances pursuant to 23 C RMINATION (Checked determined that this projectum stances as described an EA or EIS under the Nut the responsibility to maindum of Understanding daject is a Categorical Exclusivity (c) () activity (d) () n Appendix A of the MO examination of this proposity 23 USC 327. The environ for this project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being.	mination of this proportion (EA) or Environments FR 771.117(b).  one)  ect has no significant in 23 CFR 771.117(lational Environment tet this determination ted May 31, 2016, existent under:  U between FHWA a all and supporting informental review, control or have been, carrier 2016 and executed to	nent as defined by NEPA, and is all Impact Statement (EIS), and impacts on the environment as ab. As such, the project is categoal Policy Act. The State has been pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 2: eccuted between the FHWA and and the State bas determined that the State has determined the state and any other actions red out by Caltrans pursuant to 23	defined by NEPA, and prically excluded from a assigned, and hereby 3, United States Code, the State. The State				
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumula requirements to prepare an En has considered unusual circum  CALTRANS NEPA DETS  23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual cir the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried or Section 326 and a Memorar has determined that the proj 23 CFR 771.117(e); 23 CFR 771.117(e); 33 USC 327: Based on an ecategorical Exclusion under Federal environmental laws Memorandum of Understand	atively have a significant in invironmental Assessment instances pursuant to 23 C RMINATION (Checked determined that this projectum stances as described an EA or EIS under the Nut the responsibility to maindum of Understanding daject is a Categorical Exclusivity (c) () activity (d) () n Appendix A of the MO examination of this proposity 23 USC 327. The environ for this project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being.	mination of this proportion (EA) or Environments FR 771.117(b).  one)  ect has no significant in 23 CFR 771.117(lational Environment tet this determination ted May 31, 2016, existent under:  U between FHWA a all and supporting informental review, control or have been, carrier 2016 and executed to	impacts on the environment as a limpact statement (EIS), and impacts on the environment as a limpacts on the project is categoral Policy Act. The State has been pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 2 recuted between the FHWA and and the State has determine the state has determined the state has dete	defined by NEPA, and prically excluded from a assigned, and hereby 3, United States Code, the State. The State				
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumula requirements to prepare an En has considered unusual circum  CALTRANS NEPA DETS  23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual cir the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried or Section 326 and a Memorar has determined that the proj 23 CFR 771.117(e); 23 CFR 771.117(e); 33 USC 327: Based on an ecategorical Exclusion under Federal environmental laws Memorandum of Understand	atively have a significant in invironmental Assessment instances pursuant to 23 C RMINATION (Checked determined that this projectum stances as described an EA or EIS under the Nut the responsibility to maindum of Understanding daject is a Categorical Exclusivity (c) () activity (d) () n Appendix A of the MO examination of this proposity 23 USC 327. The environ for this project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being, ding dated December 23, invitations of the project are being.	mination of this proportion (EA) or Environments FR 771.117(b).  one)  ect has no significant in 23 CFR 771.117(lational Environment tet this determination ted May 31, 2016, existent under:  U between FHWA a all and supporting informental review, control or have been, carrier 2016 and executed to	impacts on the environment as a limpact statement (EIS), and impacts on the environment as a limpacts on the project is categoral Policy Act. The State has been pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 2 recuted between the FHWA and and the State has determine the state has determined the state has dete	defined by NEPA, and prically excluded from a assigned, and hereby 3, United States Code, the State. The State				

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).