

# Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Title: Southern Las Trampas Wilderness Regional Preserve Land Use Plan Amendment

Lead Agency: East Bay Regional Park District

Contact Name: Kim Thai, Senior Planner

Email: kthai@ebparks.org Phone Number: (510) 544-2320

Project Location: San Ramon and Danville Contra Costa  
*City* *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Park District proposes to adopt a Land Use Plan Amendment (LUPA) for the southern region of Las Trampas Wilderness Regional Preserve (Las Trampas) in south-central Contra Costa County to formally incorporate approximately 756 acres of parkland into the regional preserve. This addition will expand the amount of parkland in Las Trampas to a total of approximately 6,000 acres. The LUPA provides a formal planning review for the expansion of Las Trampas, outlines public access connections, and catalogs and plans for important natural and cultural resources for five parcels in the project area. The five parcels include four that the Park District currently owns: Chen, Elworthy, Peter's Ranch, and Podva. The LUPA describes and outlines recommendations for each parcel. On the Chen parcel, the LUPA recommends a staging area and Emergency Vehicle and Maintenance Access (EVMA) road and recreational trail connection. The LUPA also evaluates public access to Las Trampas and along the Calaveras Ridge Trail via Peter's Ranch, as well as trail connections to the Podva parcel. Furthermore, the LUPA serves as a resource for park operations and maintenance, summarizing long-term management plans for conservation easements, detailing the grazing plan for all parcels, and outlining roles and responsibilities for park staff on all five subject parcels.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The Initial Study evaluates the following environmental issues in detail: Aesthetics; Agriculture and Forestry Resources; Air Quality; Biological Resources; Cultural Resources; Energy; Geology and Soils; Greenhouse Gas Emissions; Hazard and Hazardous Materials; Hydrology and Water Quality; Land Use and Planning; Mineral Resources; Noise; Population and Housing; Public Services; Recreation; Transportation; Tribal Cultural Resources; Utilities and Service Systems; and Wildfire.

Potential impacts to Air Quality, Cultural Resources, Geology and Soils, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, Hydrology and Water Quality, and Noise were identified and mitigated to less-than-significant levels.

- Air Quality, potential impacts to resulting from short-term construction emissions. Mitigation Measures: Implement basic construction mitigation measures required by BAAQMD.
- Cultural Resources, potential impacts to unknown archaeological resources, cultural resources and human remains. Mitigation Measures: Implement procedures if resources are discovered.
- Geology and Soils, potential impacts to unknown paleontological resources. Mitigation Measures: Implement procedures if resources are discovered.

Revised September 2011

## Continued:

- Hazards and Hazardous Material, potential impacts resulting from soil contamination. Mitigation Measures: Pre-construction sampling and analysis and soil remediation, if necessary.
- Hydrology and Water Quality, potential impacts resulting from soil contamination. Mitigation Measures: Pre-construction sampling and analysis and soil remediation, if necessary.
- Noise, potential impacts from construction noise. Mitigation Measures: Implement best management practices.

Potential impacts related to aesthetics and biological resources were identified in the Initial Study and additional analysis will be provided in the Environmental Impact Report.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

The following potential impacts resulting from the addition of a staging area along Bollinger Canyon Road:

- Aesthetic impacts related to change in character of the staging area site.
- Aesthetic impacts resulting from potential increased light and glare.
- Public services impacts resulting from potential increase in police and fire protection services.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

- California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Region 3 – Bay Delta Region
- Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 2 - San Francisco Bay