Print Form

Notice of Exemption

2019078123

Appendix E

To: Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

From: (Public Agency): State of California Department of Transportation - District 11 San Diego

4050 Taylor St., MS 242, San Diego, CA 92110

County Clerk County of:

(Address)

Micro-surfacing ramps 54, 94, 125 Project Title:

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) - District 11 **Project Applicant:**

Project Location - Specific:

At various locations along routes SR-54, SR-94, and SR-125 in the Cities of San Diego, El Cajon, La Mesa, and Lemon Grove; and in the Census-Designated Places of Spring Valley, La Presa, and Bonita, in San Diego County.

Various (see above) San Diego County Project Location - County: Project Location - City: Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project:

The project proposes to rehabilitate pavement surface damage on various ramps on State Routes 54, 94 and 125 in San Diego County by applying micro-surfacing. The Department determined that these ramps have surface damage to the pavement and are in need of rehabilitation.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: Caltrans - District 11

Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: Caltrans - District 11

Exempt Status: (check one):

Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268);

- Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269(a));
- Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c));
- Categorical Exemption. State type and section number: Class 1 (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15301) X
- Statutory Exemptions. State code number:

Reasons why project is exempt:

In compliance with CEQA Class 1, the project is within current Caltrans right of way and consists of repair, maintenance and minor alteration of existing public facilities. The project involves no expansion of the existing use.

Lead Agency	Sonnior	Francisco
Contact Person:	Soumer	rianusuu

Area Code/Telephone/Extension:

Date Received for filing at OPR

619-688-6763

If filed by applicant:

- 1. Attach certified document of exemption finding.
- 2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project?
 Q Yes Q No.

Assoc. Env. Planner Title: Signature: Governor's Office of Planning & Research Signed by Lead Agency Signed by Applicant

Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Resources Code.

JULY 23 2019 STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

2019078123 CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

11-SD-54, 94, 125 VAR 2N0731	1119000165
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Proje	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project includin activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary	
Caltrans proposes to rehabilitate pavement surface dar	
in San Diego County by applying Micro-surfacing. The o	department determined that these ramps have surface
	on. Please see the attached Environmental Commitments
Record for a list of commitments. Caltrans Environmen footprint.	tal must be notified of any changes in project scope or
iootpinit.	
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)	
Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, a	Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)	and the above statements, the project is.
Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 1530	00 et seq.)
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting infor apply:	mation, the following statements are true and exceptions do not
concern where designated, precisely mapped, and offici	
 There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this p over time. 	roject and successive projects of the same type in the same place,
 There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will circumstances. 	have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual
 This project does not damage a scenic resource within a This project is not located as a site included as any list 	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
 This project is not located on a site included on any list This project does not cause a substantial adverse change 	compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").
parama a	an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no
Bruce April	Shawn Rizzutto
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Print Name: Project Manager
Environmental Branch Chief	
12min/10 119/19	J. Shum Klynth 07/22/19
Signature Date	Signature Date
NEPA COMPLIANCE	
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination	
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or I	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or I • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or I • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has In the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or I • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has represented tha	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
 NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 1 has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 71. 	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and .117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby
 NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 1 has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 71. 	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and .117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code,
 NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 1 has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 71. the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this d 	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State
 NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 1 has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this d Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies (C) 	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or I • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) □ 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National I certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this d Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies 123 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State ler:
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 1 • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) □ 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this d Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncompared that the project is a C	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State ler:
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 1 • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) □ 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this d Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncertifies and that the pro	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State ler:
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 1 • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) □ 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this d Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncompared that the project is a Categorical Exclusion uncompared to a the requirement of the propert of the A of the MOU betwee □ 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and si Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State ler:
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 1 • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this d Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and si Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and si Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 an	a of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State ler:
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 1 • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this d Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion unc □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() □ Activity	a of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State ter: Seen FHWA and the State upporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the d executed by FHWA and Caltrans. n/a
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 1 • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this d Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and si Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and si Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 an	a of this proposal and supporting information, the State has a the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State ler: Even FHWA and the State upporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the d executed by FHWA and Caltrans.
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 1 • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National 1 certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this d Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (d)() 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and si Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 an n/a Print Name; Senior Environmental Planner or	a of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State ler: Seen FHWA and the State upporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the d executed by FHWA and Caltrans. <u>n/a</u> Print Name: Project Manager/DUA Engineeranning & Research
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 1 • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National 1 certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this d Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (d)() 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and si Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 an n/a	a of this proposal and supporting information, the State has a the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State ther: even FHWA and the State upporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the d executed by FHWA and Caltrans. <u>n/a</u> Print Name: Project Manager/DDA Engineer(anning & Research <u>JUL 23 2019</u> Signature Date
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 1 • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has r that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this d Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (d)() 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and si Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 an n/a Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	a of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby elermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State ler: een FHWA and the State upporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the d executed by FHWA and Caltrans. <u>n/a</u> Print Name: Project Manager/DOA Engine@fanning & Research JUL 2 3 2019

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).