DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

855 "M" Street, Suite 200 FRESNO, CA 93721 PHONE (559) 445-5286 FAX (559) 445-6236 TTY (559) 488-4066 www.dot.ca.gov

2019078034



Making Conservation a California Way of Life.

July 9, 2019

Ref: 06-MPA 41 PM: 2.0/2.2 06-0Y910 Repair Slipout and Replace Culvert

State Clearinghouse P.O. Box 3044, Room 113 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

Re: Notice of Exemption for Sugar Pine Slipout on State Route 41

Enclosed, please find the Notice of Exemption and copy of the CEQA Categorical Exemption for the State Route 41 Sugar Pine Slipout project.

The project proposes to conduct emergency work to remove a 18-inch culvert and replace with a 36-inch culvert to allow burn scar debris to flow through without obstruction. An underdrain will be installed to re-direct newly developed drainage sources to the new culvert. The project also includes slope repair by rock gabions, removal and replacement of unsuitable roadbed material and pavement. Additional work includes erosion control, traffic control and lane stripping. The purpose of this project is to avoid the failure or threat of failure to the transportation system and to prevent or mitigate the loss or impairment of life, health, property or essential public services.

All work is within the existing right-of-way. The project is categorically exempt under CEQA as an existing facility project having no significant environmental impacts.

Please date stamp the Notice of Exemption after the State Clearinghouse number has been assigned and email it to Ben Munoz at ben.munoz@dot.ca.gov. Thank you for your assistance. If you have any questions, please contact me at (559) 445-6447.

Sincerely,

JÉFF SORENSEN Southern San Joaquin Valley Management Branch 2, Chief

c: Caltrans' Environmental Files

Governor's Office of Planning & Research
JULY 09 2019

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

State Clearinghouse July 9, 2019 Page 2

Attachments: Notice of Exemption Categorical Exemption

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

JULY 09 2019

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

2019078034

Notice of Exemption

Appendix E

Office of Planning and Research From:	ency) Caltra	cy) <u>Caltrans, Dist. 6</u>	
P.O. Box 3044, Room 113			
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044	Fresno	CA	93721
County Clerk			
County of:			
Project Title: Sugar Pine Slipout (EA: 06-0Y910)			
Project Applicant: California Department of Transportation			
Project Location:			
In Mariposa County, near Fish Camp, from 2.0 miles north of Mari Yosemite National Park.	posa and Ma	dera County	line to 2.7 miles south of
Project Location - City: <u>Between Sugar Pine/Fish Camp</u> Pr	roject Locati	on - Count	y: Mariposa
Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project:			
The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposes t and replace with a 36-inch culvert to allow burn scar debris to flow will be installed to re-direct newly developed drainage sources to t rock gabions, removal and replacement of unsuitable roadbed mai control, traffic control, and lane stripping. The purpose of this proje- transportation system and to prevent or mitigate the loss or impain	through with the new culve terial and pay tect is to avoid	out obstruct ert. The proje vement. Add the failure o	ion at PM 2.03. An underdrain act also includes slope repair by itional work includes erosion or threat of failure to the
Name of Public Agency Approving Project: <u>California Dep</u>			• •
Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: Californ	ia Departmer	nt of Transpo	ortation
Exempt Status: (check one): Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268); Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269(a)); Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c)); Categorical Exemption. State type and section number: Statutory Exemption. State code number:	15301, Clas	s 1 (c) existi	ng highways
Reason why project is exempt:			
This project is exempt because it falls under section 15301, existin of existing use. The project aims to repair a section of existing hig Furthermore, the project will not have a significant effect on the er	hway and as		
Lead Agency Contact Person: <u>Jeff Sorensen</u> Area Code/	/Telephone/	Extension:	559-445-6447
If filed by applicant: 1. Attach certified document of exemption finding.			
2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public a	agency appr	oving the p	oroject? 🛛 YES 🗌 NO
Signature: All form Date: 7/9/1	🤊 Titl	e: Senior Er	nvironmental Planner
Signed by Lead Agency Signed by Applican			
Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resources Code.		te Received f	or filing at OPR
Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Resources Co			

Revised 2011

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

JULY 09 2019

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

D6-MPA-41 2.02.2 06-9Y310 Dist-Co-Fits (or Local Agenco) PM.P.M. E.APPToles No. Federal-Ad Project No. (Local Previet Yeroleg) PROJECT: DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project including nect, purpose, locetion, limits, right of-way requirements, an exclusion in the origon of the set of t				
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (thristly describe project Including need, purpose, location, limits, right of-way requirements, an editivities involved in link box. <i>Use Continuation Shopk In accession</i> .) The California Department of Transportation (Calitans) proposes to conduct emergency work to remove a 16-Inch culvert and replace with a 36-Inch culvert to allow burn scar debris to flow through without obstruction at PW 2.03.4 undertrich will be installed to re-direct newly developed drinnings sources to new culvert. The project also includes repair by rock gabions, removal and replacement of unsuitable roadbad material and pavement. Additional work includes areasing control, traffic control, and then sittpping. The purpose of this project is to avoid the failure of was public services. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable – Calitans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Lead Applicable – Calitans has prepared an initial site. Environmental impoci Report under CEQA Based on an examination of this propasal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21084); 14 CCR 15200 of seq.) Based on an examination of this propasal, supporting information, the oliving statements are true and exceptions do not apply: If this project fails within exempt cleas 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or or concom where designated, prodecing mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to fau. It may with the assignment control within a scand project and successite project of the same type in the same pioner faus. It is project fails within exempt cleas 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact and environment and exceptions or concome where designated, prodecies maport and thicking				and a share of the second state of the second
Pachates involves in this box. <i>Los Continuation Sheet, Environments</i> Processing Propose to conclude smergency work to remove a 18-inch culveral and replace willh a 56-inch culvert to allow burn scar debris to flow through without obstruction at PM 2.03. <i>A</i> underdrain will be installed to re-direct newly developed drainage sources to new culvert. The project takes includes part by rock gabions, removal and replacement of unsuitable readbed meterial and paymennt. Additional work includes anosion control, traffic control, and lane stripping. The purpose of this project is to avoid the failure of the transportation system and to prevent or mitigate the loss or impairment of like, health, property or esse public services. CALTRANS CEGA DETERMINATION (Check one) CALTRANS CEGA DETERMINATION (Check one) CALTRANS (ReC 21080(b); 14 CCR 15200 ± seq.) Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080(b); 14 CCR 15200 ± seq.) Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080(b); 14 CCR 15200 ± seq.) Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080(b); 14 CCR 15200 ± seq.) Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080(b); 14 CCR 15200 ± seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements the project is a concern where designated, predisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will replay the assignated, predisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same upe in the same pi over time, the assignificant effect on the environment due to unusual there note a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual there note an assort to assore abstantial adverse change in the significant effect on the environment at upply. The project is not to assore abstantial adverse change in the significant of the thereiden the project. Common States Exemption. This project does not field within an exempt class. but it can be ease with oasting tha there as the seq thereid with there are abstan	ROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Br	elly describe protect includir	ig need, purpose, location, limit	s, right-of-way regulrements, and
Collivert and replace with a 36-inch culvert to allow burn scar debris to low through valihout obstruction at PM 2.03. Junderdrain will be installed to re-direct newly developed drainage sources to new culver. The project also includes are includes are international work and the satisfaing and the programment of tile, health, property or esse public services. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency interview of this project is a vovid the failure or threa failure to the transportation system and to provent or mitigate the loss or impairment of tile, health, property or esse public services. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency interview in the impact neovor under CEQA Essect on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080); 14 CCR 1520 et sag.) Besed on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do no experiment class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et sag.) Categorically Exempt Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et sag.) Besed on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do no experiment designated, proceed and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant curvulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same or the adoption of the significant effect on the environment laws of the same type in the same state and information. This project does not damage a search resource of a hazardous for source. This project does not damage a search resource within an officially adopted pursuant to faw. This project does not damage a search resource within an officially adopted pursuant to faw. This project does not damage a search resource within an officially adopted pursuant to faw. This project does not damage a search resource within a	divities involved in this box. Use Con	inuation Sheet, if necessary	<u>1</u>	
□ Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency □ Not Applicable – Caltrans has propared an Initial St. Environmental Impact Report under CEQA Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project Is: □ Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080(b); 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do no apply: ■ If this project fails within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazerdous or concern where designated, pracely imapped, and oficially adopted pursuant to law. • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same prover time. • There is not be a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual eleventationes. • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. • This project does not clause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. □ Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainly that there is possibility in the the divity may have a significant effect on the environment and supporting information, the State has tearminate that this project: □ Genmon Sense Exemption. [This project does on tifell within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainly that there is possibility that the activity may have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the reavironmental Bright Chiel	Ilvert and replace with a 36-inch nderdrain will be installed to re-di pair by rock gabions, removal ar cludes erosion control, traffic cor llure to the transportation system iblic services.	culvert to allow burn scar ect newly developed dra d replacement of unsulta trol, and lane stripping. T and to prevent or mitiga	debris to flow through withour Inage sources to new culver ble roadbed material and pa the ourpose of this project is	out obstruction at PM 2.03. An rt. The project also includes slope avement. Additional work to avoid the failure or threat of
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Exempt by Statute, (PRC 2108(t); 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do no apply: (I this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or or concern where designated, preclesty mepped, and officially adopted pursuant to taw. (I this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or or concern where designated, preclesty mepped, and officially adopted pursuant to taw. (I this project so not damage a scanlo resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. (I this project loss not damage a scanlo resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. (I this project does not damage a scanlor resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. (I this project does not cause a substantiel adverse change in the significance of a historice) resource. Common Sense Exemption. (This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15031(b)(3).) Jeff Sorensen Environmental Planner or Environmental Respective and the adverse of the avironment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from th requirements to prepare an Environmental K23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from th requirements to prepare an Environmental K23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under t	ALTRANS CEQA DETERMI	ATION (Check one)		internet of an are easing to the second s
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080(b): 14 CCR 15260 et soq.) Categorically Exempt. (Lises 1. (PRC 21084): 14 CCR 15300 et soq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do no apply: If this project fails within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or or concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant unualitable effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same prover time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual chcurnstances. This project does not damage a scalor resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not damage a scalor resource within an example class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061(b)(3).) Eff Sorenson Begered Ghazi Print Name: Server Environmental Planner or Environmental Frequence Significant			Environmental Impact Repo	rt Under CEQA
Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15020 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do no apply: If this project fails within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or or concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same pi over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project within an officially adopted pursuant to faw. This project does not damage a scanic resource within an officially designated state scanic highway. This project does not damage a scanic resource within an officially designated state scanic highway. This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. (This project does not fail within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15051(b)(3). Jeff Sorensen Begered Ghazi Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080)	al, supporting information, a	and the above statements, the p	project is:
If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or creating over time. There will not be a significant cumulative affect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same prover time. There will not be a significant cumulative affect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same prover time. There will not be a significant cumulative affect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same prover time. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but if can be seen with certainty that there is possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15051(b)[3].) Jeff Sorensen Begered Ghazi Print Name: Senor Environmental Planner or Environmental Brench Chef Vironmental Brench Chef Date Begered Ghazi Date <	Categorically Exempt. Class 1. Based on an examination of this p	PRC 21084: 14 CCR 1530	0 et seq.) mation, the following statement	s are true and exceptions do not
• There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. • This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. (This project does not fail within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061(b)[3].) Jeff Sorensen Begread Ghazi Print Name: Broject Commonnelle Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Breach Chief Date Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Common Sense Exemption. (This project does on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has etermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). As used, the project is a categorically excluded in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As used, the project is a categorically excluded in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As used, the project is a categorically excluded in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As used, the project is a categorical exclusion under: 2 3USC 32 6:The State has determined that this project is no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Mational Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and thas considered unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As used, the project is a categorical exclusion under: 2 3USC 32 6:The State has determined that this project as no significant impacts on the environm	 If this project falls within exercise concern where designated, There will not be a significant 	precisely mapped, and offici	ally adopted pursuant to law.	
• This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. • This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to GoV. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). • This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fail within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15081[b)[3].) Jeff Sorensan Begered Ghazi Print Name: Project Monomental Planner or Environmental Bragch Chief Begered Ghazi Print Name: Project Manager Date Bignature Begered Ghazi Print Name: Project Manager Print Name: Project Manager Print Name: Project Manager Print Name: Project Manager Print Name: State this project: Begered Ghazi Print Name: State functionmental Planner or Eight does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances and secribed in 23 CFR 771.117(b). ALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 2 3USC 32 6:The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As usch, the project is categorical ly excluded for the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under that this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tille 23. United States Cost 25 cc Chapter and As of EIS under that this project is categorical ly excluded for the requirements to prepare an EA or categorical Exclusion under: 2 3 20 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c) () 2 Activity 2	 There is not a reasonable particular 	ssibility that the project will	have a significant effect on the	environment due to unusual
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15081(b)[3].) Jeff Sorensen Begered Ghazi Print Name: Sender Environmental Planner or Environmental Brageh Chief Print Name: Project Manager Signature Job Jeff Sorensen Job Begered Ghazi Print Name: Project Manager Begrature Job IEPA COMPLIANCE Signature accondence with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: Acconsidered unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). Active of the state ha	 This project does not damage This project is not located or 	a site included on any list of	compiled pursuant to Govt. Cod	e § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Breych Chief Print Name: Project Manager Stignistion 2130/19 Stignistion 310/19 Stignistion 2130/19 Stignistion Date EPA COMPLIANCE 310/19 accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has stermined that this project: Date does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental section of this proposal and supporting information, the State has stermined that this project: Date does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental section of the environmental section of the environmental impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). ALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 2 3USC 32 61The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environmental section of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he certifies that that carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23. United States C Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. 2 3USC 32 7: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applica Cat	Common Sense Exemption. [Thi	project does not fail within	an exempt class, but it can be a	seen with certainty that there is no
Environmental Brench Chief Signature Jack Jack Jack Jack Jack Jack Jack Jac			Begered Ghazi	
Signalities 5/30/19 June June June Signalities Date Date Date IEPA COMPLIANCE accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has etermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). ALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 2 3USC 32 61The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States C Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 2 30FR 771.117(c): activity (c) () 2 30FG 32 7: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project Categorical Exclusion under: 2 30FG 32 7: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project are being, or have bean, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 U	Print Name: Senior Environmental Planne Environmental Branch Chief	or	Print Name: Project Manager	
accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has etermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). ALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 2 3USC 32 6The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23. United States C Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 2 3USC 32 7:Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project 2 3USC 32 7:Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, and any other actions required by applica Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Begered Ghazi Print Name: Serier Environmental Planner or Environmental Brench Chiel Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Print Name: Serier Environmental Planner or Environmental Brench Chiel Print Name: Serier Manager/DLA Engineer Print Name: Proj	Off downsur	5/30/19	Bland Signature	7/s((9 Date
etermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). ALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 2 3USC 32 6:The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded for the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States C Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 2 3 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c) () 2 3 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d) () 2 3 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d) () 2 3 USC 32 7: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Jeff Sorensen Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or E				
does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). ALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 2 3USC 32 6:The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States C Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 2 3 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c) () 2 3 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d) () Mativity	ccordance with 23 CFR 771.117, an armined that this project:	l based on an examination	of this proposal and supporting	information, the State has
ALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 2 3USC 32 6:The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded fn the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States C Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 2 3 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c) () 2 3 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d) () Activity _2 listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State 2 3 USC 32 7: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. 3 Jeff Sorensen 3 Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Project Manager/OLA Engineer 3 Context of the first of the fir	loes not individually or cumulatively l equirements to prepare an Environm	ental Assessment (EA) or E	Invironmental Impact Statement	NEPA, and is excluded from the t (EIS), and
 2 3USC 32 6:The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded for the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23. Unlted States C. Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:		• b		
that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded fn the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States C Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 2 3CFR 771.117(c): activity (c) () 2 3CFR 771.117(d): activity (d) () X Activity 2 listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State 2 3USC 32 7: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applica Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Jeff Sorensen Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief			a clasificant impacts on the anu	from only on defined by NCDA and
Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State 2 3USC 32 7: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applica Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Jeff Sorensen Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	that there are no unusual circumsta the requirements to prepare an EA certifies that it has carried out the r Section 326 and a Memorandum o has determined that the project is a 2 3CFR 771.117(c); activity	nces as described in 23 CF or EIS under the National E asponsibility to make this de Understanding dated May Categorical Exclusion unde (c) ()	R 771.117(b). As such, the pro invironmental Policy Act. The S etermination pursuant to Chapte 31, 2016, executed between the	ject is categorically excluded from tate has been assigned, and hereby or 3 of Title 23. United States Code.
2 3USC 32 7: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applica Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Jeff Sorensen Begered Ghazi Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Print Name: Project Manager/OLA Engineer			en FHWA and the State	
Jeff Sorensen Begered Ghazi Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Print Name: Project Manager/OLA Engineer Out Print Name: Project Manager/OLA Engineer	2 3USC 32 7: Based on an examina Categorical Exclusion under 23 US Federal environmental laws for this	tion of this proposal and su 327. The environmental r project are being, or have b	pporting information, the State I eview, consultation, and any ot leen, carried out by Caltrans pu	her actions required by applicable insuant to 23 USC 327 and the
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Print Name: Project Manager/OLA Engineer Environmental Branch Chief				110.
O_{μ} O_{μ	Print Name: Senior, Environmental Planner	0		Engineer
Ville Volunau 5130/19 De N TSIT	γ_{μ}		\mathbf{z}	my low lotte
	Juff Mensu	<u> </u>	Stanature -	~1 (~) (~)
		ampletion: 5/00/0010	······) ·····	
te of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion: 5/29/2019 Date of ECR or equivalent : 5/29/2019 riefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist		denter and the second	and the second	

Page 1 of 2

January 3, 2019 Governor's Office of Planning & Research

JULY 09 2019 STATE CLEARINGHOUSE