## **Motice of Exemption**

Appendix E

| To:   | Office of Planning and Research<br>P.O. Box 3044, Room 113<br>Sacramento, CA 95812-3044          | From: (Public Agency): State of California  Department of Transportation-District 11 San Diego                |  |  |  |
|-------|--|---|--|--|--|
|       |  | 4050 Taylor St. MS 242, San Diego, CA 92110   |  |  |  |
|       | County Clerk   | (Address)   |  |  |  |
|       | County of:   | (   |  |  |  |
|       |  |   |  |  |  |
| Proj  | ect Title: SR-125 Cold Plane and Ov  | verlay Project (EA 3A257)   |  |  |  |
|       |  | partment of Transportation District 11  |  |  |  |
| Proj  | ect Location - Specific:   | of La Mesa to PM 13.36 in unincorporated San Diego County.  |  |  |  |
| Proj  | ect Location - City: La Mesa   | Project Location - County: San Diego  |  |  |  |
| Des   | cription of Nature, Purpose and Benefic<br>purpose of this project is to maintain p              |   |  |  |  |
|       |  |   |  |  |  |
| Nan   | ne of Public Agency Approving Project:   | California Department of Transportation- District 11  |  |  |  |
| Nan   | ne of Person or Agency Carrying Out P  | roject: California Department of Transportation- District 11  |  |  |  |
|       | mpt Status: (check one):   |   |  |  |  |
|       | ☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 1526  | 68);  |  |  |  |
|       | ☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080   |   |  |  |  |
|       | Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b  | )(4); 15269(b)(c));<br>condition number: Class 1 (PRC 21084; CCR 15301)                                       |  |  |  |
|       | <ul><li>Categorical Exemption. State type</li><li>Statutory Exemptions. State code</li></ul>     | e and section number: Class 1 (PRC 21084; CCR 15301)  |  |  |  |
| Pos   | asons why project is exempt:   |   |  |  |  |
| In c  | compliance with CEQA Class 1, the proje  | ect is within current Caltrans right-of-way and consists of ne project involves no expansion of existing use. |  |  |  |
|       | d Agency<br>ntact Person: Alyssa Velloze   | Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 619-688-6763   |  |  |  |
| lf fi | led by applicant:  1. Attach certified document of exemp  2. Has a Notice of Exemption been file | tion finding. ed by the public agency approving the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No                                       |  |  |  |
| Sig   | nature:  | Date: 5-16-19 Title: Environmental Planner  |  |  |  |
|       | \<br>■ Signed by Lead Agency □ S   | igned by Applicant  |  |  |  |
| Autho | ority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public F  | Resources Code.  Date Received for filing at OPR:   |  |  |  |
| Refer | ence: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, P  | ublic Resources Code. MAY 16 2019   |  |  |  |

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

| 11-SD-125<br>DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)  | * <b>13.16/13.36</b><br>P.M./P.M.  | 3A257/111<br>E.A/Project |                    | Federal-Aid Pro  | niect No. (Local Pr  | piect)/Project No |  |  |  |
|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
|  |  |                          |                    |  | oject No. (Local Pro |                   |  |  |  |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project including need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and activities involved in this box. <i>Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.)</i>  |  |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| Caltrans Maintenance is proposing a project on SR-125 in San Diego County starting in the City of La Mesa and ending in unincorporated San Diego County. The project will cold plane 0.20' and replace with 0.20' of RHMA-G at the Southbound 125 to Southbound 94 connector. Please see the Environmental Commitment Record (ECR) for commitments.  |  |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| CALTDANIC CEGA DETER   | MINIATION (O)  | 1 \                      |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)  Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Environmental Impact Report under CEQA  |  |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| ased on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:  Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)  |  |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| Based on an examination of the apply:  |  |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| concern where designa There will not be a sign   | <ul> <li>If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.</li> <li>There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place,</li> </ul> |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>There is not a reasonal circumstances.</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>over time.</li> <li>There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.</li> </ul>   |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>This project does not do</li> <li>This project is not located</li> <li>This project does not co</li> </ul>  | ed on a site included  | ant to Govt. Cod         | le § 65962.5 ("Cor | tese List").   |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)   |  |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| Kevin Hovey J. Shawn Rizzutto  |  |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| Print Name: Senior Environmental F<br>Environmental Branch Chief   | lanner or  |                          | Print Name: Pro    | oject Manager  | . 1                  |                   |  |  |  |
| Environmental Branch Chief   | 5/1  | 4/19                     | 7.0                | Tomad  | dbour 15             | 05/14/9           |  |  |  |
| Signature  | Date   |                          | Signature          | ). (30   | ` ` } / "            | Date              |  |  |  |
| NEPA COMPLIANCE  |  |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11   | 7, and based on an e   | examination of           | f this proposa     | I and supporting   | g information, the S | State has         |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>determined that this project:</li> <li>does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and</li> <li>has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).</li> </ul>  |  |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  |  |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State |  |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  |  |                          |                    |  |                      |                   |  |  |  |
| Print Name: Senior Environmental I<br>Environmental Branch Chief   | rint Name: Senior Environmental Planner or<br>invironmental Branch Chief   |                          |                    | Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer  Governor's Office of Planning & Research |                      |                   |  |  |  |
|  |  |                          | 304                | GLINON 2 CLINEGO   | i i maning & i wood  | out 47            |  |  |  |
| Signature  | Date   | )                        | Signature          | MAY :  | 16 2019              | Date              |  |  |  |
| Date of Categorical Exclusion Che  | ecklist completion:  |                          | Date of ECR        | or equivalent :  |                      |                   |  |  |  |