Notice of Exemption

2019058090

To: Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

County Clerk County of: <u>STA</u> From: (Public Agency) <u>Caltrans, Dist. 10</u>

1976 E. Dr. Ma	rtin Luther King Jr. Blvd.	
Stockton	CA 95205	

MAY 07 2019

Revised 2011

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is exclude requirements/or propare an Exvironmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • has obsidered unusual discumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this/project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by the requirements to prepare an Ex or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assign certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to mate this determinetion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United Section 326 and A Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31 /2016, executed between the FHWA and the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the state for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Californal pursuant to 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project are being, or have been, carried out by Californal pursuant to 23 USC 327: Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Californal pursuant to 25 USC 327. Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Californal pursuant to 25 USC 327. Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Californal pursuant to 25 USC 327. Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Californal pursuan		(far	019058	U V U	
Diel Co., Rei, for Local Agency P.M.P.M. EAPProject No. Federal-Ad Project No. (Local Project No. PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Indired vesations sheet, if necessary.) The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposel, location, limits, right-of-way requirer activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.) The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposed to place a 0.1 foot rubberized Hot 10 Gap Gradel (0.1 * RHMA-G) voring on State Right-of-Way (ROW). The purpose of thi to extend the service life of the existing pavement. The need of the project is to prevent further deter the paved highway surface. CECOA COMPLIANCE (for State Projects only) Based on an organization of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions of this project is a gontican character of the arrow of the a	CATEGORICAL	EXEMPTION/CATEGOR	ICAL EXCLUSION D	ETERMINATION	FORM
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project Including nead, purpose, location, limita, right-of-way requirer activities involved in this box. (Jsc Continuation Shot, if necessary). The California Department of Transportation (Califans) proposed to place a 0.1 foot rubberized Hot I Gap Graded (0.1' RHMA-G) overlay on State Route 132 from postmile (PM) 27.9 to PM 41.0, in Stanisl County, All work will be completed within the existing State Right-of-Way (ROW). The purpose of thit to exitend the service life of the existing pavement. The need of the project is to prevent further deter the paved highway surface. CECA COMPLIANCE (for State Projects only) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions of See 14 CCR 15300 et al.). There will note on damage a scenic resource within and fidality designated state scenic highway. There will note on damage a scenic resource change in the successive projects of the same type in the same pi to a reasonable possibility that the project with an adfidality designated state scenic highway. CALETANS CECA DETERMINATION (Check orig) Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency being and statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt, Ceneral Rule exemption. This project does not fall within a scenification. Statement (CCR 15000 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting Information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt, Ceneral Rule exemption. This project does not fall within an exempt dass. Statement (CCR 150010) Categoridally Exempt, General Rule exemption. This					D
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if recessary.) The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposed to place a 0.1 foot rubberized Hot M Gap Graded (0.1" RHMA-G) overlay on State Route 132 from postmile (PM) 27.9 to PM 41.0, in Stanisl County, All work will be completed within the existing State Right-of-Way (ROW). The purpose of thi to extend the service life of the existing pavement. The need of the project is to prevent further determine the paved highway surface. CEEQA COMPLIANCE (for State Projects only) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions of See 14 CCR 1500 et san;). There will not be a significant demaped, and officially adopted pursuant to fav. "There is not a caronable possibility that the project and successive projects of the same type in the same part of the not located on a site included on any list complication core of hazardous or created to the not located on a site included on any list complication core. "There is not clocated on a site included on any list complication pursuant to GAU. Code § 50626.2 (Cortese List). "This project is not located on a site included on any list complication core of historical resource. CatterANDS CEGA DETERMINATION (Check ore) Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21080[b]: 14 CCR 15260 et seq.). Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21080[b]: 14 CCR 15260 et seq.). Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21080]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.). Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21080]; 14 CCR 15260			and the second		
Gap Graded (0.1* RHMA-G) overlay on State Route 132 from postmile (PM) 27.9 to PM 41.0, in Stanisl Scounty, All work will be completed within the existing pavement. The need of the project is to prevent further determine the paved highway surface. CEOA COMPLIANCE (or State Projects only) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions of (See 14 CCR 15300 et seq.): If this project fails within exampt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or or where designated, projectly this project and successive projects of the same type in the same pi There is not a reasonable possibility that the project within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project is not located on a site included on any list complied pursuant to Govt. Code 5 65932.5 ("Cortese List"). This project is not located on a site included on any list complied pursuant to Govt. Code 5 65932.5 ("Cortese List"). Pampt by Statute. (PRC 21080b): 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on a examination of this proposal and supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categoricalty Exempt. Gasa 1. (PRC 21080b): 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on a examination of this proposal and supporting information of this in project state. Stategoricalty Exempt. General Rule exemption. (This project does not fail within an exempt class, but it can be a carrainity that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 1500 [b]) Dominic Vitali Jabe/Jab Jabe/Jab Jabe/Jab Ja				n, mans, ngnt-or-way i	equiterrieri
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions of See 14 CCR 15300 et seq.): If this project fails within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or or where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same pine in the anippine a scenic resource within an officially designated state socie highway. This project is not tocated on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project is not tocated on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project is not tocated on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21080[b); 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21080; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. (This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be significant there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15661[b]) Dominic Vitall Jason B. Miller Print Names project Maruse Jason B. Miller	Gap Graded (0.1' RHMA-G) o County. All work will be com to extend the service life of t	overlay on State Route 132 pleted within the existing	from postmile (PM) 2 State Right-of-Way (R	7.9 to PM 41.0, in 3 OW). The purpose	Stanislau e of this p
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions d (See 14 CCR 15300 etseq.): If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or or where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to faw. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same pi There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual of This project is not located on a site included on any ist compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project is not located on a site included on any ist compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial advases change in the significance of a histofical resource. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be a certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b]) Domino: Vital Pent Name, Senior Environmental Planner or Environment With 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State determined that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant impacts on the environment (ECR 15061[b]) Domino: Vital Pent Name, Senior Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environment as defined by NEPA, and is exclude determined that there are no unused. For unuser, if a project the son significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is exclude and in the fore of the sone statement or the project is a categorically exemption pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS LEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) Based or an examination of	CEQA COMPLIANCE (for S	tate Projects only)			ч
I filts project fails within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or or where designated, proceedy mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same pint that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual of This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 696962. F (Cortese List). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check ong) Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Environmental Impact Report under CEQA Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 2108(114 CCR 15200 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 2108(114 CCR 15300 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 2108(114 CCR 15300 et seq.) Dominic Vital Print Name, Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental	Based on an examination of this p		tion, the following stateme	nts are true and exce	ptions do n
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable - Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]: 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be succertainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b]] Dominic Vitali Jason B. Miller Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Print Name: Project Marger In accord/froe with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State determined that the project or a significant impact on the environment (EIS), and In accord/froe with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State determined that the project must be a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is exclude reduring the trip or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is exclude reduring the state many of the state ment (EIS), and As orbide/ed usual discurstances or bases/mind 19 al with project as a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is exclude reduring the project as a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is exclude reduring the state many of the MON and the state ment (EIS), and NEPA COMPLIANCE In accord/froe with 23 CFR 771.117(b). Categorical PA DETERMINATION	 If this project falls within exemption where designated, precisely ma There will not be a significant cu There is not a reasonable possi This project does not damage a This project is not located on a significant significant	pped, and officially adopted pur imulative effect by this project a bility that the project will have a scenic resource within an offici site included on any list compile	rsuant to law. and successive projects of significant effect on the er ally designated state scen of pursuant to Govt. Code	the same type in the nvironment due to unu ic highway. § 65962.5 ("Cortese l	same place usual circur
Not Applicable - Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Not Applicable - Caltrans has prepared an in Environmental Impact Report under CEQA Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15200 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be scertainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b]] Dominic Vitali Jason B. Miller Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Barba Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE Signature In accordation with 23 CFR 771.1177, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State determined that the project is compare an Equipidicant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is exclude requirements for programe an Equipidicant impact on the environment (EIS), and In accordation with 23 CFR 771.1177, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State determined that this project is categorically or Exercise seq.) CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined the this proposal and supporting information as defined to that the repore an EXO ES update the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assign certifies that it has carried out the exoposition (EA) of Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assign certifies that			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. (This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b]) Dominic Vitali Jason B. Miller Print Name, Senior Environmental Planner or Print Name, Project Modes Environmental Barts Chief 3/26/14 Signature Jason B. Miller Method Status Print Name, Project Modes In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State determined that the project: • deeting of the environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • has considered unusual discumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State/has determined thad this/project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by the technicity of the acte of the More possibility to material Policy Act. The State has been assigning entities that it has carried out the responsibility to material activational Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigning entities that it has carried out the responsibility to material Policy Act. The State has been assigning entities that it has carried out the responsibility to material Policy Act. The State has betermined that the project is a Categorical E		• •			
Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be so certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b]] Dominic Vitali Jason B. Miller Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Barth Other 3/26/11 Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE Signature In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State determiged that there are no unused birumental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental impact Statement (EIS), and • has considered unused birumental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • has considered unused birumental project than to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project thas no significant impacts on the environment as defined by the state been assign certifies that it has carried out the esponsibility to market his determined that the project is categorically experimental Policy Act. The State has been assign certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to market his determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion there are no unused birtorm state dated that state in a scarried out the responsibility to market his determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion there is a Categorical Exclusion there is a Categorical Exclusion there is a Categorical Exclusion ther	Based on an examination of t	his proposal, supporting inform	ation, and the above state		
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner	certainty that there is no poss		a significant effect on the		
3/26/11 Signature All Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE Signature Signature <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>non</td> <td></td>				non	
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State determined that firs project: • does not individually Or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is exclude requirements for propers and supporting information. The State requirements for propers and supporting information of this project: • does not individually Or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is exclude requirements for propers and supporting information (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • has considered unusual discumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined be that there are no unusual circumstances as bescribed in 22 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically experiments to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assign certifies that it has carried out the respositivity to make hig determining unauch to Chapter 3 of Title 23, UNIT and and the project is a Categorical Exclusion Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion Under State May and the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion Under State in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions preduced between the State has determined that the project are being, or have been, carried out by Califans pulstant by 20 USC 327. Memorandum	Environmental Branch Chief		Print Name: Project Mana	ger	-1
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State determined that this project: • does not individually Or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is exclude requirements for propers an Expironmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • has conside/ed unusual discumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State/has determined that this/project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined be that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 22 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically exit the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Buy to make this determining to an unusual circumstances as described in 22 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically exit the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assign certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determining to any unter the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assign certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determining to neuronal to the the Project is a Categorical Exclusion for the the Project is a Categorical Exclusion for the the Project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions preduced between the State has determined that the project are being, or have been, carried out by Califoran State has determined that the project are being, or have been, carried out by Califoran State has determined that the categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions preduced the		3/26/19	INNO V-	Jurje	321
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State determined that fins project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is exclude requirements for progres an Sovironmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • has considered unusual discumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 22 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically ex- the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, UNC as determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion Orneer 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions preduced be rederal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Califans pulsuant to 28 USC 327. Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Califans Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Date Date Signature	- 0	Date	Signature		Date
determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is exclude requirements/opropere an/Sovironmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • has onsidered unusual discumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State/has determined that this/preject has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make/his/Determinetion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, /2016, executed between the FHWA and the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the state in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State is project as hes determined that the State is project and a supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other/actions required that the state is project and being, or have been, carried out by Califoran burstanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Califoran burstanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Califoran burstanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Califoran burstanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Califoran burstanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Califoran burstanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Califoran burstanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Cali		7, and based on an examinatic	on of this proposal and sup	porting information, t	he State ha
23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined be that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically extent requirements to prepare an EX or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determinetion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 37 (2016, executed between the FHWA and the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under that the fore the NOU between FHWA and the State has determined that the project activity (c)(determined thay this project: • does not individually of cumulat requirements to propare an/Say • has considered unusual circums	ively have a significant impact o ironmental Assessment (EA) or stanses pursuant to 23 CFR 77	on the environment as defi r Environmental Impact Sta	ned by NEPA, and is	
that there are no unusual circumstances as bescribed in 22 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically exite requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 1 as Categorical Exclusion under 1 as Categorical Exclusion under 2 as CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (d)() 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any otheractions required the Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Californa pursuant to 28 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any otheractions required the Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Californa pursuant to 28 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any otheractions required the Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Californa pursuant to 28 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any otheractions required the federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Californa pursuant to 28 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any otheractions required the federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Californa pursuant to 28 USC 327. The environmental review for the federal environmental Planne					
□ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() □ Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State □ 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other/actions required the Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Califans pulsuant to 23 USC 327. Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Califans. Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Pate Signature Date Signature	23 USC 326: The State has a that there are no unusual circ	determined that this project has	no significant impacts on	the environment as d	lefined by N
Activity	certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the proje	t the responsibility to make this dum of Understanding dated Ma ect is a Categorical Exclusion or	determination pursuant to y 31,/2016, executed betw	Chapter 3 of Title 23 veen the FHWA and t	, United State. T
23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required to the Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Califrans pursuant to 23 USC 327. Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Califrans. Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Signature Date Signature	certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memoranc has determined that the proje 23 CFR 771.117(c): a	t the responsibility to make this dum of Understanding dated Ma ect is a Categorical Exclusion or ctivity (c)()	determination pursuant to y 31,/2016, executed betw	The State has been Chapter 3 of Title 23 veen the FHWA and t	assigned, , United State. 1
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Signature	certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memoranc has determined that the proje 23 CFR 771.117(c): a 23 CFR 771.117(d): a	t the responsibility to make this dum of Understanding dated Ma ect is a Categorical Exclusion or ctivity (c)() ctivity (d)()	determination pursuant to ny 31,/2016, executed betw nder	Chapter 3 of Title 23 veen the FHWA and t	assigned, , United Str the State. 1
	certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorano has determined that the proje 23 CFR 771.117(c): a 23 CFR 771.117(d): a Activity listed in 23 USC 327: Based on an ex Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for	t the responsibility to make this dum of Understanding dated Ma ect is a Categorical Exclusion or ctivity (c)() ctivity (d)() Appendix A of the MOU betw camination of this proposal and 23 USC 327. The environment or this project are being, or hav	determination pursuant to ay 31, 2016, executed betw der veen FHWA and the State supporting information, the al review, consultation, and been, carried out by Cal	Chapter 3 of Title 23 veen the FHWA and the State has determine d any other actions re trans pursuant to 28 t	, United Sta the State. T d that the quired by a
Data of Categorical Evolution Checklist completion: N/A Data of ECP or equivalent : 03.25.10	certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorano has determined that the proje 23 CFR 771.117(c): a 23 CFR 771.117(d): a Activity listed in 23 USC 327: Based on an ex Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understandi	t the responsibility to make this dum of Understanding dated Ma ect is a Categorical Exclusion or ctivity (c)() ctivity (d)() Appendix A of the MOU betw tamination of this proposal and 23 USC 327. The environment or this project are being, or have ng dated December 23, 2016 a	determination pursuant to ay 31, 2016, executed betw even FHWA and the State supporting information, the al review, consultation, and e been, carried out by Cali nd executed by FHWA an	Chapter 3 of Title 23 veen the FHWA and the State has determine d any other actions re trans pursuant to 23 the d Califans.	, United Sta the State. T d that the quired by a
	certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorance has determined that the proje 23 CFR 771.117(c): au 23 CFR 771.117(d): au Activity listed in 23 USC 327: Based on an ex Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understandi Print Name: Senior Environmental f Environmental Branch Chief	t the responsibility to make this dum of Understanding dated Ma ect is a Categorical Exclusion or ctivity (c)() ctivity (d)() Appendix A of the MOU betw camination of this proposal and 23 USC 327. The environmenta or this project are being, or hav ng dated December 23, 2016 a	determination pursuant to an 2016, executed between FHWA and the State supporting information, the review, consultation, and been, carried out by Cali nd executed by FHWA an Print Name: Project Man	Chapter 3 of Title 23 veen the FHWA and the State has determine d any other actions re trans pursuant to 23 the d Califans.	, United Sta the State. T d that the quired by a

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

· · · · · · · · A	-132	27.9/41.0	Continuation 10-1J160/101				4
	-Rte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.		Federal-Aid Pro	iect No. (Local F	Project)/Project No.
	ed from page 1:				~ 5		
General:							
0	Environmental reevalua previously unknown cult occur or sensitive resou	ural or other sen	sitive resources are	he project c e discoverec	hanges to include d. Contact Enviror	additional area mental Office if	s or activities, or if project changes
lazardo	us Waste:						
0	Implement Caltrans Star If yellow thermoplastic tr tested for hazardous wa Caltrans Standard Spec	affic stripe or par ste prior to dispo	vement markings a sal and, if found to	re removed be hazardo	separately from the	ne pavement, th	e residue must be
ultural F	Resources:						
0	If cultural materials are of 1. Stop all work within 2. Protect the discove 3. Notify the Engineer	a 60-foot radius ry area	job site, do not dis of the discovery	turb the res	ources and immed	diately:	
	The Department Investig to assess the significance	ates. Do not mo	ve cultural material not resume work w	s or take the	em from the job si covery area until	te. Retain a qua authorized.	lified archaeologist
0	If human remains are dis shall cease in any area of Resources Code Section American Heritage Com will work with the MLD o be followed as applicable	or nearby area su n 5097.98, if the mission (NAHC) n the respectful t	uspected to overlie remains are though who will then notify	remains, an it to be Nativ the Most Li	d the County Correction of the County Correction of the County Correction of the County Count	oner contacted. coroner will notif (MLD). At the tir	Pursuant to Public by the Native ne the landowner
iology:							
0	Migratory birds and rapto standard bird protection carried out by a Caltrans California Department of	specification (14- Biologist. If activ	-6.03B) will be adde	ed to the co	ntract and a preco	instruction bird s	survey will be
	- 14 (32 ex 					
1.11					e l'a		the construction
		``	A	Sove	ernor's Office of Pl	ennine & Recen	to be
o permit	ts are required for this pro	oject.					GIT
					mat 07	2019	
				ST	ATEOIEAD	bet off a stream of	and see
				STATE VEANINGHOUSE			