Notice of Exemption

Appendix E

То:	Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113	Department of Transportation-District 11 San Diego 4050 Taylor St., MS 242, San Diego, CA 92110				
	Sacramento, CA 95812-3044					
	County Clerk					
	County of:	(Address)				
Proie	ect Title: EA 42370 Pavement Rehab	pilitation				
		partment of Transportation, District 11				
		31.7-R41.8 near Alpine, an unincorporated area of San Diego				
Proje	ect Location - City: Unincorporated A	Area Project Location - County: San Diego				
The but r	not limited to removing and replacing th	siaries of Project: stbound traveled way and shoulders of Interstate 8, consisting of he outside lanes with Portland Cement Concrete (PCC), replacing placing rumblestrips along inside and outside mainline shoulders.				
Nam	e of Public Agency Approving Project:	California Department of Transportation - District 11				
Nam	e of Person or Agency Carrying Out Pr	oject: California Department of Transportation - District 11				
	npt Status: (check one):					
	☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 1526	8).				
	☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(I	•				
☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c)); ☐ Categorical Exemption. State type and section number: Class 1 (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15301)						
ln co mair		ct is within current Caltrans right of way and consists of repair, ag public facilities. The project involves no expansion of the				
	в , **					
	Agency Sonnier Francisco	Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 619-688-6763				
	ed by applicant: 1. Attach certified document of exemption 2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed	d by the public agency approving the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No				
Sign	ature:	Date: 5/7/2019 Title: Assoc. Env. Planner				
	☑ Signed by Lead Agency ☐ Sig	ned by Applicant				
	ity cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Re nce: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Pub					
	Gove	mor's Office of Plenning & Research MAY 0.7				

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

MAY 07 2019

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE
Revised 2011

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

11-SD-8	R31.8/R41.7	42370	1116000059					
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P,M./P.M.	E.A/Project N						
activities involved in this box. Use			eed, purpose, location, limits, right-of-wa	y requirements, and				
			aveled way and shoulders of Inte	rstate 8 (I-8) in San				
			Valley Creek Bridge, between po					
and R41.7. The work proposed consists of removing and replacing the outside lane with Portland Cement								
Concrete (PCC) from PM R31	.8 to PM R35.2, a	nd the two o	uter lanes between PM R35.2 to P	M R39.64.				
(Continued on p. 2 of 2)								
CALTRANS CEQA DETER	MINATION (Ched	ck one)	_					
Not Applicable − Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency □ Not Applicable − Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA								
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)								
			et sea.)	*				
Categorically Exempt. Class <u>1</u> . (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:								
 If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. 								
 There will not be a signious over time. 	There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place,							
 There is not a reasonat circumstances. 	ole possibility that the	e project will hav	ve a significant effect on the environmen	t due to unusual				
 This project does not do 			officially designated state scenic highway					
	 This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. 							
Instant	Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)							
Olga Estrada	_	V	Villiam M. Nichols					
Print Name: Senior Environmental P	lanner or	Print Name: Senior Etylronmental Planner or Print Name						
Environmental Branch Chief	and the second		1 / / /	, , .				
Environmental Branch Chief	2-11.	-19 4	1/11/12	2/11/19				
Signature	2-11·		M 71 71	2/11/19 Date				
Sterrature NEPA COMPLIANCE	Date	s		Date				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11	Date	s	ignature this proposal and supporting information	Date				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulati	Date 7, and based on an vely have a significa	examination of	this proposal and supporting information e environment as defined by NEPA, and	Date I, the State has is excluded from the				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Envi	Date 7, and based on an ively have a significa ironmental Assessm	examination of nt impact on the ent (EA) or Env	this proposal and supporting information e environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and	Date I, the State has is excluded from the				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env has considered unusual circums	Date 7, and based on an ively have a significa ironmental Assessm stances pursuant to 2	examination of nt impact on the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117	this proposal and supporting information e environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and	Date I, the State has is excluded from the				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER	Date 7, and based on an ively have a significationmental Assessmutances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Che	examination of the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117	this proposal and supporting information e environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and (b).	Date I, the State has is excluded from the				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has of	7, and based on an ively have a significationmental Assessmutances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Cheletermined that this part of the control of the	examination of the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no s	this proposal and supporting information e environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and (b).	Date I, the State has is excluded from the s defined by NEPA, and				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circums	7, and based on an ively have a significationmental Assessmutances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Cheletermined that this pumstances as description of the control	examination of the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR	this proposal and supporting information e environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and (b).	Date I, the State has is excluded from the s defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env. • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has or that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out	7, and based on an ively have a significationmental Assessmustances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Chelletermined that this pumstances as description EA or EIS under the responsibility to	examination of the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR ne National Env make this detei	this proposal and supporting information e environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and 7(b). ignificant impacts on the environment as 771.117(b). As such, the project is cated ironmental Policy Act. The State has be rmination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title	Date I, the State has is excluded from the s defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from en assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code,				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env. • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has or that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand	7, and based on an lively have a significationmental Assessmustances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Cheletermined that this pumstances as description EA or EIS under the responsibility to lum of Understanding	examination of the ent (EA) or Env (EA) or Env (23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR ne National Env make this deteing dated May 31	this proposal and supporting information e environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and 7(b). (gnificant impacts on the environment as 771.117(b). As such, the project is cated ironmental Policy Act. The State has be	Date I, the State has is excluded from the s defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from en assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code,				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circ the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the proje	7, and based on an ively have a significationmental Assessmutances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Cheletermined that this pumstances as descripted as a Categorical E.	examination of the ent (EA) or Env (EA) or Env (23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR ne National Env make this deteing dated May 31	this proposal and supporting information e environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and 7(b). ignificant impacts on the environment as 771.117(b). As such, the project is cated ironmental Policy Act. The State has be rmination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title	Date I, the State has is excluded from the s defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from en assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code,				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env. • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has or that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand	7, and based on an ively have a significationmental Assessmutances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Cheletermined that this pumstances as described as A or EIS under the responsibility to lum of Understanding of is a Categorical Estivity (c)(_22)	examination of the ent (EA) or Env (EA) or Env (23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR ne National Env make this deteing dated May 31	this proposal and supporting information e environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and 7(b). ignificant impacts on the environment as 771.117(b). As such, the project is cated ironmental Policy Act. The State has be rmination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title	Date I, the State has is excluded from the s defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from en assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code,				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env. • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circ the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the proje 23 CFR 771.117(c): ac	7, and based on an ively have a significationmental Assessmutances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Chebetermined that this pumstances as described as A or EIS under the responsibility to lum of Understanding of is a Categorical Estivity (c)(_22)	examination of the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR ne National Env make this deteig dated May 31 xclusion under:	this proposal and supporting information e environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and 7(b). ignificant impacts on the environment as 771.117(b). As such, the project is cated ironmental Policy Act. The State has be imination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title , 2016, executed between the FHWA an	Date I, the State has is excluded from the s defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from en assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code,				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Envi • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has contact the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 23 CFR 771.117(d): according a	7, and based on an ively have a significationmental Assessmutances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Cheletermined that this pumstances as described as a Categorical Extinct is a Categorical Extinct (c)(_22) etivity (d)() Appendix A of the amination of this pro	examination of the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR ne National Env make this deteig dated May 31 xclusion under:	this proposal and supporting information environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and 7(b). Ignificant impacts on the environment as 771.117(b). As such, the project is categironmental Policy Act. The State has be mination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title, 2016, executed between the FHWA and FHWA and the State orting information, the State has determed.	Date I, the State has Is excluded from the Is defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from en assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, id the State. The State Ined that the project is a				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envioration has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has contact the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 are for 771.117(c): according a CFR 771.117(d): according a USC 327: Based on an excategorical Exclusion under 2	7, and based on an ively have a significationmental Assessment ances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Cheletermined that this pursuances as described in EA or EIS under the responsibility to tum of Understanding of is a Categorical Estivity (c)(_22) ctivity (d)() Appendix A of the amination of this prossesses as USC 327. The endiversal content of the cont	examination of the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR make this determinate this determinate this determinate this determinate this determinate the control of the co	this proposal and supporting information environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and (b). ignificant impacts on the environment as 771.117(b). As such, the project is cated ironmental Policy Act. The State has be mination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 2016, executed between the FHWA and FHWA and the State orting Information, the State has determined information, and any other actions	Date I, the State has Is excluded from the Is defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from en assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, id the State. The State Ined that the project is a required by applicable				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envioration of the requirements to prepare an Envioration of the second of the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 327 and 527 and 5	7, and based on an avely have a significationmental Assessment ances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Cheletermined that this pursuances as descripted as a Categorical Extra EA or EIS under the responsibility to blum of Understanding of the cativity (c)(_22) citivity (d)() Appendix A of the amination of this project are being the categorical extra the categ	examination of the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR me National Env make this detern dated May 31 xclusion under: MOU between posal and supp vironmental reving, or have been	this proposal and supporting information environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and 7(b). Ignificant impacts on the environment as 771.117(b). As such, the project is categironmental Policy Act. The State has be mination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title, 2016, executed between the FHWA and FHWA and the State orting information, the State has determed.	Date I, the State has Is excluded from the Is defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from en assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, id the State. The State Ined that the project is a required by applicable				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the proje 23 CFR 771.117(d): ac 23 USC 327: Based on an ex Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws fo Memorandum of Onderstanding	7, and based on an avely have a significationmental Assessment ances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Cheletermined that this pursuances as descripted as a Categorical Extra EA or EIS under the responsibility to blum of Understanding of the cativity (c)(_22) citivity (d)() Appendix A of the amination of this project are being the categorical extra the categ	examination of the impact on the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR ne National Env make this deter g dated May 31 xclusion under: MOU between posal and supp vironmental reving, or have been 23, 2016 and expense.	this proposal and supporting information be environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and (b). Ignificant impacts on the environment as 771.117(b). As such, the project is categironmental Policy Act. The State has be rmination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 1, 2016, executed between the FHWA and FHWA and the State has determined in the state has determined in the state has determined in the state has determined the state has determined in the s	Date I, the State has Is excluded from the Is defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from en assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, id the State. The State Ined that the project is a required by applicable				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envioration of the transfer of the third there are no unusual circums. CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has considered unusual circums. CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has contact the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 CFR 771.117(d): according a USC 327: Based on an excategorical Exclusion under 25 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Onderstandia Olga Estrada Print Name: Senior Environmental Frint N	7, and based on an ively have a significationmental Assessmatances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Cheletermined that this pumstances as descripted to the responsibility to lum of Understanding of is a Categorical Estivity (c)(_22) estivity (d)() Appendix A of the amination of this project are being dated December:	examination of the impact on the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR ne National Env make this deteig dated May 31 xclusion under: MOU between posal and supp vironmental reving, or have been 23, 2016 and examination of the end o	this proposal and supporting information a environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental impact Statement (EIS), and 7(b). ignificant impacts on the environment as 771.117(b). As such, the project is cated ironmental Policy Act. The State has be remination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title , 2016, executed between the FHWA and FHWA and the State orting information, the State has determined, consultation, and any other actions an, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 2	Date I, the State has Is excluded from the Is defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from en assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, id the State. The State Ined that the project is a required by applicable				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the proje 23 CFR 771.117(d): ac 23 USC 327: Based on an ex Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws fo Memorandum of Onderstandia Olga Estrada	7, and based on an ively have a significationmental Assessmatances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Cheletermined that this pumstances as descripted to the responsibility to lum of Understanding of is a Categorical Estivity (c)(_22) estivity (d)() Appendix A of the amination of this project are being dated December:	examination of the impact on the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR ne National Env make this deteig dated May 31 xclusion under: MOU between posal and supp vironmental reving, or have been 23, 2016 and examination of the end o	this proposal and supporting information be environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (b). ignificant impacts on the environment as 771.117(b). As such, the project is categironmental Policy Act. The State has be rmination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 1, 2016, executed between the FHWA and FHWA and the State has determined, consultation, and any other actions en, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 2 xecuted by FHWA and Caltrans. William M. Nichols	Date I, the State has Is excluded from the Is defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from en assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, id the State. The State Ined that the project is a required by applicable				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envioration of the state has considered unusual circums. CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has contact the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 are 771.117(c): according a CFR 771.117(d): according a USC 327: Based on an excategorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Onderstandia Olga Estrada Print Name: Senior Environmental Environmental Branch Chief	7, and based on an evely have a significationmental Assessmatances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Checkermined that this pumstances as descripted in EA or EIS under the responsibility to flum of Understanding of Understanding of is a Categorical Estivity (c)(_22) estivity (d)() Appendix A of the amination of this proper this project are being dated December:	examination of the impact on the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR ne National Env make this deter g dated May 31 exclusion under: MOU between posal and supp vironmental reving, or have been 23, 2016 and expenses and expenses and expenses and expenses and expenses and expenses are the expenses and expenses are the expenses and expenses are the expenses are	this proposal and supporting information of environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (b). ignificant impacts on the environment as 771.117(b). As such, the project is categironmental Policy Act. The State has be rmination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 1, 2016, executed between the FHWA and FHWA and the State has determined in th	Date I, the State has Is excluded from the Is defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from en assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, id the State. The State Ined that the project is a required by applicable 3 USC 327 and the				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 29-CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env. • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has or that there are no unusual circ the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 are determined	7, and based on an evely have a significationmental Assessminations pursuant to 2 MINATION (Chelletermined that this pumstances as descripted in EA or EIS under the the responsibility to flum of Understanding of is a Categorical Estivity (c)(_22) etivity (d)() Appendix A of the amination of this property and understanding of this property of this project are being dated December 1	examination of the impact on the ent (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.117 eck one) project has no sibed in 23 CFR ne National Env make this deter g dated May 31 exclusion under: MOU between posal and supp vironmental reving, or have been 23, 2016 and expenses and expenses and expenses and expenses and expenses and expenses are the expenses and expenses are the expenses and expenses are the expenses are	this proposal and supporting information be environment as defined by NEPA, and ironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (b). ignificant impacts on the environment as 771.117(b). As such, the project is categironmental Policy Act. The State has be rmination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 1, 2016, executed between the FHWA and FHWA and the State has determined, consultation, and any other actions en, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 2 xecuted by FHWA and Caltrans. William M. Nichols	n, the State has is excluded from the s defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from en assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, id the State. The State ined that the project is a required by applicable 3 USC 327 and the				

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

2019058115

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

Continuation Sileet									
11-SD-8	R31.8/R41.7	42370	1116000059						
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.						
Continued from page 1:	-								

In addition to the lane replacement work, other work includes:

Full depth removal and replacement of the outside mainlane asphalt concrete AC shoulder with Concrete CRCP structural section covering postmiles R31.8 to R37.83 and with HMA structural section between postmiles R37.85 to R39.64; remaining outside mainline shoulder rehabilitation by cold plane and overlay at a depth of 0.15' with RHMA between postmiles R39.66 to R41.7; rehabilitation by cold plane of the inside mainlane AC shoulder at a depth of 0.20' between postmiles R31.8 to R41.7; individual slab replacement and PCC grinding of existing concrete pavement where lanes are not being replaced; replacing dikes to current standards along with the placement of shoulder backing; placing rumble strip along the inside and outside mainlane shoulders; cold plane and overlay with RHMA all on and off ramps and Vista Point viewing lot at a depth of 0.20'; upgrade existing metal beam guard railing along with replacing bridge railing at 4 different structures; replacing bridge approach and departure slabs; upgrading one ADA curb ramp at the Vista Point viewing lot; rehabilitation of two culverts; reconfigure existing GDO inlets to remove the curb opening face.

Please see attached Environmental Commitments Record for a list of commitments. Caltrans Environmental must be notified of any changes in project scope or footprint.

Governor's Office of Planning & Research
MAY 0.7 2010
STATE CLEARING HOUSE