

COUNTY OF LAKE

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Planning Division Courthouse - 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, California 95453 Telephone 707/263-2221 FAX 707/263-2225

May 29, 2019

California Environmental Quality Act

INITIAL STUDY 17-35

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

1. Project Title:	Middletown Multi-Use Path
2. Permit Number:	IS17-35; GPC17-08
3. Lead Agency Name and Address:	County of Lake Community Development Department Planning Division Courthouse – 255 North Forbes Street Lakeport CA 95453
4. Contact Person and Phone Number:	Peggy Barthel, Associate Resource Planner II (707) 263-2221
5. Project Location:	Along the north and west portions of HWY 29, between Rancheria Road and Central Park Road in State Highway 29 Right-of-Way, Middletown; Detert Reservoir and Mount St. Helena Quads, Collayomi Section; T10N R7W, M.D.M.
6. Project Sponsor's Name and Address:	County of Lake Department of Public Works 255 N Forbes St Lakeport, CA 95453
7. General Plan Designation:	Project is within Highway Right-of-Way; Resort Commercial, Rural Residential; Agricultural, Suburban Residential Reserve, and Service Commercial outside project boundary
8. Zoning:	Project is within Highway Right-of-Way; "CR-RR-A-SR-C3" Resort Commercial, Rural Residential; Agricultural, Suburban Residential Reserve, and Service Commercial outside project boundary; "SC- DR" Scenic, Design Review Combining Districts outside project boundary

9. Description of Project: (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary).

The project would construct a Class I multi-use path within the State Route 29 right of way from the intersection with Rancheria Road to the intersection with Central Park Road, in the community of Middletown located in southern Lake County. The path would be approximately one mile long and 20 feet wide. The proposed path consists of a four-foot gravel equestrian trail bordered by an eight-foot paved path, bordered by two-foot gravel shoulder, bordered by an earthen drainage swale. In addition, there are two drainages that will need to be crossed. Neither of the drainages are blue line streams as shown on the USGS quad maps. A topo survey is being

completed in order to finalize the design of the crossings which may include a pedestrian bridge, culverts and /or drainage inlets.

In the Middletown area, the County road network is fragmented with the only route through town (south of Central Park Road) being SR 29, which does not provide the Complete Street needs of the community. In addition, high speed traffic on State Route 29 (45+ mph) creates an unsuitable and dangerous environment for most bicyclists and pedestrians. The proposed project is being funded by Caltrans's Active Transportation Program and will effectively close the gap in non-motorized facilities and increase public safety through the Middletown area.

Currently, the proposed location contains unimproved trails. Construction would be completed within one season. It is anticipated that construction equipment would be stored/staged within County of Lake or Caltrans right-ofway, or on private property negotiated by the contractor. It is not anticipated that any trees will need to be removed for construction.

10. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

The project is located south of Middletown, on the west side of Highway 29 within Highway Right-of-Way. Surrounding land use is residential, agricultural, and commercial. The project area is flat.

11. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., Permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.)

California Dept of Fish and Wildlife	 Streambed Alteration Agreement
Army Corps of Engineers	 Permits for excavation and filling of waters of the US
CVRWQCB	 Water Quality Certification; Construction General Permit
Cal Trans	 Active Transportation Program

12. Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality, etc.? Note: Conducting consultation early in the CEQA process allows tribal governments, lead agencies, and project proponents to discuss the level of environmental review, identify and address potential adverse impacts to tribal cultural resources, and reduce the potential for delay and conflict in the environmental review process. (See Public Resources Code section 21080.3.2.) Information may also be available from the California Native American Heritage Commission's Sacred Lands File per Public Resources Code section 5097.96 and the California Historical Resources Information System administered by the California Office of Historic Preservation. Please also note that Public Resources Code section 21082.3 (c) contains provisions specific to confidentiality.

Requests for review of the project were sent to local tribes. Koi Nation indicated that they had no interest in the project. Middletown Rancheria requested that the applicant engage with the Tribe in a Cultural Resources Monitoring Agreement for the preservation and protection of all cultural resources during all ground disturbance activities as identified by the Middletown Rancheria. A copy of the Agreement shall be provided to the Community Development Department.

```
01-Lake County-02
```



Plot Date: May 14, 2015 at 05:52 pm

overt Nome Lapotion

Niddletown-imoge.tif, Xrefs VCMI 30 Projects/10.33085/0wd/L

Tages



Attachment D - Page 3 of 3

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

	Aesthetics		Green House Gas Emissions		Public Services
	Agriculture & Forestry	\boxtimes	Hazards & Hazardous Materials		Recreation
\boxtimes	Air Quality	\square	Hydrology /Water Quality		Transportation
\boxtimes	Biological Resources		Land Use / Planning	\boxtimes	Tribal Cultural Resources
\boxtimes	Cultural Resources		Mineral Resources		Utilities / Service Systems
	Energy		Noise	\boxtimes	Wildfire
\square	Geology / Soils		Population / Housing	\boxtimes	Mandatory Findings of Significance

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Initial Study prepared by: Peggy Barthel, Associate Resource Planner

SIGNATURE

Date: 5/29/2019

Michalyn DelValle, Director Community Development Department

SECTION 1

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, and then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures, which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance

KEY: 1 = Potentially Significant Impact

- 2 = Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation
- **3** = Less Than Significant Impact
- 4 = No Impact

IMPACT CATEGORIES*	1	2	3	4	All determinations need explanation. Reference to documentation, sources, notes and correspondence.	Source Number**			
I. AESTHETICS Except as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the project:									
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			X		The project is not located in view of a scenic vista. The proposed path is low profile and the visual impacts are anticipated to be negligible. There may be a temporary visual impact to the site during construction related to the presence of equipment, materials and earthmoving activities; however, this would be a temporary impact and is not considered significant.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6			
 b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? c) In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point). If the project is in an urbanized 			X		No scenic resources would be disturbed within a state scenic highway. Although Highway 29 is a designated scenic corridor by the Lake County General Plan and "eligible" for scenic designation by the California Department of Transportation, it is not a designated state scenic highway. The project is anticipated to have only temporary visual impacts during construction and would not significantly impact visual resources in the area. See response to Section I (a).	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6			
 area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality? d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or pichtime views in the area? 				X	No lighting is proposed. There is no proposed nighttime work that would involve lighting.	1, 2 3, 4, 5			
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	ucts to	o ag		I.					

In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment Project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:

measurement methodology provided in Forest protocols ddopled by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project.						
"Prime Farmland" and	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,					
uses will be affected	6, 8, 9					
t-of-way.						
	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,					
	6, 8, 9					
	"Prime Farmland" and uses will be affected					

IMPACT					All determinations need explanation.	Source
CATEGORIES*	1	2	3	4	Reference to documentation, sources, notes and correspondence.	Number**
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				X	Construction would take place within the highway right-of-way. The project would not result in the rezone of forest land, timber land, or Timberland Production lands.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				X	The project would not result in the loss or conversion of forest land to a non-forest use.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non- forest use?				X	The project would not induce changes to existing farmland that would result in its conversion to non-agricultural use. The project would involve impacts to existing Caltrans right-of-way.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
					III. AIR QUALITY	•
Where available, the significance c	riter				d by the applicable air quality management district or air pollution control district nake the following determinations. Would the project:	may be relied
 a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any 		X		X	 Removed vegetation would be chipped and used for erosion control or compost; burning is not authorized. Fugitive dust and emissions related to construction activities have the potential to result in conflict with local air quality plans. Mitigation Measures: AQ-1: Work practices shall implement standard fugitive dust control measures consistent with the rules and regulation of the Lake County Air Quality Management District at all times during construction to reduce the impact of fugitive dust emissions to a less than significant level in staging areas, work areas, and adjoining roads. AQ-2: Vehicles and equipment shall be well-maintained and in compliance with State emission requirements. The Lake County Air Basin is designated as an attainment area. No criteria pollutants for the project region have been exceeded. 	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11
criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under and applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?						
c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?		X			The project is located in a rural area where the surrounding parcels contain residences, agricultural uses, or commercial uses. Residences and schools exist on either side of the highway in the vicinity of the project. While the project is not expected to result in significant air quality impacts, implementation of Mitigation Measures AQ-1 and AQ-2 would further ensure that sensitive receptors would not be exposed to substantial pollutant concentrations.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11
d) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors or dust) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?			Х		Dust or objectionable odors resulting from path surfacing activities are expected to be temporary and not significant in impact to surrounding properties.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Would the project:									
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	X		A Natural Environment Study was prepared by Gallaway Enterprises in May 2019. Rincon Ridge ceanothus is the only special-status plant species that was determined to have potential to occur within the biological study area (BSA). The Project will have no impact on Rincon Ridge ceanothus since the small cluster of potential plants will be completely avoided. Nesting habitat is present for a variety of migratory bird and raptor species. There is suitable nesting habitat for purple martins. Vegetation and ground disturbance should take place between September 1 and January 31 to avoid impacts to these species. There is habitat suitable for roosting by pallid, western red, silver-haired, and hoary bats. Trees containing sloughing bark, cavities, or crevices should be removed between October 15 and February 15 to avoid roosting. The BSA contains ephemeral drainages that provide habitat for western pond turtles only when water is present in the winter/spring. With the implementation of avoidance and minimization measures there will be no impacts to western pond	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13					
			turtle. Mitigation Measures:						
			BIO-1: Any vegetation removal and/or ground disturbance activities should take place during the avian non-nesting season (September 1 – January 31). If construction is to begin during the avian nesting season (February 1 – August 31) then a migratory bird and raptor survey shall be conducted within the BSA by a qualified biologist. A qualified biologist shall:						
				• Conduct a survey for all birds protected under the MBTA and CFGC within seven days prior to the start of construction activities, and map all active nests (i.e. with eggs or young) located within 200 feet of construction areas;					
				• Develop buffer zones around active nests. Construction activity shall be prohibited within the buffer zones until the young have fledged or the nest fails. Nests shall be monitored at least once per week and a report submitted to the Community Development Department monthly.					
			• If a lapse in construction activities of ten or more days occurs, then another migratory bird and raptor survey shall be conducted prior to reinitiating construction activities.						
			• All staging and construction activity will be limited to designated areas within the Project site and designated routes for construction equipment shall be established in order to limit disturbance to the surrounding area.						
			BIO-2: Project activities related to site grubbing and vegetation removal within the BSA shall be initiated outside of the purple martin nesting season (February 1 – August 31). If Project activities that involve vegetation removal cannot be initiated outside of the purple martin nesting season, then the following will occur:						
			• A qualified biologist will conduct a pre-construction survey within seven days prior to the start of vegetation removal.						
			• If an active purple martin nest (i.e. with egg or young) is observed within 250 feet of the Project site during the pre-construction survey, then a species protection buffer will be established. The						

	, ,				
				species protection buffer will be defined by the qualified biologist in	
				consultation with CDFW. Construction activity shall be prohibited	
				within the buffer zones until the young have fledged or the nest fails. Note shall be maniford at least once nor week and a report	
				fails. Nests shall be monitored at least once per week and a report submitted to the Community Development Department weekly.	
				submitted to the Community Development Department weekly.	
				BIO-3: If trees containing suitable bat habitat (i.e. sloughing bark, cavities, or crevices) are removed between February 15 and October 15, a qualified biologist will conduct a preconstruction survey for roosting bats within three days prior to tree removal. The survey will focus on suitable habitat to determine the absence or presence of roosting bats and type of roost within the tree. If the preconstruction survey determines that bats are not using the trees onsite as day roosts, then tree removal can proceed as planned. If the tree is being utilized as a day roost and the qualified biologist determines that it is a maternity roost, then removal of the tree will be postponed until consultation with CDFW occurs. If the roost is not a maternity roost or if	
				tree removal occurs during the winter months (i.e. October 16 – February 14), then the following phased removal of the occupied tree will be implemented:	
				• Day 1: All unoccupied roosting habitat (e.g. crevices, sloughing bark, cavities) should be removed or altered to make it less desirable for roosting. All portions of the tree that do not contain suitable habitat can be removed while avoiding occupied habitat.	
				• Day 2: All remaining portion of the tree including suitable roosting habitat can be removed.	
				BIO-4: Project activities related to disturbing existing drainages should not occur when water is present. If project activities that involve disturbing existing drainages while water is present cannot be avoided:	
				• A qualified biologist will conduct a pre-construction survey within three days prior to the start of ground disturbing activities within the drainage or any in-water work.	
				• A qualified biologist will be on site to monitor initial ground disturbing activities within the drainage or any in-water work. If a western pond turtle is observed by the biologist, ground disturbing activities and/or in-water work will cease until the biologist can relocate the turtle to a safe location in suitable habitat outside the work area.	
b) Have a substantial adverse			Х	No riparian vegetation will be removed for this project. Two drainages will be	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
effect on any riparian habitat or				crossed. These drainages are not shown on USGS quad maps as intermittent or	6, 12, 13, 14
other sensitive natural community				perennial streams.	
identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or					
by the California Department of					
Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and					
Wildlife Service?					
whulle beivice?					

 c) Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? d) Interfere substantially with the 	X	<complex-block></complex-block>	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13, 14
movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?		interfere with the movement of wildlife in the creek.	6, 12, 13, 14

 e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state 		X	The Lake County General Plan adopted Policy OSC-1.13 (Management of Oak Woodland Communities), which outlines the importance of preservation of oak woodland habitats within the county. Montane Hardwood-Conifer woodland habitat contains California black oak and valley oak. The project has potential to remove two oak trees with diameter at breast height of six inches or greater. Mitigation Measure BIO-5: Prior to oak tree removal, a revegetation plan shall be approved by the Community Development Department. The plan shall include replacement of mature oak trees (diameter greater than six inches at breast height) removed during construction with native species that have been recorded in the project area. Three oak trees shall be planted to replace each mature oak tree removed. Maintenance of the replacement trees shall continue until permanent establishment is achieved. The project would not conflict with any established conservation plan.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13, 14
habitat conservation plan?				
		<u> </u>	V. CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project:	
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to \$15064.5?	x		The scope and location of the project is not expected to impact historical, archaeological, or paleontological resources, geologic features, or human remains. An Archaeological Survey Report and Historic Property Survey Report were prepared by LSA Associates, Inc. in April 2019. No archaeological cultural resources were discovered in the Project Area Limits (PAL) which encompasses approximately 23 acres along the one-mile span. Two resources were identified within 0.5 miles of the PAL. Archaeologically-sensitive Holocene-aged soils will not be impacted because project excavation will remain within the overlain sterile fill soils associated with previous construction and maintenance of State Highway 29. In areas where the project will extend deeper than fill soils, the land formation predates human occupation. Additionally, the Project will not result in any potentially significant impacts on built environment resources since it will be confined to State Highway right-of-way. It is Caltrans' policy to avoid cultural resources whenever possible. If buried cultural materials are encountered during construction, it is Caltrans' policy that work stop in that area until a qualified archaeologist can evaluate the nature and significance of the find. Northwest Information Center's California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) reported that no cultural resources were identified in previous studies that covered a combined total of 100% of the project area. The area has a low possibility of containing unrecorded archaeological sites. Middletown Rancheria. If a Cultural Resources Monitoring Agreement for the preservation and protection of all cultural resources during all ground disturbance activities as identified by the Middletown Rancheria. If a Cultural Resources Monitoring Agreement is prepared, a copy of the Agreement shall be provided to the Community Development Department.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 15

b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?	X			See response to Section V (a).	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 15
c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	X			See response to Section V (a). Mitigation Measure CULT-2: The applicant shall halt all work and immediately contact the Lake County Sheriff's Department, Middletown Rancheria, and the Community Development Department if any human remains are encountered.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 15
				VI. ENERGY Would the project:	
a) Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?			X	The proposed project would not consume excessive amounts of energy.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
b) Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?			Х	The proposed project would not conflict with or obstruct an energy plan.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
				VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS <i>Would the project:</i>	
 a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist- Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. ii) Strong seismic ground shaking? iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? iv) Landslides? 		X		Earthquake Faults An Earthquake Fault Zone map has not been established in the project vicinity by the California Geological Survey under the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act. The proposed path would be designed to meet current safety and seismic codes. Seismic Ground Shaking and Seismic–Related Ground Failure, including <u>liquefaction.</u> Lake County contains numerous known active faults. Future seismic events in the Northern California region can be expected to produce seismic ground shaking at the site. All construction would be required to be built consistent with Current Seismic Safety construction standards. Landslides According to the Lawrence Livermore landslide map series for Lake County, the area is considered generally stable and not a landslide risk.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 16, 17, 18, 19

b) Result in substantial soil	Х			According to the soil survey of Lake County, prepared by the U.S.D.A., the soil in	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
erosion or the loss of topsoil?				the project area is Jafra loam with 2-5% slopes; and Kelsey fine sandy loam and	6,8
1				Talmage very gravelly sandy loam with 0-2% slopes (soil units 144, 147, 237).	<i>,</i>
				The soils consist of loam derived from mixed rock sources. The permeability is	
				moderately slow to moderately rapid, runoff is very slow to slow, and the hazard	
				of erosion is slight. Nevertheless, improper earthwork without necessary erosion	
				control measures can cause the potential for substantial soil erosion.	
				Mitigation Measure GEO-1: The project design shall incorporate	
				appropriate BMPs consistent with County and State storm water drainage	
				regulations to the maximum extent practicable. Typical BMPs include	
				scheduling of activities; erosion and sediment control (placement of straw,	
				mulch, reseeding, straw wattles, silt fencing and planting of native vegetation on all disturbed areas); and operation and maintenance procedures. The site	
				shall be monitored during the rainy season (October 15-April 15) and	
				erosion controls maintained. The BMPs will prevent or reduce discharge of	
				all construction or post-construction pollutants and hazardous materials	
				offsite.	
c) Be located on a geologic unit or	Х			According to the soil survey of Lake County, prepared by the U.S.D.A., the soils	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
soil that is unstable, or that would				at the site are considered "generally stable" and there is little risk of landslide at	6, 8, 17, 18,
become unstable as a result of the				the site. The soil unit is considered to have a slight hazard of erosion and slow	19, 20
project, and potentially result in				rate of surface runoff. Nevertheless, improper earthwork resulting in erosion has	
on-site or off-site landslide, lateral				the potential to induce localized earth movement.	
spreading, subsidence, liquefaction					
or collapse?				Implementation of Mitigation Measure GEO-1 would reduce potential	
			v	erosion impacts to less than significant.	1 2 2 4 5
d) Be located on expansive soil, as			Х	The shrink swell potential for soil unit 150 is low. There is no increased risk to	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994),				life or property.	6, 8
creating substantial direct or					
indirect risks to life or property?					
e) Have soils incapable of			Х	No septic tanks are proposed or needed for the project.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
adequately supporting the use of			~	To septic tanks are proposed of needed for the project.	1, 2, 3, 4, 3
septic tanks or alternative					
wastewater disposal systems where					
sewers are not available for the					
disposal of waste water?					
f) Directly or indirectly destroy a			Х	No paleontological resources or unique geologic features were identified in the	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
unique paleontological resource or				project area.	15
site or unique geologic feature?					
				VIII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	
				Would the project:	
a) Generate greenhouse gas		Х		In general, GHG emissions from construction activities include the use of	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
emissions, either directly or				construction equipment, haul trucks, worker commute vehicles, and stationary	11
indirectly, that may have a				equipment (such as generators, if any). Greenhouse gas emissions resulting from	
significant impact on the				the temporary use of standard grading equipment would be negligible and would	
environment?				not result in a significant impact to the environment.	
b) Conflict with an applicable			Х	This project would not conflict with any adopted plans or policies for the	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
plan, policy or regulation adopted				reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.	11
for the purpose of reducing the					
emissions of greenhouse gases?					

IX. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS Would the project:								
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?		X			The new path would not create an increased routine hazard for accidents that could involve the release of hazardous materials into the environment. However, there is the potential that construction activities related to the staging areas and installation of the new path could involve the accidental spill of hazardous materials as spills from construction equipment. Construction activities would be temporary in nature, and with proper control measures the impact would be less than significant. Mitigation Measure HAZ-1: Any spills of oils, fluids, fuel, concrete, or other	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 21, 22		
					hazardous construction material shall be immediately cleaned up. All equipment and materials shall be stored in the staging areas away from the creek; vehicles and equipment shall receive proper and timely maintenance.			
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonable foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?		X			See response to Section VIII (a).	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 21, 22		
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				X	The project will not emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous materials.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6		
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				X	The project location is not listed as a site containing hazardous materials.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 23		
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				X	The project is not located within an airport land use plan or within 2 miles of an airport.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 24		
f) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			X		The path would not physically interfere with an adopted emergency response or evacuation plan. The project will effectively close the gap in non-motorized facilities and increase public safety through the Middletown area. Local sheriff, fire districts, and ambulance services shall be notified prior to the commencement of construction with information specifying the date and times of anticipated traffic delays and diversions. All traffic delays shall be minimized whenever possible.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 21		

g) Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires?	X		Fire hazard in the area is moderate. Equipment and vehicles have the potential to ignite wildland fires in the staging areas, and during land clearing and grading activities. Mitigation Measures: HAZ-2: Brush shall be cut and removed and grass shall be mowed in the staging areas. HAZ-3: Vehicles and equipment shall be maintained and operated in a manner to prevent hot surfaces, sparks or any other heat sources from igniting grasses, brush or other highly combustible material.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 21, 25
		1	X. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY <i>Would the project:</i>	
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality?	X		The project site is relatively flat and not within a special flood hazard area. Flood hazard area is identified on the opposite side of the highway. Construction activities have the potential to result in erosion and sediment loss if the site is not properly managed. Implementation of Mitigation Measures GEO-1 and HAZ-1 will reduce potential impacts to less than significant.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 14, 16, 26, 27
b) Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?		Х	The project does not propose to utilize groundwater resources. There is no anticipated impact to ground water levels as a result of the project.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
 c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner that would: i) result in substantial erosion or siltation on-site or off-site; ii) substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or offsite; iii) create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or iv) impede or redirect flood flows? 	X		The project will not alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area. Construction activities have the potential to result in erosion and sediment loss if the site is not properly managed. Implementation of Mitigation Measures GEO-1 and HAZ-1 will reduce potential impacts to less than significant.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 14, 26, 27
d) In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation?		X	The project site is not located in an area of potential inundation by seiche or tsunami. The soils at the project site are relatively stable and the site is flat therefore has a minimal potential to induce mudflows.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 16, 17, 18, 19
e) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?		X	The project would not conflict with or obstruct water quality or management plans.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 26

				XI. LAND USE AND PLANNING Would the project:	
a) Physically divide an established community?			X	The project would not divide a community. The project will effectively close the gap in non-motorized facilities and increase public safety through the Middletown area.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 30
b) Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?			X	The Lake Area Planning Council (APC) commented that the group supports the project as it is consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan (2010 and Draft 2017), Goal P2 and Action Item P2a of the Middletown Community Action Plan (2014), and is also referred to in the "Priority Improvement Plan South of Downtown" component of the SR 29 Engineered Feasibility Study (2014). Caltrans commented that the agency is in full support of this project, and that it is funded with State Active Transportation Program funds administered thru the Caltrans Office of Local Assistance.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
				XII. MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project:	
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?			X	Project site is not identified by the Lake County Aggregate Resource Management Plan as a mineral resource site.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 28
 b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan? 			X	See response to Section XII (a).	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 28
	I		<u> </u>	XIII. NOISE Would the project result in:	
a) Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?		X		There is the potential that construction activities could increase temporary ambient noise levels in the vicinity. All construction activities, including engine warm-up, are limited to from 7AM to 7PM to reduce the impact to a less than significant level. Back-up beepers shall be adjusted to the lowest allowable levels.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
b) Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?		X		Construction activities may result in small scale ground vibrations related to grading and excavation activities. However, this vibration would be short-term and is not anticipated to affect neighboring properties. Impacts are expected to be less than significant.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
c) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?			X		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 24
			<u> </u>	XIV. POPULATION AND HOUSING Would the project:	
a) Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			X	The project would not induce substantial population growth in the area.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5

b) Displace substantial numbers of			Х	No housing would be displaced as a result of the project	1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
existing people or housing,									
necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?									
replacement nousing elsewhere?				XV. PUBLIC SERVICES					
XV. PUBLIC SERVICES Would the project:									
a) Would the project result in			Х	The project would not require new police protection, schools, parks, or other	1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
substantial adverse physical				public facilities.					
impacts associated with the									
provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities,									
need for new or physically altered									
governmental facilities, the									
construction of which could cause									
significant environmental impacts,									
in order to maintain acceptable									
service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for									
any of the public services:									
J I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I									
Fire Protection?									
Police Protection?									
Schools? Parks?									
Other Public Facilities?									
	<u> </u>			XVI. RECREATION					
				Would the project:					
a) Increase the use of existing			Х	The project would not impact the use of recreational facilities. The project will	1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such				effectively close the gap in non-motorized facilities and increase public safety through the Middletown area.					
that substantial physical				unough the whichletown area.					
deterioration of the facility would									
occur or be accelerated?									
b) Does the project include			Х	The project does not include recreational facilities nor require the construction or	1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of				expansion of recreational facilities.					
recreational facilities which might									
have an adverse physical effect on									
the environment?									
				XVII. TRANSPORTATION Would the project:					
a) Conflict with a program plan,		X		Lake APC commented that the group supports the project as it is consistent with	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,				
ordinance or policy addressing the				the Regional Transportation Plan (2010 and Draft 2017), Goal P2 and Action Item	6, 7, 21, 29,				
circulation system, including				P2a of the Middletown Community Action Plan (2014), and is also referred to in	30				
transit, roadway, bicycle and				the "Priority Improvement Plan South of Downtown" component of the SR 29					
pedestrian facilities?				Engineered Feasibility Study (2014).					
				Local sheriff, fire districts, and ambulance services shall be notified prior to the					
				commencement of construction with information specifying the date and times					
				of anticipated traffic delays and diversions. All traffic delays shall be					
				minimized whenever possible. The date and time of closures shall be posted a					
				minimum of 72 hours in advance along with the proposed detour route.					
b) Would the project conflict or be			X	Construction road closures during school bussing hours shall be avoided. The project has no impact on vehicle miles traveled.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
inconsistent with CEQA				The project has no impact on venicie miles traveled.	1, 2, 3, т, 3				
Guidelines section 15064.3,									
subdivision (b)?									

		1		v	ווי י ותר יו גו גו גו גו אי ווי יו די י	1 2 2 4 5
c) Substantially increase hazards				Х	The existing road alignment would not be altered by this project. The project will	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
due to a geometric design feature					effectively close the gap in non-motorized facilities and increase public safety	6, 30
(e.g., sharp curves or dangerous					through the Middletown area.	
intersections) or incompatible uses						
(e.g., farm equipment)?						1.2.2.4.5
d) Result in inadequate emergency				Х	The project will not impact emergency access.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
access?						6, 30
			LI		XVIII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES	
Would the project cause a substantia	al ad	vers	o cha		in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code se	ction 21074 as
					geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place,	
enner a sue, jeanne, place, eanarai	iunu				value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:	or object with
i) Listed or eligible for listing in	1		min	X		1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
				Λ		
the California Register of					determined that no historic resources are present in or adjacent to the project area.	6, 15
Historical Resources, or in a local						
register of historical resources as						
defined in Public Resources Code						
section 5020.1(k), or						
ii) A resource determined by the		Х			An Archaeological Survey Report and Historic Property Survey Report were	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
lead agency, in its discretion and					prepared by LSA Associates, Inc. in April 2019. No archaeological cultural	6, 15
supported by substantial evidence,					resources were discovered in the Project Area Limits (PAL) which encompasses	
to be significant pursuant to					approximately 23 acres along the one-mile span.	
criteria set forth in subdivision (c)						
of Public Resources Code section					Middletown Rancheria requested that the applicant engage with the Tribe in a	
5024.1. In applying the criteria set					Cultural Resources Monitoring Agreement for the preservation and protection of	
forth in subdivision (c) of Public					all cultural resources during all ground disturbance activities as identified by the	
Resources Code 5024.1, the lead					Middletown Rancheria. A copy of the Agreement shall be provided to the	
agency shall consider the					Community Development Department.	
significance of the resource to a						
California Native American tribe.					Implementation of Mitigation Massures CULT 1 and CULT 2 will reduce	
					Implementation of Mitigation Measures CULT-1 and CULT-2 will reduce potential impacts to less than significant	
				v	IX. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS	
				Л	Would the project:	
					would the project.	
a) Exceed wastewater treatment				Х	Not applicable. Wastewater treatment facilities are not a part of the proposed	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
requirements of the applicable					project.	, , - , , -
Regional Water Quality Control					F2	
Board?						
b) Require or result in the				Х	This project would not induce the need for other facilities. Lake County Special	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
construction of new water or				11	Districts and Middletown Rancheria of Pomo Indians own and maintain sewage	31
wastewater treatment facilities or					collector lines that are likely in the proposed path route. Special Districts needs to	51
expansion of existing facilities, the					be in attendance at the pre-construction meeting.	
construction of which could cause					be in atchdance at the pre-construction meeting.	
significant environmental effects?						
0			\vdash	v	The project would not require the construction of a construction o	1 2 2 4 5
c) Require or result in the				Х	The project would not require the construction of new storm water facilities or	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
construction of new storm water					the expansion of existing facilities.	
drainage facilities or expansion of						
existing facilities, the	1					
construction of which could cause	1					
significant environmental effects?						
d) Have sufficient water supplies				Х	There is no requirement for water supplies for this project.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
available to serve the project from	1					
existing entitlements and	1					
resources, or are new or expanded	1					
entitlements needed?	1	1				

e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?		X	There is no need for wastewater treatment for this project.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?		Х	South Lake Resource Recovery & Compost and the Eastlake Sanitary Landfill are located approximately 18 miles north of the project site. Very little, if any, waste would be disposed at the local landfill. The landfill has the capacity to accommodate the minimal construction-related waste. The proposed project would not significantly impact local or regional landfills.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 32. 33
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?		Х	The project would comply with all federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 32, 33

XX. WILDFIRE

If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very high fire hazard severity zones, would the project:

ij iocuieu in or neur s	iuie	resp	onsi	Unin <u></u>	y areas or lands classified as very high fire hazard severity zones, would the project.	
a) Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				Х	The project would not impair any emergency plans. The project site is located in a moderate fire hazard severity zone and is in State (CalFire) Responsibility Area. The applicant will adhere to all Federal, State and local fire requirements/regulations.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 25
b) Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to, pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?		Х			The site is located in a moderate fire hazard area. Equipment and vehicles have the potential to ignite wildland fires during land clearing and grading activities. Implementation of Mitigation Measures HAZ-2 and HAZ-3 will reduce potential impacts to less than significant.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 25
c) Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?				X	No new infrastructure is proposed for this project.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
d) Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?				X	Risks will not be increased by the project. The project will effectively close the gap in non-motorized facilities and increase public safety through the Middletown area.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
			XX	XI.	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE	
a) Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?		X			The potential impacts to biological resources identified in the project area would be adequately minimized through the implementation of mitigation measures such that the project would have a less than significant impact on biological or cultural resources.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 13, 14, 15

b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	X		Potentially significant impacts have been identified related to Air Quality, Biological Resources, Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources, Geology/Soils, Hazards & Hazardous Materials, Hydrology/Water Quality, and Wildfire. Implementation of and compliance with mitigation measures identified in each section as project conditions of approval would avoid or reduce potential impacts to less than significant levels and would not result in cumulatively considerable environmental impacts.	ALL
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	X		This project is anticipated to have a positive effect for people living within the area by improving bridge safety. The mitigation measures relating to Air Quality, Biological Resources, Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources, Geology/Soils, Hazards & Hazardous Materials, Hydrology/Water Quality, and Wildfire would insure that there would be less than significant impacts to neighboring residents due to the construction.	ALL

* Impact Categories defined by CEQA

****Source List**

- 1. Lake County General Plan
- 2. Middletown Area Plan
- 3. Lake County Zoning Ordinance
- 4. Site Visit: 04/04/2012
- 5. Community Development Department Application
- 6. U.S.G.S. Topographic Maps
- 7. California Department of Transportation: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LandArch/16_livability/scenic_highways/index.htm
- 8. U.S.D.A. Lake County Soil Survey
- 9. Important Farmland Map https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/agriculture/
- 10. Lake County Serpentine Soil mapping
- 11. Lake County Air Quality Management District
- 12. California Natural Diversity Database
- 13. Middletown Multi-Use Path Project Natural Environment Study (Gallaway Enterprises) May 2019
- 14. Draft Delineation of Jurisdictional Waters of the United States (Gallaway Enterprises) February 2019
- 15. Historical Resources Compliance Report and Archaeological Survey Report, (LSA Associates, Inc.) April 2019
- 16. Lake County Natural Hazard database
- 17. U.S.G.S. Geologic Map and Structure Sections of the Clear Lake Volcanics, Northern California, Miscellaneous Investigation Series, 1995
- 18. Official Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone maps for Lake County
- 19. Lawrence Livermore landslide map series for Lake County, 1979
- 20. Lake County Grading Ordinance
- 21. Lake County Emergency Management Plan
- 22. Lake County Hazardous Waste Management Plan, adopted 1989
- 23. Hazardous Waste and Substances Sites List: www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public
- 24. Lake County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan, adopted 1992
- 25. California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, fire hazard mapping
- 26. National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)
- 27. FEMA flood hazard maps
- 28. Lake County Aggregate Resource Management Plan
- 29. 2010 Lake County Regional Transportation Plan, Dow & Associates, October 2010
- 30. Active Transportation Program Application Form 01-Lake County-02
- 31. County of Lake Special Districts
- 32. CalRecycle Solid Waste Information System http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/SWFacilities/Directory/Search.aspx
- 33. Lake County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and Siting Element, 1996