Print Form

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2019049101

Project Title: Whitecotton Cottage Demolition Project

Lead Agency: County of Alameda

Contact Name: Jason Garrison

Email: jason.garrison@acgov.org Phone Number: (510) 208-9520

Project Location: Unincorporated Alameda County
City *County*

Project Decription (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed project would involve the demolition of the existing Whitecotton cottage, an existing vacant 3,942 square-foot building with two stories above grade and a basement. Demolition of the structure would involve:

- The removal of asbestos-containing materials
- Stabilization of loose and peeling lead-based paint
- Removal and proper disposal of components coated with lead-based paint
- Excavation and disposal of approximately 222 cubic yards of soil, including lead contaminated soil around the structure
- Rough grading of the site

The County of Alameda General Services Agency would manage the demolition project and ensure compliance with all appropriate regulatory guidelines associated with hazardous materials abatement and demolition. All project activities, including demolition, excavation, remediation, and grading would be expected to take approximately eight weeks, including approximately two weeks for demolition, one week for excavation, four weeks for soil and waste testing, and one week for rough grading. There are no current redevelopment plans for the site. Once the structure is demolished and grading has occurred, the site would be covered in gravel.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Impacts to biological resources, specifically bird nests and birds which are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and bats, would be potentially significant. However, mitigation measures to project nesting/breeding native birds and special-status bat species would reduce potential impacts to less than significant levels.

Noise impacts, specifically from demolition noise and vibration, would be potentially significant. Mitigation measures to reduce noise and vibration during demolition would reduce impacts to less than significant levels.

Mitigation measures with direction for how to respond to unanticipated discovery of cultural resources and tribal cultural resources would reduce potential impacts to archaeological and tribal cultural resources to less than significant levels.

The Draft EIR evaluates Historical Resources impacts in detail and identifies mitigation measures related to documentation of Whitecotton Cottage and installation of an interpretive plaque discussing the history of the building. However, since the Whitecotton Cottage historical resource would be demolished impacts to Historical Resources would be significant and unavoidable even after the implementation of the mitigation measures.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Significant and unavoidable impacts to historical resources.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

None.