## Print Form

Form F

## Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

| Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact    |
|---|
| Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse |
| (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines     |
| Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the     |
| summary to each electronic copy of the document.  |
|   |

| SCH #: | 2 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
|        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | - | - |  |

Project Title: Golden Eagle Charter School Conditional Use Permit and Tentative Parcel Map

| Lead Agency: City of Mt. Shasta | - |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| ÷ ·                             |   |  |

Contact Name: Juliana Lucchesi, City Planner

## Email: jlucchesi@mtshastaca.gov

| Project Location: | Mt. Shasta | Siskiyou |
|-------------------|------------|----------|
|                   | City       | County   |

\_\_\_\_\_ Phone Number:(530) 926-7517

Project Decription (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Project site is located within the City of Mt. Shasta City limits on the west side of Pine Street, generally east of I-5, south/southeast of Lassen Lane, and north/northeast of W. Field Street. The applicant is proposing to construct a K-12 charter school. This is a non-Department of State Architect (DSA) project; therefore, the Project is subject to standard California Building Code (CBC) requirements. The Project includes a Tentative Parcel Map that would establish three parcels for financing purposes. The new school would have a floor area of ±35,500 square feet and include two parking areas and a drop-off/pick-up area for students. Access to the site would be from two new driveways off of Pine Street. Cedar Street in the southern Project area would serve as an emergency-only access route. The Project includes a future gymnasium and play field that would be constructed as funding becomes available; it is anticipated that the gymnasium would be ±7,500 square feet, and the play field would be ±35,000 square feet. Due to intentional scheduling, it is anticipated that no more than 200 students and 15 staff members would be on-site at the new location at any given time. The school operates from mid-August through May. Hours of operation are Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

As documented in the Initial Study and proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration, project implementation could result in visual impacts; loss of riparian habitat; loss of wetlands; disturbance of nesting migratory birds (if present); impacts to paleontological, cultural, and tribal cultural resources (if present); increased runoff due to the addition of impervious surfaces; the introduction and spread of noxious weeds during construction; temporarily increased risk of wildfires; temporarily increased air emissions; temporarily increased noise and vibration levels; and exposure of sensitive receptors to elevated noise levels.

Design features incorporated into the project would avoid or reduce certain potential environmental impacts, as would compliance with existing regulations and permit conditions. Remaining impacts can be reduced to levels that are less than significant through implementation of the mitigation measures presented in Section 1.9 of the Initial Study. Because the City of Mt. Shasta will adopt mitigation measures as conditions of project approval and will be responsible for ensuring their implementation, it has been determined that the project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

The Lead Agency is not aware of any issues that would be considered controversial, either to the public or to any regulatory agency.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

State Water Resources Control Board, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, California Department of Fish and Wildlife.