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## 1. Purpose of Fiscal Impact Analysis

This Fiscal Impact Analysis ("FIA") has been prepared to determine the estimated fiscal impacts on the City of Menifee ("City") in connection with the proposed development of Rockport Ranch ("Project"), a 305-unit residential development. The purpose of the FIA is to show the net benefit of the proposed land use plan compared to the existing land use plan. The reader should be aware that the FIA contains estimates or projections of the Project's future revenue and cost impacts on the City and actual fiscal results may vary from estimates because events and circumstances may occur in a manner that is different than projected in the FIA. The primary purpose of this FIA is to project the Project's net fiscal impact on the City's General Fund over a 20 -year time period.

## 2. Project Description

The Project is located slightly East of Highway 215 on the Southwestern corner of Newport Road and Briggs Road. The Project is proposing to implement a General Plan Amendment (GPA No. 2016-287), Change of Zone (CZ No. 2016-288), Specific Plan (SP No. 2016286), and Tentative Tract Map (TR No. 2016-285 [TR 37131]) to allow a subdivision with the proposed planning areas detailed in the land use assumption table below, located on 72.6 acres.

## LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS

| Planning Area | Residential Land Use <br> Designation | Density <br> Range | Net <br> Area | Proposed <br> Du's | Target <br> Density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PA-1 | Courtyard Residential <br> MHDR | $5-8$ <br> du/ac | 16.8 <br> acres | 96 | 5.71 |
| PA-2 | Single-Family <br> Residential MDR | $2-5$ <br> du/ac | 12.8 <br> acres | 60 | 4.69 |
| PA-3 | Single-Family <br> Residential MDR | $2-5$ <br> du/ac | 17.6 <br> acres | 79 | 4.49 |
| PA-4 | Single-Family <br> Residential MDR | $2-5$ <br> du/ac | 13.3 <br> acres | 43 | 3.23 |
| PA-5 | Single-Family <br> Residential MDR | $2-5$ <br> du/ac | 12.1 <br> acres | 27 | 2.23 |
| Specific Plan <br> Area Total | - | $\mathbf{2 - 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 3}$ |

Each planning area is anticipated to be comprised of 3 plans; 90 DU's of $40^{\prime}$ Wide product with an average square footage of $2,150,119 \mathrm{DU}$ 's of 50 ' Wide product with an average square footage of 2,860 and 96 DU's of Cluster product with an average square footage of 1,684.

## 3. FIA Limiting Conditions

The FIA is subject to the following limiting conditions:

- The FIA contains an analysis of recurring revenues and costs to the City from development of the Project. The FIA is based on estimates, assumptions, and other information developed from DPFG's research, interviews, telephone discussions with City staff, and information from DPFG's database which were collected through fiscal impact analyses previously prepared by DPFG and others.
- The sources of information and basis of the estimates are stated herein. While we believe the sources of information are reliable, DPFG does not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on the accuracy of such information.
- The analysis of recurring revenues and cost impacts to the City contained in the FIA is not considered to be a "financial forecast" or a "financial projection" as technically defined by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The word "projection" used within this report relates to broad expectations of future events or market conditions.
- Since the analyses contained herein are based on estimates and assumptions which are inherently subject to uncertainty and variation depending on evolving events, DPFG cannot represent that results will definitely be achieved. Some assumptions inevitably will not materialize and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur; therefore, the actual results achieved may vary from the projections.


## 4. General Sources of Information and Methodology Used in FIA

The FIA was prepared to estimate the allocable revenue and cost impacts to the City's general fund ("General Fund") as a result of the Project's development. The FIA uses a combination of case study methods and multiplier methods to estimate Project impacts.

When projecting fiscal impacts using a multiplier method, the FIA determines per capita/employee impacts by applying the appropriate per capita, per employee, and per capita and employee factors ("Factors") to the Project land use assumptions. The Factors were calculated using the City of Menifee Adopted 2017-18 Budget ("Budget"). Cost and revenue factors are projected in 2018 dollars.

Information used in preparing the FIA was obtained from the following sources: (1) City Adopted and Mid-Year Budgets; (2) Developer (land use information); (3) Sales price projections per Real Estate Economics; (4) the California Department of Finance (population information); and (5) the California Employment Development Department (employment information).

## 5. FIA Summary and Conclusions

The FIA examines the financial impact the Project will have on the General Fund over a 20year time period. The Project will generate additional revenue for the General Fund primarily through increased property taxes, property taxes in-lieu of vehicle license fees, sales tax, and Measure DD sales tax.

The Project's direct impact to the General Fund at build-out is summarized in Table 1 of this report.

Table 1 - Fiscal Impact Analysis Summary
Rockport Ranch
May 3, 2018

| Appendix | Buildout |  | Percent of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | \$ | 143,331 | 39.5\% |
| 5 |  | 74,373 | 20.5\% |
| 6 |  | 6,043 | 1.7\% |
| 7 |  | 34,005 | 9.4\% |
| 7 |  | 56,760 | 15.6\% |
| 8 |  | - | 0.0\% |
| 8 |  | 28,025 | 7.7\% |
| 8 |  | 9,796 | 2.7\% |
| 8 |  | 904 | 0.2\% |
| 8 |  | - | 0.0\% |
| 8 |  | 1,978 | 0.5\% |
| 8 |  | 4,769 | 1.3\% |
| 8 |  | 1,653 | 0.5\% |
| 8 |  | 1,239 | 0.3\% |
|  | \$ | 362,875 | 100.0\% |

## Recurring Expenditures:

| Measure DD | 7 | $\$$ | 34,005 | $10.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Legislative | 9 |  | 7,764 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Police Services | 9 |  | 117,837 | $37.9 \%$ |
| Fire Services | 9 |  | 91,305 | $29.4 \%$ |
| Emergency Operations Services | 9 |  | 68 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Animal Control | 9 |  | 3,846 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Public Works | 9 |  | 26,690 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Engineering Department | 9 |  | 12,137 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Finance Department | 9 |  | 17,282 | $5.6 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Total Recurring Expenditures |  | $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 1 0 , 9 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Net Annual General Fund Fiscal Surplus (Deficit) $\quad \$ \quad \mathbf{5 1 , 9 4 2}$

The following table shows the population and employment assumptions used in the FIA:

|  | City | Project |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Population | $90,660(\mathrm{a})$ | $976(\mathrm{a})$ |
| Employees | $34,600(\mathrm{~b})$ | - (c) |
| Residents + 50\% Employees | 107,960 | 976 |

## Footnotes:

(a) Per the California Department of Finance. Based on 3.2 persons per household.
(b) Per the California Employment Development Department.
(c) Per DPFG experience and database of Projects.

## 6. FIA Recurring Revenues

### 6.1 Property Tax

In addition to other ad valorem charges imposed by various local agencies, land owners in the State of California ("State") are required to pay annual property taxes of $1 \%$ on the assessed value of their property pursuant to Proposition 13. Each county in California is divided into tax rate areas ("TRA"). After the basic $1 \%$ property tax is collected by the County, the tax is allocated to various local agencies based on each agency's share of the basic tax within the property's applicable TRA. The project is anticipated to generate $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 3 , 3 3 1}$ in annual property taxes per Table 4 of Appendix A.

The following table summarizes the Project's Property Tax impact to the General Fund:

| Property Tax | Appendix <br> Table Ref. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Project Assessed Value |  | 3 |  | 109,871,260 |
| Basic Rate |  |  |  | 1.00\% |
| Basic Tax Paid | [1] |  | \$ | 1,098,713 |
| City General Fund Share of Basic Tax (a) | [2] | 2 |  | 6.618370\% |
| City General Fund Property Tax Revenue | $[3]=[1] \mathrm{x}[2]$ |  | \$ | 72,717 |
| City Fire Protection Share of Basic Tax (a) | [4] | 2 |  | 6.426982\% |
| City General Fire Protection Property Tax Revenue | $[5]=[1] \mathrm{x}[4]$ |  | \$ | 70,614 |
| Total Annual Property Taxes to City | $=[3]+[5]$ |  | \$ | 143,331 |

## Footnotes:

(a) Per County of Riverside Auditor/Controller. Based on proposed development located within Tax Rate Area 026-004.

### 6.2 Sales Tax

Under the California Sales and Use Tax Law, the sale of tangible personal property is subject to sales or use tax unless exempt or otherwise excluded. When the sales tax applies, the use tax does not apply and the opposite is also true. The sales tax is imposed on all retailers for the privilege of selling tangible personal property in the State and is measured by the retailer's gross receipts.

As of November 2016, the effective sales tax rate in the City of Menifee is $8.00 \%$ of taxable sales. The City receives $1.00 \%$ and the remainder goes to the State (6.50\%) and the Riverside County Transportation Commission ( $0.50 \%$ ). On November 8, 2016, the City passed Measure DD that authorized a $1.00 \%$ transaction and use tax, which increases the sales tax rate to $9.00 \%$. According to the City Manager's budget message in the FY 2017-18 City of Menifee Annual Budget, $66.22 \%$ of Measure DD revenue is dedicated towards fire and police services.

### 6.2.1 Off-Site Retail Sales and Measure DD Sales Tax

The City will receive sales tax revenue from taxable purchases made within the City but outside the Project area by the Project's residents. Per the Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Expenditure for 2016, a household spends $35 \%$ of their annual income on housing cost. Similarly, each household spends $74 \%$ of their income in consumer expenditure of which $32.56 \%$ counts towards taxable sales. It is assumed that $25 \%$ of the taxable sales is captured outside of the City, leaving $75 \%$ of taxable spending to estimate retail taxable expenditures captured by the City. The City will receive $1.00 \%$ in Sales Tax Revenue; $10.50 \%$ of the $1.00 \%$ in Use Tax Revenue and $1.00 \%$ in Measure DD will generate $\mathbf{\$ 5 6 , 7 6 0}$ in total off-site sales tax and $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 , 0 0 5}$ in Measure DD sales tax per Table 7 of Appendix A.

### 6.3 Property Tax In-Lieu of Vehicle License Fees ("VLF")

In May 2004, Governor Schwarzenegger proposed a swap of city and county VLF revenue for additional property tax share as part of a budget agreement between the State and local governments. The swap was included in the 2004 budget package. Under this legislation, property tax in-lieu of VLF is allocated to Cities and Counties pursuant to a complex formula involving each agency's relative share of assessed value. The property tax in-lieu of VLF revenue that will be generated by the Project can be estimated by determining the (i) percentage growth in the total assessed value of the City attributable to the Project and multiplying by (ii) the property tax in-lieu of VLF revenue of $\$ 6,062,792$ expected to be received per the Budget. Based on these calculations, the Project is anticipated to generate $\$ 74,373$ annually in property tax in-lieu of VLF revenue per Table 5 of Appendix A.

### 6.4 Property Transfer Tax

The County may levy a transfer tax at the rate of $\$ 0.55$ for each $\$ 500$ of assessed value. The FIA assumes a non-residential turnover rate of $10.00 \%$ of total assessed value per year (i.e. properties change ownership every 10 years on average). Using these assumptions, the City is anticipated to receive approximately $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 0 4 3}$ in annual property tax transfer revenue at buildout per Table 6 of Appendix A.

### 6.5 Other Revenues

The City receives various other revenues analyzed under the FIA. These revenues include:

- Franchise Fees
- County Augmentation
- Business License
- Recreation Program Fees
- Fines \& Fees
- Misc. Fees
- Transfer In

These revenues have been estimated using a Per Capita \& 50\% Employee Multiplier against the City's budgeted revenue for each respective revenue category. Based on the weighted average Per Capita \& 50\% Employee Multiplier, the total annual Other Revenues are anticipated to be $\$ 48,363$ at buildout per Table 8 of Appendix A.

## 7. FIA Recurring Costs

### 7.1 Legislative

The Legislative cost category includes City Council, City Manager, City Attorneys, City Clerk, and all administrative expenses relating to those positions. Using a Per Capita \& 50\% Employee Multiplier and a marginal increase of $50 \%$, the total annual Legislative services costs are anticipated to be $\$ 7,764$ at buildout per Table 9 of Appendix A.

### 7.2 Police Services

The Police Department, under the direction of Captain Greg Fellows, is charged with ensuring the safety of our community while maintaining the desired quality of life. The City contracts with the Riverside County Sheriff's Department for Police Services. Using a Per Capita \& 50\% Employee Multiplier and a marginal increase of $100 \%$, the total annual Police Services costs are anticipated to be $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 7 , 8 3 7}$ at buildout per Table 9 of Appendix A.

### 7.3 Fire Services

The City contracts with the Riverside County Fire Department for all Fire Services. Currently, the City operates four fire stations within the City Limits. Using a Per Capita \& $50 \%$ Employee Multiplier and a marginal increase of $100 \%$, the total annual Fire Services costs are anticipated to be $\$ \mathbf{9 1}, \mathbf{3 0 5}$ at buildout per Table 9 of Appendix A.

### 7.4 Emergency Operations Services

Using a Per Capita \& 50\% Employee Multiplier and a marginal increase of $100 \%$, the total annual Emergency Operations Services costs are anticipated to be $\mathbf{\$ 6 8}$ at buildout per Table 9 of Appendix A.

### 7.5 Animal Control

The City contracts with private agencies to provide field and shelter services to the public and also provides educational services about programs such as licensing, spay/neuter
services, and adoption. Using a Per Capita \& 50\% Employee Multiplier and a marginal increase of $100 \%$, the total annual Animal Control costs are anticipated to be $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 8 4 6}$ at buildout per Table 9 of Appendix A.

### 7.6 Measure DD

The Measure DD expenditure category includes all expenses related to the transaction and use tax which passed on November 8, 2016. The total annual Measure DD costs are anticipated to be $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 , 0 0 5}$ at buildout per Table 7 of Appendix A.

### 7.7 Public Works

The Public Works cost category includes Planning, Building Safety, Code Enforcement, Economic Development, and all public works administration expenses. Using a Per Capita \& 50\% Employee Multiplier and marginal increase of 100\%, the total annual Public Works costs are anticipated to be $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 , 6 9 0}$ at buildout per Table 9 of Appendix A.

### 7.8 Engineering Department

The Engineering cost category includes all engineering expenses as well as all costs associated with the NPDES. Using a Per Capita \& 50\% Employee Multiplier and marginal increase of $50 \%$, the total annual Engineering costs are anticipated to be $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 , 1 3 7}$ at buildout per Table 9 of Appendix A.

### 7.9 Finance Department

The Finance and General Government services cost category includes executive office, assessor/recorder/county clerk, treasurer - tax collector, Non-Departmental, Community Services, and human resources costs. Using a Per Capita \& 50\% Employee Multiplier and a marginal increase of $50 \%$, the total Finance Department costs are anticipated to be $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 , 2 8 2}$ at buildout per Table 9 of Appendix A.

## 8. Glossary of Defined Terms and Acronyms

| Budget | City Adopted Budget for Years 2017-2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
| County | County of Riverside |
| Project Planner | Abacherli Family Trust |
| DPFG | Development Planning \& Financing Group |
| FIA | Fiscal Impact Analysis |
| General Fund | City of Menifee General Fund |
| Project | Rockport Ranch |
| State | State of California |
| TRA | Tax Rate Area |
| VLF | Vehicle License Fees |

## Appendix A

Table 1 - Fiscal Impact Analysis Summary
Rockport Ranch
May 4, 2018
RASFT

| Recurring Revenues: | Appendix | Buildout |  | Percent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax | 4 | \$ | 143,331 | 39.5\% |
| Property Tax In-Lieu of MVLF | 5 |  | 74,373 | 20.5\% |
| Real Property Transfer Tax | 6 |  | 6,043 | 1.7\% |
| Measure DD | 7 |  | 34,005 | 9.4\% |
| Sales Tax | 7 |  | 56,760 | 15.6\% |
| Building/Planning/Engineering Fees | 8 |  | - | 0.0\% |
| Franchise Fees | 8 |  | 28,025 | 7.7\% |
| County Augmentation | 8 |  | 9,796 | 2.7\% |
| Business License | 8 |  | 904 | 0.2\% |
| Transient Occupancy Tax | 8 |  | - | 0.0\% |
| Recreation Program Fees | 8 |  | 1,978 | 0.5\% |
| Fines \& Fees | 8 |  | 4,769 | 1.3\% |
| Misc. Fees | 8 |  | 1,653 | 0.5\% |
| Transfer In | 8 |  | 1,239 | 0.3\% |
| Total Recurring Revenue |  | \$ | 362,875 | 100.0\% |
| Recurring Expenditures: |  |  |  |  |
| Measure DD | 7 | \$ | 34,005 | 10.9\% |
| Legislative | 9 |  | 7,764 | 2.5\% |
| Police Services | 9 |  | 117,837 | 37.9\% |
| Fire Services | 9 |  | 91,305 | 29.4\% |
| Emergency Operations Services | 9 |  | 68 | 0.0\% |
| Animal Control | 9 |  | 3,846 | 1.2\% |
| Public Works | 9 |  | 26,690 | 8.6\% |
| Engineering Department | 9 |  | 12,137 | 3.9\% |
| Finance Department | 9 |  | 17,282 | 5.6\% |
| Total Recurring Expenditures |  | \$ | 310,933 | 100.0\% |
| Net Annual General Fund Fiscal Surplus (Deficit) |  | \$ | 51,942 |  |

Table 2 - Post-ERAF Share of the Basic Tax Calculation Rockport Ranch

May 4, 2018

## TRA

| Agency | 026-004 (a) |
| :--- | ---: |
| General Purpose | $0.0000 \%$ |
| General | $12.0984 \%$ |
| County Free Library | $1.5720 \%$ |
| City of Menifee | $\mathbf{6 . 6 1 8 4 \%}$ |
| City of Menifee Fire Protection | $\mathbf{6 . 4 2 7 0 \%}$ |
| Menifee School | $3.4178 \%$ |
| Perris Union HS | $19.5530 \%$ |
| Mt San Jacinto Jr College | $4.3410 \%$ |
| Perris Area Elementary School Fund | $10.5231 \%$ |
| Perris Jr High Area Fund | $7.0214 \%$ |
| Riverside County Office of Education | $4.6065 \%$ |
| Riverside County Regional park and Open Space | $0.3802 \%$ |
| Flood Control Administration | $0.2572 \%$ |
| Flood Control Zone 4 | $4.0300 \%$ |
| CSA 33 Menifee | $0.0000 \%$ |
| CSA 86 Menifee | $0.0000 \%$ |
| CSA 146 Menifee | $0.0000 \%$ |
| CSA 152 | $0.0000 \%$ |
| Perris Valley Cemetery | $0.1663 \%$ |
| Valley Health Hospital District | $0.0000 \%$ |
| Southern California, JT (19, 30, 33,36, 37, 56) | $0.0000 \%$ |
| MWD East 1301999 | $0.0000 \%$ |
| EMWD | $2.9304 \%$ |
| EMWD IMP District 13 | $0.0000 \%$ |
| EMWD IMP District A | $0.0000 \%$ |
| San Jacinto Basin Resource Cons. | $0.0000 \%$ |
| ERAF Fund | $16.0573 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 0 0 \%}$ |
| Project Acres | 79.68 |
| \% Total | $100.00 \%$ |
| City of Menifee General Fund |  |
| City of Menifee Fire Protection | $6.6184 \%$ |
| Total to City |  |

## Footnotes:

[^0]Table 3 - Land Use Assumptions
Rockport Ranch


## Footnotes:

(a) Absorption for residential land use assumes 3 years for Project.
(b) Residential pricing and unit breakdown per Product and Pricing Recommendations, Housing Demand Profile and Optimized Land Plan for the Abacherli Dairy Site in Menifee dated July 2016.
(c) Per Derrigo Demogrpahic Studies, Demographic Analysis Report, City of Menifee, dated May 2013.

# Table 4 - Property Tax Summary <br> Rockport Ranch <br> May 4, 2018 

| Property Tax |  | Appendix <br> Table Ref. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Project Assessed Value |  | 3 | \$ | 09,871,260 |
| Basic Rate |  |  |  | 1.00\% |
| Basic Tax Paid | [1] |  | \$ | 1,098,713 |
| City General Fund Share of Basic Tax (a) | [2] | 2 |  | 6.618370\% |
| City General Fund Property Tax Revenue | [3]=[1]x[2] |  | \$ | 72,717 |
| City Fire Protection Share of Basic Tax (a) | [4] | 2 |  | 6.426982\% |
| City General Fire Protection Property Tax Revenue | [5]=[1]x[4] |  | \$ | 70,614 |
| Total Annual Property Taxes to City | $=[3]+[5]$ |  | \$ | 143,331 |

## Footnotes:

(a) Per County of Riverside Auditor/Controller. Based on proposed development located within Tax Rate Area 026-004.

Table 5 - MVLF Revenue Calculations
Rockport Ranch
May 4, 2018

I. MOTOR VEHICLE LICENSE FEE ('MVLF")

Nominal Dollars:
City Assessed Valuation (a)
Property Tax Vehicle License Fees (VLF) (b)
VLF Increase per $\$ 1,000$ increase in AV

| $[1]$ | $\$$ | FY 2017-18 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[2]$ | $6,65,564,917$ |  |
|  | $6,062,792$ |  |
|  | 0.6980 |  |

Property Tax in Lieu of MVLF:
Total Assessed Value (c)
Less: Existing Assessed Value
Net (New) Assessed Value

| $[4]$ | $\$$ | $109,871,260$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $[5]$ |  | $3,324,896$ |
| $[6]=[4]-[5]$ | $\$$ | $106,546,364$ |

Project Assessed Valuation / 1,000
VLF Increase per $\$ 1,000$ increase in AV
Property Tax in Lieu of MVLF

| $[7]=[6] / 1000$ | $\$$ | $106,546.36$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $[3]$ |  | 0.6980 |  |
|  | $=[3] *[7]$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{7 4 , 3 7 3}$ |

Footnotes:
(a) Per County of Riverside Assessor's Office 2017/2018 Assessment Roll.
(b) Per City of Menifee Mid-Year 2017-18 Budget, page 133.
(c) See Table 3 - Land Use Assumptions.

## Table 6 - Property Transfer Tax Rockport Ranch <br> May 4, 2018 <br> 

|  | Proposed <br> Project |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Assessed Value per Table 3 | $\$$ | $109,871,260$ |
| Turnover Rate | $\$$ | $10.00 \%$ |
| Annual Taxable Assessed Value | $10,987,126$ |  |
| Property Transfer Tax Rate (a) | $0.055000 \%$ |  |
| Total Annual Property Transfer Taxes | $\$$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0 4 3}$ |

Footnotes:
(a) The County may levy a transfer tax at the rate of $\$ 0.55$ for each $\$ 500$ of assessed value. A City within the County that levies this tax can levy a transfer tax at a rate of $\$ 0.55$ per $\$ 1,000$. If both the County and City levy the transfer tax, a credit shall be allowed against the amount imposed by the County in the amount of tax that is imposed by the City per California Revenue and Taxation Code 11911.

Table 7 - Sales Tax
A. Sales Tax
Off-Site Sales and Use Tax Revenue
Number of Units

Down Payment
Loan Amount
Annual Mortgage Payment (5.5\% Interest for 30 Yrs.)
HOA
\$ 300
Insurance
\$ 50
1.800\%
Total Annual Housing Costs Annual Income Required (Estimated 31\% Spent on Housing)
$35.00 \%$
Aggregate Income per Unit

|  | $\$$ | $28,560,372$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $73.65 \%$ |  | $21,034,714$ |
| $32.56 \%$ | $6,848,903$ |  |
| $0.00 \%$ |  | - |
| $25.00 \%$ |  | $(1,712,226)$ |
|  | $5,136,677$ |  |
| $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,367$ |  |
| $10.50 \%$ |  | 5,394 |
|  |  | $\$ 1]$ |

## B. Measure DD Sales Tax

Off-Site Measure DD Tax

|  | Amount |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\$$ |
| $1.00 \%$ | $5,136,677$ |  |
| $66.20 \%$ | $[2]$ | $\$$ |
|  | $[3]$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 0 0 5}$ |
|  | $[4]=[2]+[3]$ | $\$$ |
|  |  | $(34,005)$ |

## Total Measure DD Sales Tax

 (D)RAFT

Footnotes:
(a) Assumes base ad valorem rate of $1.13425 \%$ per $2017 / 18$ property tax bills adjusted to include probable facility and maintenance CFD's likely required for Project development.
(b) Per Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Expenditure for 2016.
(c) Represents the City of Menifee local sales tax Measure DD. Per measure DD, $1 \%$ of the sales tax is transferred to the City's general fund.
(d) Per City's FY 2017-18 Annual Budget, City Manager's Budget Message.
(e) Site is expected to incur expenditures equal to all revenue generated by Measure DD.

| $\underline{\text { Revenue Category }}$ | Table 8 - General Fund Revenues Rockport Ranch May 4, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2017-18 <br> Annual Budget <br> (a) |  | City <br> Equivalent Units (b) | Measure | Factor | Project <br> Equivalent <br> Units (c) |  | oject enues |
| Property Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Secured Prop Tax | \$ | 5,545,000 | --- | -------------------See Table | 4-- |  |  |  |
| Current Unsecured Prop Tax |  | 200,000 | - | Not Used | \$ | \$ |  | - |
| Current Year Homeowners Prop Tax |  | 65,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Prior Yr Secured Prop Tax |  | 100,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Prior Year Unsecured Prop Tax |  | 20,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Current Year Supplemental |  | 35,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Prior Year Supplemental |  | 40,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Current Year Unsecured Fire PP Tax |  | 210,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Structural Fire Prop Tax |  | 5,633,000 |  | ---See Table | 4-- |  |  |  |
| RDA Pass Thru |  | 200,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Teeter Settlement |  | 200,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Subtotal |  | 12,248,000 |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - |
| Measure DD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Measure DD |  | 8,700,000 | ------- | -----------------------See Table | 7--- | - |  |  |
| Subtotal |  | 8,700,000 |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - |
| Sales Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales \& Use Tax |  | 7,500,000 | ------- | ----------------------See Table | -- | - |  |  |
| Subtotal |  | 7,500,000 |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - |
| Building/Planning/Engineering Fees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building Permits |  | 1,300,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Building Plan Check Fees |  | 500,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Plumbing Permit Fees |  | 325,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Mechanical Permit Fees |  | 225,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Electrical Permit Fees |  | 925,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Fire Plan Check |  | 150,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Fire Inspection |  | 150,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Planning Fees |  | 1,000,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Engineering Fees |  | 1,300,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| Subtotal |  | 5,875,000 |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - |
| Franchise Fees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise Fee-Electric |  | 700,000 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee | \$ 6.48 | 976 | \$ | 6,328 |
| Franchise Fee-Natural Gas |  | 400,000 | 107,960 | per capita \& $50 \%$ employee | 3.71 | 976 |  | 3,616 |
| Franchise Fee-Cable TV |  | 950,000 | 107,960 | per capita \& $50 \%$ employee | 8.80 | 976 |  | 8,588 |
| Franchise Fee-Solid Waste |  | 1,050,000 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee | 9.73 | 976 |  | 9,492 |
| Subtotal |  | 3,100,000 |  |  | \$ 28.71 |  | \$ | 28,025 |
| County Augmentation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Augmentation |  | 1,083,541 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee | \$ 10.04 | 976 | \$ | 9,796 |
| Subtotal |  | 1,083,541 |  |  | \$ 10.04 |  | \$ | 9,796 |
| Business License |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business License Registration |  | 100,000 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee | \$ 0.93 | 976 | \$ | 904 |
| Subtotal |  | 100,000 |  |  | \$ 0.93 |  | \$ | 904 |
| Transient Occupancy Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transient Occupancy Tax |  | 210,000 | - | Not Used | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Subtotal |  | 210,000 |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - |
| Recreation Program Fees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class Registration Fee | \$ | 75,000 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee | \$ 0.69 | 976 | \$ | 678 |
| Drop-in Recreation Fees |  | 2,000 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee | 0.02 | 976 |  | 18 |
| Camp/Program Enrollment Fees |  | 25,000 | 107,960 | per capita \& $50 \%$ employee | 0.23 | 976 |  | 226 |
| Trips and Tours Tickets |  | 1,500 | 107,960 | per capita \& $50 \%$ employee | 0.01 | 976 |  | 14 |
| Picnic Shelter Rental |  | 10,200 | 107,960 | per capita \& $50 \%$ employee | 0.09 | 976 |  | 92 |
| Athletic Field Rental |  | 12,000 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee | 0.11 | 976 |  | 108 |
| Athletic Field Lights Fee |  | 8,000 | 107,960 | per capita \& $50 \%$ employee | 0.07 | 976 |  | 72 |
| Facility/Building Rental |  | 40,000 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee | 0.37 | 976 |  | 362 |
| Non-Resident Fee |  | 2,000 | - | Not Used | - | - |  | - |
| City Sports League Fees |  | 1,000 | 107,960 | per capita \& $50 \%$ employee | 0.01 | 976 |  | 9 |
| Park \& Rec Misc. Revenue |  | 44,097 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee | 0.41 | 976 |  | 399 |
| Subtotal |  | 220,797 |  |  | \$ 2.03 |  | \$ | 1,978 |



## Footnotes:

(a) Per City of Menifee FY 2017-18 Annual Budget.
(b) Based on (1) 90,660 City of Menifee residents, California Department of Finance, January 1, 2017, (2) 34,600 total City of Menifee employees, California Employment Development Department, January 2018, and (3) City employment reduction by $50 \%$ to account for the estimated less frequent use of City public services by employees than residents.
(c) See Table 3 - Land Use Assumptions.

Table 9-General Fund Expenditures
Rockport Ranch
May 4, 2018

| Expenditure Category | FY 2017-18 <br> Annual <br> Budget (a) |  | Marginal Increase | Net General Fund Contribution |  | City <br> Equivalent Units (b) | Measure | Factor |  | Project <br> Equivalent <br> Units (c) | Project Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legislative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Council | \$ | 176,361 | 50\% | \$ | 88,181 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee | \$ | 0.82 | 976 | \$ | 797 |
| City Attorney |  | 360,186 | 50\% |  | 180,093 | 107,960 | per capita \& $50 \%$ employee |  | 1.67 | 976 |  | 1,628 |
| City Clerk |  | 343,054 | 50\% |  | 171,527 | 107,960 | per capita \& $50 \%$ employee |  | 1.59 | 976 |  | 1,551 |
| Administrative Services |  | - | 50\% |  | - | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee |  | - | 976 |  | - |
| City Manager |  | 837,990 | 50\% |  | 418,995 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee |  | 3.88 | 976 |  | 3,788 |
| Subtotal | \$ | 1,717,591 |  | \$ | 858,796 |  |  | \$ | 7.95 |  | \$ | 7,764 |
| Public Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Police Services |  | 13,034,499 | 100\% | \$ | 13,034,499 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee |  | 120.73 | 976 |  | 117,837 |
| Fire Services |  | 10,099,717 | 100\% |  | 10,099,717 | 107,960 | per capita \& $50 \%$ employee |  | 93.55 | 976 |  | 91,305 |
| Emergency Operations Services |  | 7,500 | 100\% |  | 7,500 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee |  | 0.07 | 976 |  | 68 |
| Animal Control |  | 425,438 | 100\% |  | 425,438 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee |  | 3.94 | 976 |  | 3,846 |
| Measure DD |  | 8,289,420 | 100\% |  | 8,289,420 |  | --See Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  | 31,856,574 |  | \$ | 31,856,574 |  |  |  | 218.30 |  | \$ | 213,056 |
| Public Works |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community Development | \$ | 1,975,354 | 50\% | \$ | 987,677 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee | \$ | 9.15 | 976 | \$ | 8,929 |
| Building and Safety |  | 1,708,042 | 50\% |  | 854,021 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee |  | 7.91 | 976 |  | 7,721 |
| Code Enforcement |  | 700,886 | 50\% |  | 350,443 | 107,960 | per capita \& $50 \%$ employee |  | 3.25 | 976 |  | 3,168 |
| Economic Development |  | 818,527 | 50\% |  | 409,264 | 107,960 | per capita \& $50 \%$ employee |  | 3.79 | 976 |  | 3,700 |
| Public Works Administration |  | 701,758 | 50\% |  | 350,879 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee |  | 3.25 | 976 |  | 3,172 |
| Subtotal | \$ | 5,904,567 |  | \$ | 2,952,284 |  |  | \$ | 27.35 |  | \$ | 26,690 |
| Engineering Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering | \$ | 2,415,011 | 50\% | \$ | 1,207,506 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee | \$ | 11.18 | 976 | \$ | 10,916 |
| NPDES |  | 270,000 | 50\% |  | 135,000 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee |  | 1.25 | 976 |  | 1,220 |
| Subtotal | \$ | 2,685,011 |  | \$ | 1,342,506 |  |  | \$ | 12.44 |  | \$ | 12,137 |
| Finance Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Finance | \$ | 1,113,417 | 50\% | \$ | 556,709 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee | \$ | 5.16 | 976 |  | 5,033 |
| Non-Departmental |  | 1,135,677 | 50\% |  | 567,839 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee |  | 5.26 | 976 |  | 5,133 |
| Human Resources |  | 372,841 | 50\% |  | 186,421 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee |  | 1.73 | 976 |  | 1,685 |
| Community Services |  | 1,201,243 | 50\% |  | 600,622 | 107,960 | per capita \& 50\% employee |  | 5.56 | 976 |  | 5,430 |
| Subtotal |  | 3,823,178 |  | \$ | 1,911,589 |  |  |  | 17.71 |  | \$ | 17,282 |
| Total General Fund Expenditures |  | $\underline{45,986,921}$ |  | \$ | 38,921,748 |  |  |  | 283.74 |  | \$ | 276,928 |

Footnotes:
(a) Per City of Menifee FY 2017-18 Annual Budget.
(b) Based on (1) 90,660 City of Menifee residents, California Department of Finance, January 1, 2017, (2) 34,600 total City of Menifee employees, California Employment Development Department, January 2018, and (3) City employment reduction by $50 \%$ to account for the estimated less frequent use of City public services by employees than residents.
(c) See Table 3 - Land Use Assumptions.

| Table 10 - Phasing Analysis Detail Rockport Ranch <br> May 4, 2018 | $\text { D) } D \sqrt{\Delta}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Factor | Table Ref. |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 10 |  | 11 |
| General Fund Financing Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10.3 | s | 48,404 | s | 96.807 | s | 143,331 | s |  | \$ |  | s | 143,331 | s | 143,331 | s | 143,331 | s | 143,331 | s | 143,331 | s | 143,331 |
| Propery Tax in Lieu of MVLF |  |  |  | 10.4 |  | 23.579 |  | 49,479 |  |  |  | ${ }^{74,373}$ |  | ${ }^{74,373}$ |  | ${ }^{74,373}$ |  | ${ }^{74,373}$ |  | 74,373 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Measure DD |  |  |  | 10.6 |  | 11,484 |  | ${ }^{22,967}$ |  | 34,005 |  | 34.005 |  | ${ }^{34.005}$ |  | 34,005 |  | 34.005 |  | 34.005 |  | 34.005 |  | 34.005 |  | 34.005 |
| Sales Tax |  |  |  | 10.6 107 |  | 19,168 |  | 38.336 |  | 56,760 |  | 56,760 |  | 56,760 |  | 56,760 |  | 56,760 |  | 56,760 |  | 56,760 |  | 56,760 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10.7 |  | 9.464 |  | 18.228 |  | 28.025 |  | 28.025 |  | 28.025 |  | 28.025 |  | 28.025 |  | 28.025 |  | 28.025 |  | 28.025 |  | 28,025 |
| ${ }_{\text {Frand }}^{\text {Franchis Fees }}$ Couny Augmention |  |  |  | 10.7 10.7 |  | ${ }^{9,4,308}$ |  | - |  | ${ }_{9}^{28,796}$ |  | 9,796 |  | ${ }_{9}^{28,796}$ |  | 9,796 |  | 9,796 |  | 9,796 |  | 9,796 |  | 9,796 |  | 9,796 |
| Business License |  |  |  | 10.7 |  | 305 |  | 611 |  | 904 |  | 904 |  | 904 |  | 904 |  | 904 |  | 904 |  | 904 |  | 904 |  | 904 |
| Transient Occupancy Tax |  |  |  | 10.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreation Program Fees |  |  |  | 10.7 |  | 668 |  | 1.336 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |
| Fines 8 Fees |  |  |  | 10.7 |  | 1,610 |  | 3,221 |  | 4,769 |  | 4,769 |  | 4.769 |  | 4,769 |  | 4,769 |  | 4.769 |  | 4,769 |  | 4,769 |  | 4,769 |
| Real Property Transer Tax |  |  |  | 10.5 |  | 2,041 |  | 4,081 |  | 6,043 |  | ${ }_{6}^{6,043}$ |  | ${ }^{6,043}$ |  | ${ }_{6}^{6,043}$ |  | ${ }_{6}^{6,043}$ |  | ${ }_{6}^{6,043}$ |  | 6,043 |  | ${ }_{6}^{6,043}$ |  | 6,043 <br> 1053 <br> 1.65 |
| Misc. Fees |  |  |  | 10.7 10.7 |  | 558 418 |  | 1,116 837 |  | 1,653 1,239 |  | 1,653 1,239 |  | 1,653 1.239 |  | 1,653 1.239 |  | 1,653 1.239 |  | 1,653 1,239 |  | 1,653 1,239 |  | 1,653 1,239 |  | 1,653 <br> 1,239 |
| Toal Financing Sources |  |  |  |  | s | 121.008 | $s$ | 244.336 | 5 | 362.875 | $s$ | 362.875 | s | 362.875 | $s$ | 362.875 | s | 362.875 | s | 362.875 | s | 362.875 | s | 362.875 | s | 362.87 |
| General Fund Financing Requirenents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legisative |  |  |  | 10.8 | s | - | s | 2.622 | \$ | 5,244 | s | 7,764 | \$ | 77.64 | s | 7,764 | s | 7,764 | s | 77.764 | s | 7,764 | s | 77.64 | s | 7,764 |
| Poice Services |  |  |  | 10.8 |  | - |  | 39,794 |  | 79.588 |  | 117883 |  | 117,837 |  | ${ }^{117,837}$ |  | 1178.837 |  | 1178.83 |  | 117.837 |  | 1178.837 |  | ${ }_{117,837}$ |
| Fire Services |  |  |  | 10.8 |  | - |  | 30.834 |  | ${ }_{61,669}$ |  | ${ }_{91,305}$ |  | ${ }^{91,305}$ |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | ${ }^{91,305}$ |  | ${ }^{91,305}$ |  | 91,305 |  | ${ }^{91,305}$ |
| Emergency Operations Services |  |  |  | 10.8 |  | - |  | ${ }^{23}$ |  | 46 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |
| Animal Control Measure DD |  |  |  | 10.8 |  |  |  | 1,299 |  | 2.598 |  | ${ }^{3.846}$ |  | ${ }^{3,846}$ |  | ${ }^{3.846}$ |  | ${ }^{3.846}$ |  | 3,846 |  | 3.846 |  | ${ }^{3,846}$ |  | 3,846 |
| Measure DD Public Works |  |  |  | 10.8 108 1 |  | 11,884 |  | ${ }_{2}^{22,967}$ |  | 34,005 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,05 |
|  |  |  |  | 10.8 10.8 |  |  |  | 9,013 |  | 18,027 |  | ${ }_{\substack{26.690 \\ 12137}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{20,690 \\ 12137}}$ |  | 22,690 |  | ${ }^{22,6,690}$ |  | 26,690 |  | 26,690 |  | 26,690 112737 |  | 26.690 <br> 12.137 <br> 1.2 |
| (inance Department |  |  |  | 10.8 |  |  |  | ${ }_{5.836}$ |  | (1,672 |  | ${ }_{17}^{12,282}$ |  | 17.282 |  | 17.282 |  | 117.282 |  | 17.282 |  | 12,282 <br> 17.282 |  | 12,1728 17.282 |  | ${ }_{1}^{17,282}$ |
| Toal Financing Requirements |  |  |  |  | s | 11.484 | $s$ | 116,487 | 5 | 221.045 | $s$ | 310,933 | s | 310,933 | s | 310,933 | s | 310,933 | s | 310,933 | 8 | 310,933 | 5 | 310,933 | s | 310.933 |
| neral Fund Fiscal Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ongoing Surplus(Deficit) |  |  |  |  | S | 109,524 | s | 127.849 | s | 141.830 | 5 | 51,942 | S | 51.942 | s | 51.942 | S | 51,942 | s | 51.942 | S | 51.942 | s | 51.942 | s | ${ }^{51,942}$ |
| Surpus/(Deficit) per Unit |  |  |  |  | S | 1.063 | 5 | 621 | 5 | 465 | s | 170 | S | 170 | 5 | 170 | s | 170 | s | 170 | 5 | 170 | 5 | 170 | s |  |
| Revenu/Cost Ratio |  |  |  |  |  | 10.54 |  | 2.10 |  | 1.64 |  | ${ }^{1.17}$ |  | 1.17 |  | ${ }^{1.17}$ |  | ${ }^{1.17}$ |  | 1.17 |  | 1.17 |  | ${ }^{1.17}$ |  | 1.17 |
| 10.1 Cumulative Residents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Absorption Assumptions Toal Cumulative Unis |  |  |  | $3_{3}^{3}$ |  | 103 103 |  | 103 206 |  | $\begin{array}{r}99 \\ 305 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 305 |  | 305 |  | 305 |  | 305 |  | 305 |  |  |  |  |  | 305 |
| Total Cumulative Units Total Cumulativ Residents |  |  | 3.20 | 3 |  | ${ }_{103}^{130}$ |  | $\frac{206}{69}$ |  | 976 |  | 976 |  | 976 |  | 976 |  | 976 |  | 976 |  | 976 |  | $\frac{305}{976}$ |  | 976 |
| 10.2 Residential Property Value per Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subiotal Assessed Value |  | s | 360.234 | 3 | s | 37,10,065 | s | 37,10,065 | s | 35.663 .130 | s | - | s |  | s |  | s |  | s | - | s |  | s | . | s |  |
| Total Cumulative Residential Assessed | d Value |  |  |  | S | 37.104,065 | $s$ | 74.208.130 | S | 109.871.260 | s | 109.871.260 | s | 109.871.260 | s | 109,871,260 | s | 109.871.260 | s | 109.871.260 | $s$ | 109.871.260 | 5 | 109.871.260 | s | 109.871.26 |
| 10.3 Property Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic Tax Paid - Residential |  |  | $1.00 \%$ | 4 | $s$ | 371.041 | s | 742.081 | s | 1,098,713 | 5 | 1,098,713 | s | 1,098,713 | s | 1,098,713 | s | 1,098,713 | s | 1,098.713 | s | 1,098,713 | s | 1,098,713 | s | 1,098.713 |
| City of Menifee- - General Fund Cityof Menife- Fire Procetion Fund |  |  | ${ }^{6.6184 \% \%}$ |  |  | ${ }^{24,557}$ |  | ${ }^{49,114} 4$ |  | ${ }_{7}^{72,717}$ |  | ${ }_{7}^{72,717}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{72,717 \\ 70614}}$ |  | 72,717 70614 |  | ${ }_{7}^{72,717}$ |  | ${ }_{70,614}^{72,717}$ |  | ${ }_{7}^{72,717}$ |  | ${ }_{7}^{72,717}$ |  |  |
| che Total Residential Property Tax |  |  |  |  | s | $\xrightarrow{24,4,404}$ | s |  | $s$ |  | s | ${ }_{1}^{14.3,314}$ | s | 14.3614 <br> 1 | s | ${ }_{1} 14.3031$ | $s$ | $14.3,31$ | 5 | ${ }_{14.3,31}$ | s | 14.3 .31 | $s$ | $14.3,31$ | S | ${ }_{14.3,31}$ |
| 10.4 Property Tax in Lieu of MVLF Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Assessed Value |  |  |  | 5 | s | 37,10,065 | s | 74,208,130 | \$ | 109,871,260 | s | 109,871,260 | s | 109,87,260 | s | 109,871,260 | \$ | 109,87,260 | s | 109,871,260 | \$ | 109,871,260 | s | 109,871,260 | s | 109,87,260 |
| (Less) Existing Land Value |  |  |  | 5 |  | (3,324,896) |  | (3,324,896) |  | (3,324,896) |  | (3,324,896) |  | (3,324,896) |  | (3,324,896) |  | (1,324,896) |  | (3,324,996) |  | (3,324.896) |  | ${ }^{(3,324,896)}$ |  | (3,324,896) |
| Net (New) Cumulative | e Assessed Value |  |  |  | S | 33,79,169 | s | 70.883,234 |  | 106,546,364 |  | 106,546,364 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 106,546,364 | 5 | 106,546,364 | s | 106,564,364 | 5 | 106.546,364 | s | 106,546,364 | s | 106,546,364 |  | 106.546,364 |
| Total Propert In Lieu of MVLF |  | s | ${ }^{0.6980}$ | 5 | S | 23,579 | s | 49,479 | s | 74.373 | s | 74.373 | s | 74.373 | 5 | ${ }^{74,373}$ | s | ${ }^{74,373}$ | 5 | ${ }^{74,373}$ | 5 | ${ }^{74,373}$ | $s$ | 74,373 | s | 74,37 |
| 10.5 Residential Documentary Transfer Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Property Turnover Rate |  |  | 10.0\% | ${ }_{6}$ | $s$ | 3,710,406 | s | 7,420,813 | \$ | 10.987,126 | s | 10,987,126 | \$ | 10,987,126 | s | 10,987,126 | s | 10,987,126 | s | 10,987,126 | \$ | 10,987,126 | s | 10,987,126 | s | 10,987,126 |
|  |  |  |  |  | s | 2.041 | S | 4.081 | s | ${ }_{6}^{6.043}$ | s | 6.043 | s | 6.043 | $s$ | 6.043 | s | 6.043 | s | 6.043 | s | 6.043 | s | ${ }_{6}^{6.043}$ | s | ${ }_{6}^{6.043}$ |
| 10.6 Off-Site Sales Tax |  |  | Factor | Reff |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Housing Costs |  | s | 9,641 |  | s | 9,644,978 | s | 19,289,956 | s | 28.560,372 | s | 28,560.372 | s | 28,560,372 | s | 28,560,372 | s | 28,560,372 | s | 28,560,372 | s | 28,560,372 | s | 28,560,372 | s | 28.660.372 |
| Consumer Expenditure at $7.65 \%$ (c) |  |  | ${ }^{74 \%}$ | 7 |  | 7,103,526 |  | 14,207,053 |  | 21,034,714 |  | 21,034,714 |  | 21,034,714 |  | 21,034,714 |  | 21,034,714 |  | 21,034,714 |  | 21,034,714 |  | 21,034,714 |  | 21,034,714 |
| Taxable Spending |  |  | 32.56\% | 7 |  | 2,312,908 |  | 4,625,816 |  | 6.848,903 |  | 6,848,903 |  | 6,848,903 |  | 6,848,903 |  | 6,848,903 |  | 6.848,903 |  | 6,848,903 |  | 6,848,903 |  | 6.848,903 |
| Less: On-sitic Capure Lesss. Capure outside City Boundaries |  |  | 0.00\% | 7 |  | (578,227) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1,712,26) |  | (1,712.226) |  | (1.712.220) |  | (1.712.226) |  |  |  |  |
| Less: Capure outside City Boundaries Net Taxable Spending |  |  | 25.0\% | 7 | s | $\frac{(5787.227)}{1.734 .681}$ | s | ${ }^{(1,156,44)} 3$ | s |  |  | $\frac{(1,712.226)}{5.136 .677}$ | s | $\frac{(1,712,226)}{5.136 .677}$ | s | $\frac{(1,712,26)}{5.136,677}$ | s | $\frac{(1,12,260)}{5,136,677}$ | s | ${ }_{5}^{(1,113,2667}$ | s | ${ }_{\text {(1, } 13,26677}$ | s | ${ }_{\text {5,136.677 }}$ | s | $\frac{(1,712.226)}{5.136 .677}$ |
| Annual Sales Taxes to ciry |  |  | 1.00\% | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51.367 |  | 51.367 |  | 51.367 |  | 51.367 |  |  |
| Annual Use Tax |  |  | 10.50\% | 7 |  | ${ }_{1}^{1,821}$ |  | 3,643 <br> 3,361 |  | 5,394 56 |  | ${ }_{5}^{5,394}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{5,394}$ |  | 5,394 5 |  | 5.394 56 |  | 5,394 <br> 5.750 |  | 5.394 |  | 5,394 56509 |  | 5.394 5 |
| Toal Sales Tax |  |  |  |  | 5 | 19.168 | s | 38,336 | s | 56.760 | s | 56,760 | s | 56.760 | s | 56.760 | s | 56.760 | s | 56.760 | s | 56.760 | s | 56.760 | s | 56.760 |
| Annual Sales Taxes to City |  |  | 1.00\% | 7 | 5 | 17,347 | s | 34,694 | s | 51,367 | s | 51,367 | s | 51,367 | s | 51,367 | s | 51,367 | s | 51,367 | s | 51,367 | s | 51,367 | s | ${ }^{51,367}$ |
| Measure DD Revenue to the General Fund |  |  | 66.20\% | 7 | 5 | 11.484 | s | 22.967 | s | 34.005 | s | 34,005 | s | 34,005 | s | 34.005 | s | 34,005 | s | 34,005 | s | 34,005 | $s$ | 34,005 | s | 34,005 |
| 10.7 Other General Fund Discretionary Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BuildingPlanning Engineering Fees |  | s |  |  | s |  | s |  | s |  | $s$ |  | $s$ |  | $s$ |  | s |  | $s$ |  | s |  | s |  | s |  |
| Franchise Fees |  |  | 28.71 | 8 |  | 9,464 |  | 18.928 |  | 28,025 |  | 28,025 |  | 28,025 |  | 28.025 |  | 28,025 |  | 28,225 |  | 28.025 |  | 28,025 |  |  |
| County Augmentation |  |  | ${ }^{10.04}$ | 8 |  | 3,308 |  | ${ }_{6}^{6,616}$ |  | 9,796 |  | 9,796 |  | 9,796 |  | ${ }^{9,796}$ |  | ${ }^{9,796}$ |  | 9,796 |  | 9,796 |  | ${ }^{9} 9.796$ |  | 9,796 |
| Business License Transient Ocupany Tax $^{\text {a }}$ ( |  |  | 0.93 | 8 |  | ${ }^{305}$ |  | 611 |  | 904 |  | 904 |  | 904 |  | 904 |  | 904 |  | 904 |  |  |  |  |  | 904 |
| Recreation Program Fees |  |  | 2.03 | 8 |  | 668 |  | ${ }_{1}^{1.336}$ |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |  | 1.978 |
| Fines \& Fees |  |  | 4.89 | 8 |  | ${ }_{1}^{1,610}$ |  | 3,221 |  | 4,769 |  | ${ }^{4,769}$ |  | ${ }^{4,769}$ |  | 4,769 |  | ${ }^{4,769}$ |  | 4,769 |  | 4,769 |  | ${ }^{4,769}$ |  | 4,769 |
| Misc. Fes |  |  | ${ }_{1.27}^{1.69}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}558 \\ 418 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 1,116 837 |  | 1,653 1,239 |  | 1,653 1.239 |  | 1,653 <br> 1,239 |  | 1.653 1.239 |  | 1.653 <br> 1.239 |  | 1,963 1.239 |  | 1,653 1,239 |  | 1,653 1,239 |  | $1, .653$ 1.239 |
| ${ }_{\text {Tranter }}{ }_{\text {Total Other General Fund Discret }}$ | etionary Revenue |  |  |  | s | 16.338 | $s$ | $\frac{82.655}{}$ |  | 48.363 |  | 48.363 | $s$ | 48.363 | 5 | 48.363 | $s$ | 48.363 | 5 | 48.363 | 5 | 48.363 | 5 | 48.363 | 5 | ${ }_{48, .363}$ |
| Total General Fund Recurring Re | evenues |  |  |  | 5 | 121,008 | s | 244.336 | s | 362.875 | s | 362,875 | s | 362.875 | s | 362,875 | s | 362.875 | 5 | 362.875 | 5 | 362.875 | 5 | 362.875 | s | 3 32,885 |


| Table 10 - Phasing Analysis Detail Rockport Ranch May 4, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Factor |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Table } \\ \text { Ref. } \end{gathered}$ | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 10 |  | 11 |  |
| 10.8 General Fund Recurring Expenditures (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Council |  | 0.82 | 9 | s |  | s | 269 | s | 538 | s | 797 | s | 797 | s | 797 | s | 797 | s | 797 | \$ | 797 | \$ | 797 | s | 797 |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { City Atorney } \\ \text { Cily Clerk }}}$ |  | ${ }_{1.59}^{1.67}$ | 9 |  |  |  | 520 |  | ${ }^{1.1000}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{1,628 \\ 1.551}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{1.628 \\ 1551}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{1.628 \\ 1.551}}$ |  | 1.628 <br> 1.551 |  | ${ }_{\text {1, }}^{1.651}$ |  | 1.628 <br> 1.551 |  | 1.628 <br> 1.551 |  | 1.628 <br> 1.551 |
| ${ }_{\text {che }}^{\substack{\text { Ciry Clerk } \\ \text { Administative Services }}}$ |  | 1.59 | 9 |  |  |  | 524 |  | 1,047 |  | 1,551 |  | 1.551 |  | 1.551 |  | 1.551 |  | 1.551 |  | 1.551 |  | 1.551 |  | 1,551 |
| Administrativ Services City Manager |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 1279 |  | 2598 |  | 3788 |  | 3788 |  | 3788 |  | 3788 |  | 3788 |  | 3788 |  | 3788 |  |  |
| Subtoal |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{1,262}$ |  | ${ }_{5,244}$ |  | 7.764 |  | 7.764 |  | 7.764 |  | 7.764 |  | 7,764 |  | 7,764 |  | 7,764 |  | 7,764 |
| Public Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Police Services |  | 120.73 | 9 | s |  | s | 39,794 | s | 79,588 | s | 117.837 | s | 117,837 | s | 117,837 | \$ | 117,837 | s | 117,837 | s | 117.837 | s | 117,837 | s | 117,837 |
| Fire Serices |  | 93.55 | 9 |  |  |  | 30.834 |  | 61,669 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |
| Emeregency Operations Serices |  | 0.07 | 9 |  |  |  | 23 |  | 46 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  |  |
|  |  | 3.94 | 9 |  |  |  | 1,299 |  | ${ }^{2.5988}$ |  | ${ }^{3.846}$ |  | ${ }^{3.846}$ |  | ${ }^{3,846}$ |  | 3.846 |  | 3,846 |  | 3,846 |  | 3,846 |  | 3,846 |
| ${ }^{\text {Measure }}$ Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 11,484 |  | 94,917 |  | 177.905 |  | 247,061 |  | 247,061 |  | 247,061 |  | 2477061 |  | 247,061 |  | 2477061 |  | 247,061 |  | 247,061 |
| Public Works Sublal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community Development |  | 9.15 | 9 | s |  | s | 3.015 | \$ | ${ }_{6}^{6,031}$ | s | 8,929 | s | 8.929 | s | 8.929 | s | 8,929 | s | 8.929 | s | 8.929 | s | 8,929 | s | 8.929 |
| Buiding and Safety |  | 7.91 | 9 |  |  |  | ${ }^{2.607}$ |  | 5,215 |  | 7,721 |  | 7,721 |  | 7,721 |  | 7,721 |  | 7,721 |  | ${ }_{7}^{7,721}$ |  | 7,721 |  | 7,721 |
| Code Enforcement |  | 3.25 | 9 |  | - |  | ${ }^{1,070}$ |  | 2,140 |  | 3,168 |  | 3,168 |  | 3,168 |  | 3,168 |  | 3,168 |  | 3,168 |  | 3,168 |  | 3,168 |
| Economic Development |  | ${ }^{3.79}$ | 9 |  | - |  | 1,249 |  | 2,499 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |
| Public Works Administration |  | 3.25 | 9 |  |  |  | ${ }^{1.071}$ |  | ${ }_{2}^{2.142}$ |  | 3.172 |  | 3.172 |  | 3.172 |  | 3.172 |  | 3.172 |  | 3,172 |  | 3,172 |  | 3.172 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,013 |  | 18,027 |  | 26,690 |  | 26,690 |  | 26,690 |  | 26.690 |  | 26,690 |  | 26.990 |  | 26,690 |  | 26.690 |
| Enginering Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enginering |  | ${ }_{11.18}^{11.15}$ | 9 | s | - s | s | 3,686 | s | ${ }^{7} .373$ | s | ${ }^{10,916}$ | s | ${ }^{10.916}$ | s | ${ }^{10,916}$ | s | 10.916 | s | ${ }^{10,916}$ | \$ | ${ }^{10.916}$ | s | ${ }^{10.916}$ | s | ${ }^{10,916}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {NPDES }}{ }_{\text {Subtoral }}$ |  | 1.25 |  |  |  |  | 4.099 |  | ${ }^{824}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{12,2137}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{12,220}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{12,220}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{12,220}$ |  | 1,220 |  | 1.220 |  | ${ }_{1}^{12,220}$ |  | $\frac{1,220}{12,137}$ |
| Finance Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Departmental |  | 5.26 | 9 |  |  |  | 1,734 |  | 3,467 |  | 5,133 |  | 5,133 |  | 5.133 |  | 5,133 |  | 5.133 |  | 5,133 |  | 5.133 |  | 5.133 |
| Human Resources |  | 1.73 | 9 |  | - |  | 569 |  | ${ }^{1,1138}$ |  | 1.685 |  | ${ }^{1,685}$ |  | ${ }^{1,685}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{1.685}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {1,685 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {1,685 }}$ |  | 1,685 |  | ${ }_{\text {1,685 }}$, 63 |
| Community Services |  | 5.56 | 9 |  |  |  | ${ }_{1}^{1.834}$ |  | 3,667 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total General Fund Recurring Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.836 |  | 11,672 |  | 17,282 |  | 17,282 |  | 17,282 |  | 17,282 |  | 17,282 |  | 17.282 |  | 17,282 |  | 17,282 |
|  |  |  |  | S | 11,484 |  | 116,487 | 5 | 221,045 | $s$ | 310,933 | s | 310,933 | 5 | 310,93 | $s$ | 310,933 | $s$ | 310,93 | $s$ | 310,933 | S | 310,933 | 5 | 310,933 |
| Net Annual Surplus/ (Deficit) |  |  |  | 5 | 109,524 | 5 | 127,849 | $s$ | 141,830 | $s$ | 51,942 | $s$ | 51,442 | s | 51,942 | s | 51,942 | s | 51,942 | s | 51,942 | s | 51,942 | 5 | 51,942 |

$\frac{\text { Footnotes: }}{\text { (a) Expenditures are assumed in the Fiscal Year following move-ins to match information used for City }}$


| Table 10 - Phasing Analysis Detail Rockport Ranch May 4, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ctor | Table Ref. |  | 12 |  | 13 |  | 14 |  | 15 |  | 16 |  | 17 |  | 18 |  | 19 |  | 0 |
| 10.8 General Fund Recurring Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Council | s | 0.82 | 9 | s | 797 | s | 797 | s | 797 | s | 797 | s | 797 | s | 797 | s | 797 | s | 797 | s | 797 |
| City Atorney |  | 1.67 | 9 |  | 1.628 |  | ${ }^{1.628}$ |  | ${ }^{1.628}$ |  | ${ }^{1.628}$ |  | ${ }^{1,628}$ |  | 1,628 |  | 1,628 |  | 1.628 |  | ${ }^{1.628}$ |
| City Clerk |  | 1.59 | ${ }^{9}$ |  | 1,551 |  | 1,551 |  | 1,551 |  | 1,551 |  | 1,551 |  | 1,551 |  | 1,551 |  | 1.551 |  | 1,551 |
| Administrative Services City Manager |  |  | 9 |  | 3788 |  |  |  |  |  | 3788 |  | 3788 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {che }}$ Manasor Subotal |  | 5.88 |  |  | 7,764 |  | 7,764 |  | 7,764 |  | 7,764 |  | 7,764 |  | 7,764 |  | 7,764 |  | 7,764 |  | 7,764 |
| Public Safery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Police Services | s | 120.73 |  | s | 117.837 | s | 117,837 | s | 117.837 | s | 117,837 | s | 117,837 | \$ | 117,837 | s | 117,837 | s | 117,837 | s | 117,837 |
| Fire Serices |  | 93.55 | 9 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |  | 91,305 |
| Emergency Operations Services |  | 0.07 | 9 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 68 |
| Animal Control |  | 3.94 | 9 |  | 3.846 |  | 3.846 |  | ${ }_{3.846}$ |  | 3.846 |  | 3,846 |  | 3.846 |  | 3,846 |  | ${ }_{3.846}$ |  | 3.846 |
| Measure DD |  |  | 9 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,05 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,005 |  | 34,005 |
| Subtoal |  |  |  |  | 247,061 |  | 247,061 |  | 247,061 |  | 247,061 |  | 247,061 |  | 247,061 |  | 247,061 |  | 247,061 |  | 247,061 |
| ${ }_{\text {Public Works }}^{\text {Community Development }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community Development | s | 9.15 | 9 | s | 8.929 | s | ${ }_{8,929}$ | s | ${ }^{8,929}$ | \$ | ${ }_{8} 8.29$ | s | 8,929 | s | 8,929 | s | 8.929 | s | ${ }^{8,929}$ | s | ${ }^{8,929}$ |
| Builing and Satey Code anderement |  | 7.91 | 9 |  | 7,721 |  | 7,721 |  | 7,721 |  | 7,721 |  | 7,721 |  | 7,721 |  | 7,721 |  | 7,721 |  | 7,721 |
| Code Enforcement |  | 3.25 | 9 |  | 3,168 |  | 3,168 |  | 3,168 |  | ${ }^{3,168}$ |  | 3,168 |  | 3,168 |  | 3,168 |  | 3,168 |  | 3,168 |
| Economic Development |  | ${ }^{3.79}$ | 9 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |  | 3,700 |
| Public Works Administrat Subtotal |  | 3.25 | 9 |  | 3, 26.172 |  | ${ }_{2}^{36,692}$ |  | 3.172 26.690 |  | $\frac{3.172}{26,690}$ |  | 3.172 26,690 |  | 3,172 |  | 3.172 26,690 |  | ${ }^{32.692}$ |  | ${ }^{3,172} \mathbf{2 , 9 9 0}$ |
| Enginering Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enginering | s | 11.18 | 9 | s | 10.916 | s | 10.916 | s | 10.916 | s | 10.916 | s | 10,916 | s | 10,916 | s | 10,916 | s | 10.916 | s |  |
| NPDES |  | 1.25 | 9 |  | 1,220 12,137 |  | 1,220 |  | 1,220 |  | 1.220 12.137 |  | 1.220 12.137 |  | ${ }_{1}^{1,220}$ |  | 1,220 |  | 1.220 |  | ${ }_{1}^{12,220}$ |
| Subtoal |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{12,137}$ |  | 12,137 |  | 12.137 |  | 12,137 |  | 12,137 |  | 12,137 |  | 12,137 |  | ${ }^{12,137}$ |  | 12,137 |
| Finance Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Finance | s |  |  | s |  | s | ${ }_{5}^{5,033}$ | s | 5.033 | \$ | 5,033 | s | 5,033 | \$ | 5.033 | s | 5,033 | s | 5.033 | s | 5,033 |
| Non-Departmental |  | 5.26 | 9 |  | 5,133 |  | 5,133 |  | 5,133 |  | 5,133 |  | 5,133 |  | 5,133 |  | ${ }_{5}^{5,133}$ |  | 5,133 |  | 5,133 |
| Human Resources |  | 1.73 566 | ${ }^{9}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {1,685 }}$ |  | ${ }^{1.685}$ |  | ${ }^{1.685}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {1,685 }}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{1,685}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{1.685}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{1.685}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {1,685 }}$ |  | ${ }^{1.685}$ |
| Community Serices |  | 5.56 | 9 |  | 5,430 |  | 5,430 |  | 5.430 |  | 5.430 |  | 5.430 |  | 5.430 |  | 7,282 |  | 5.430 |  | 5,430 |
| Subtoal |  |  |  | 17,282 |  |  | 17,282 |  | 17,282 |  | 17,282 |  | ${ }^{17,282}$ |  | 17,282 |  |  |  | 17,282 |  | ${ }^{17,2822}$ |
| Total General Fund Recurring Expenditures |  |  |  | s | 310,933 | $s$ | 310,933 | $s$ | 310,933 | $s$ | 310,933 | $s$ | 310,933 | $s$ | 310,933 | $s$ | 310,933 | $s$ | 310,933 | $s$ |  |
| Net Annual Surplus( Deficit) |  |  |  | s | 51,942 | 5 | 51,942 | s | 51.942 | 5 | 51,942 | s | 51,942 | s | 51,942 | 5 | 51,942 | $s$ | 51,942 | 5 | 51,942 |
| Foomotes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    (a) FY 2017-18 Share of the Basic Tax per Riverside County Auditor-Controller's Office, Property Tax Division.

