NEGATIVE DECLARATION

TO:

X Office of Planning & Research

P. O. Box 3044

Sacramento, California 95812-3044

X County Clerk, County of San Joaquin

FROM:

San Joaquin County Community Development Department

1810 East Hazelton Avenue Stockton, California 95205

PROJECT TITLE: Site Approval application No. PA-1800244 (SA)

PROPONENT: Great Pacific Nut Company

PROJECT LOCATION: The project site is on the north side of West Lehman Road, 985' west of South Ahern Road, Tracy. (APN/Address: 255-020-61/3501 West Lehman Road, Tracy) (Supervisorial District 5).

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Site Approval application for a nut processing/preparation facility to be completed in three (3) phases over five (5) years. Phase 1, to be completed in 18 months, includes the construction of a 30,000 sq. ft. storage building, a 5,000 sq. ft. shop building, a 1,000 sq. ft. breakroom, and a truck scale for private use only. Phase 2, to be completed in thirty (30) months, includes the construction of a 30,000 sq. ft. shelling building. Phase 3, to be completed in five (5) years, includes a 400 sq. ft. canopy. This parcel is not under a Williamson Act Contract.

The Property is zoned AG-40 (General Agriculture, 40-acre minimum) and the General Plan designation is A/G (General Agriculture).

Based on the attached Initial Study, it has been found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

Date: 2/11/19

Contact Person: Frank Girardi

Phone: (209) 468-8469

			•
			,
,			
			:
			:
	¢		

INITIAL STUDY (ATTACHMENT) PA-1800244 (SA) GREAT PACIFIC NUT CO./WILSON ARCHITECTURE

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Site Approval application for a nut processing/preparation facility to be completed in three (3) phases over five (5) years. Phase 1, to be completed in 18 months, includes a 30,000 square foot storage building, a 5,000 square foot shop building, a 1,000 square foot breakroom, and a truck scale for private use only. Phase 2, to be completed in thirty (30) months, includes a 30,000 square foot shelling building. Phase 3, to be completed in five (5) years, includes a 400 square foot open storage building. (Use Types: Agricultural Processing – Preparation Services). The project site is located on the north side of W. Lehman Road, 985' west of S. Ahern Road, Tracy.

RECOMMENDED ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The proposed project could not have a significant effect on the environment, and a Negative Declaration will be prepared. Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A Negative Declaration will be prepared. The proposed project may have a significant effect on the environment, an Environmental Impact Report is required.	_		
The proposed project may have a significant effect on the environment,	ti .	The proposed project <u>could not</u> have a significant effect on the environment, and a <u>Negative Declaration</u> will be prepared. Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been	X

ZONING: AG-40

ASSESSOR PARCEL NO: <u>255-020-61</u> ACRES: <u>13.5 acres</u>

GENERAL PLAN: A/G

CURRENT SITE CONDITIONS (topography, uses): Relatively flat land with two existing residences, accessory buildings.

POTENTIAL POPULATION, NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS, OR SQUARE FOOTAGE OF USE(S): A 65,500 square foot agricultural processing facility.

SURROUNDING LAND USES:

North: Agricultural with scattered residences

South: Agricultural with scattered residences/Banta Carbona Irrigation District facilities

East: Agricultural with scattered residences/UPRR/ST RT 33 & I-5/Truck Transfer Station.

West: Agricultural with scattered residences

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS:

1.	Does it appear that any environmental feature of the project will generate significant public concern or controversy?
	☐ Yes ☒ No Nature of concern(s):
2.	Will the project require approval or permits by agencies other than the County?

		☐ Yes ⊠ No	Age	ency name(s):		
3.		Is the project w	ithin tl	he Sphere of Influence, or within two miles, of any city?		
		∐Yes	No	City:		
EN	VIR	ONMENTAL IN	PAC	TS:		
ma	y be	e a significant	adver	in situations where there is <u>substantial evidence</u> to indees impact on the environment if there is no changenes, Sec. 15064)	licate tha in the	at there project
See "Ye	e the	e "Discussion" s or any "No" ans	section wer m	n at the end of the Initial Study for explanation of any in narked with an asterisk (*).	n pacts c l	hecked
	<u>urce</u> acts		ng so	urces of information have been used in determining	environ	mental
all (FEI end pla of t pre	Cou MA danç ns; hese pare	inty and City ge flood zone maj gered species s maps and/or re e original source	neral os; se uch as cords e mate her te	nd maps on file in the Community Development Depart plans and community plans; assessor parcel books; varvice district maps; maps of geologic instability; maps is the Natural Diversity Data Base; noise contour maps; is of archeological/historic resources; soil reports and materials have been collected from other public agencies or chnical studies. Copies of these reports can be found be department.	arious lo and rep specific ro aps; etc. from pre	cal and orts on cadway Many eviously
(no	te c Co	date); staff knov unty as part of t	vledge	s which should be specifically cited below include on-si e or experience; and independent environmental studi oject application (note report title, date, and consultant)	ies subm	by staff nitted to
1.		<u>ater</u> :				
	a.	Is any portion (Flood zone:		project subject to flood hazard? X	∐Yes	⊠No*
	b.	Will the project quality or quar		lt in reduction of surface or ground water	∐Yes	⊠ No
	c.			It in increases to surface, channel or stream volumes, nage patterns and streams?	∐Yes	⊠No*
		river, or body	of wat	It in erosion of or sedimentation to a channel, er?	∐Yes	⊠ No
2.		<u>rth</u> :		.		
		Will the project	ions o	It in or be subject to potentially hazardous geologic n or immediately adjoining the site (slides, springs, n, earthquake faults; steep slopes, septic tank	∐Yes	⊠ No
	b.	Will the project	t invo	lve substantial grading which could result in		

•

.

		secondary impacts (consider amount, steepness, and visibility of proposed slopes; consider effect of grading on trees and creek channels)?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	C.	Will there be conversion of prime farmland (over 40 acres and not shown for development in the General Plan)?	□Yes	⊠ No*
O	ther	sources used: San Joaquin County Soil Survey		
3.	PI	ant/Animal Life:		
	a.	Will there be a reduction or disturbance to any habitat for plants and animals (including removal or disturbance of trees, riparian areas, or migration routes)?	∐Yes	⊠ No *
	b.	Will the project impact any rare, endangered, threatened, or recreational species located on or near the site? (Check the Natural Diversity Data Base)	∐Yes	No *
Ot	her	sources used: Natural Diversity Database		
4.	<u>Ai</u>	r/Climate:		
	a.	Will the project make a significant contribution to the deterioration of existing air quality, including creation of objectionable odors; will future		
		project residents be subjected to significant pollution levels?	∐Yes	⊠No*
	b.	Will the project result in any impact to current climatic conditions? (Consider the introduction of water features, loss of wetland, etc.)	∐Yes	⊠ No
Ot	her	sources used:		
5.	No	oise:		
	a.	Will the project expose people to high noise or vibration levels (over 65db/Ldn for residential uses or 75db/Ldn for industrial uses at the property line)? (Check 2010 projected noise contours.)	∐Yes	⊠ No*
	b.	Will the project result in increased noise or vibration levels?	∐Yes	⊠ No
Ot	her	sources used:		
6.		ergy/Natural Resources:		
	a.	Will the project use substantial amounts of fuel or energy, require a substantial increase in demand upon existing sources, or require the development of new sources of energy?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	b.	Will the project affect the potential use, extraction, conservation, or depletion of a natural resource (other than agricultural land)?	∐Yes	⊠ No
Otl	ner s	sources used:		

7. <u>Hazards</u>:

	a.	Will the project create a risk of explosion; release, generate or store Any hazardous substances; or cause other dangers to public health and safety?	∐Yes	⊠No*
	b.	Are there any known hazardous substances located within 500 feet of the boundaries of this project, if in an existing or proposed residential area (this could include possible groundwater contamination)?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	C.	Will the project result in interference with, or need, for emergency plans?	∐Yes	⊠ No
Oth	ner s	sources used:		
8.	<u>Uti</u>	lities and Public Service:		
	a.	**Will the project require alteration of, addition to, or the need for new utility systems (water, sewer, drainage, solid waste), including a sphere of Influence or district boundary change?	∐Yes	⊠No*
	b.	**Will the project result in the need_for or the expansion of the following services: fire and police protection, schools, parks and recreation, libraries, roads, flood control, solid waste or other public works facilities, public transit, or governmental services?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	C.	Will the project adversely impact existing recreational/park opportunities or require new park/recreation opportunities?	∐Yes	⊠ No
		"Yes" answers to these two questions and 9 (b) below will require nal discussion, but do not necessarily indicate a potentially significant impact	ot.	
Otl	ner:	sources used:		
9.	<u>Tra</u>	ansportation/Circulation:		
	a.	Will the project generate significant traffic volumes and/or make a significant contribution to an existing circulation problem (consider existing LOS on nearby arterials and highways, road design, access, parking, accident potential)?	∐Yes	⊠ No*
	b.	**Will the project cause special transportation considerations (consider water-borne, rail, air, pedestrian, and bicycle traffic, and public transportation systems and parking facilities)?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	C.	Will the project result in a significant increase in commuting to and from the local community?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	d.	Will the project be impacted by or interfere with an airport flight path?	∐Yes	⊠No
	e.	Will the project restrict access to the surrounding area? Other sources used (note traffic studies):	∐Yes	⊠ No
10.	Cu	iltural Resources:		
	a.	Will the proposal result in an alteration of a significant archeological, or historical site, structure, or building?	∐Yes	⊠ No

Otl	ner	sources used:						
11.	1. <u>Housing</u> :							
	a.	Will the proposal adversely affect the existing housing stock or create a demand for additional housing (more than 50 units)?	∐Yes	⊠ No				
Otl	ner	sources used:						
12.	<u>Αε</u>	esthetics:						
	a.	Will the project obstruct any public scenic vista or view, create an aesthetically offensive site open to public view, or produce new light or glare?	∐Yes	⊠No				
Oth	ner	sources used:						
13.	<u>Ła</u>	nd Use:						
	a.	Is this project a growth-inducing action: Will it encourage additional requests for related uses, or will it set a significant land use precedent in the area?	∐Yes	⊠No*				
	b.	Will the project conflict with existing or planned land uses; is the project in conflict with any adopted plans?	∐Yes	⊠No*				
	C.	Will the project disrupt a natural or recreation area, impact access to waterways, or allow trespass onto surrounding land?	∐Yes	⊠No*				
14.	Cu	<u>ımulative</u> :						
	a.	Will the project create any impacts which may not be significant for the project alone, but may be significant when combined with other anticipated development of similar type and or location?	∐Yes	⊠ No				
Oth	er s	sources used:						
15.		Other Impacts: Identify any other impact(s) not noted above which may be site source(s). NONE KNOWN.	gnificant,	, and				
16.	<u>M</u>	andatory Findings of Significance:						
(A '	'Ye:	s" answer to any of the following questions requires preparation of an EIR.)						
	a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment or curtail the diversity in the environment?	∐Yes	⊠ No				
	b.	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals?	∐Yes	⊠ No				
	C.	Does the project have impacts which are individually limited						

but cumulatively considerable?	∐Yes ⊠ No				
d. Does the project have environmental impacts which will cause substantial, adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	∐Yes ⊠ No				
17. DISCUSSION OF ANY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS NOTED ABOVE.					
(Discuss any questions answered "Yes" above, as well as any "No" answers marked with an asterisk (*). Discuss any changes to the project which could mitigate the identified impacts. Discuss any proposed mitigation monitoring program submitted by the project applicant. Use additional attached pages if necessary.)					
PLEASE SEE INITIAL STUDY ATTACHMENT PA-1800244 (SA).					
Prepared by: Frank Girardi Amh Mie Le					
Title: Assistant Planner					

Date: <u>February 11, 2019</u>

INITIAL STUDY (ATTACHMENT) PA-1800244 GREAT PACIFIC NUT CO.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Site Approval application for a nut processing/preparation facility to be completed in three (3) phases over five (5) years. Phase 1, to be completed in 18 months, includes a 30,000 square foot storage building, a 5,000 square foot shop building, a 1,000 square foot breakroom, and a truck scale for private use only. Phase 2, to be completed in thirty (30) months, includes a 30,000 square foot shelling building. Phase 3, to be completed in five (5) years, includes a 400 square foot open storage building. (Use Types: Agricultural Processing – Preparation Services). The property has a General Plan designation of General Agriculture (A/G) and a zoning designation of General Agriculture, forty acre minimum (AG-40). The project site is located on the north side of W. Lehman Road, 985' west of S. Ahern Road, Tracy.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES:

1. Water

1.a.&.c. The project site is located in the Flood Zone X designation. If approved any new developments will have to comply with Development Title Section 9-1605 regarding flood hazards. A referral has been sent to the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board and the Environmental Health Department for review. The project will be subject to regulations of the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board and the Environmental Health Department; therefore, impacts to water quality are expected to be less than significant.

2. Earth

2. c. The Soil Survey of San Joaquin County classifies the soil on the parcel as Capay Clay, Wet,, 0 to 2 percent slopes.

Capay Clay permeability is slow and available water capacity is high. This unit is suited to irrigate row, field, and orchard crops. Capay Clay has a storie index rating of 40 and a land capability of IIw-5 irrigated and IVw-5 nonirrigated.

Vernalis Clay Loam permeability is moderate in the Vernalis soil and the water capacity is high. This unit is suited to irrigate row, field, and orchard crops. Vernalis Clay Loam has a storie index rating is 76 a land capability of Ilw-2 irrigated and IVw-2 nonirrigated.

The project will encompass the 13.5-acre parcel. The surrounding land uses are primarily agriculture with scattered residences. The Zoning and General Plan for the project site will continue to remain the same if the project is approved. Therefore, the proposed project will have a less than significant impact on the surrounding agricultural lands to convert land from agricultural uses to non-agricultural uses. The parcel is not subject to a Williamson Act contact. A referral has been sent to the San Joaquin County Farm Bureau for review.

3. Plant/Animal Life

3. a. & b. The Natural Diversity Database lists the following rare, endangered, or threatened species as potentially occurring in or near the project area: the Vulpes macrotis mutica (San Joaquin Kit Fox). Referrals have been sent to the San Joaquin County Council of Governments (SJCOG) for review. If SJCOG determines that the applicant may participate in the San Joaquin Multi-Species Habitat Conservation and Open Space Plan (SJMSCP), and if the applicant

chooses to participate, then the proposed project is consistent with the SJMSCP, as amended, as reflected in the conditions of project approval for this proposal. Pursuant to the *Final EIR/EIS* for San Joaquin County Multi-Species Habitat Conservation and Open Space Plan (SJMSCP), dated November 15, 2000, and certified by SJCOG on December 7, 2000, implementation of the SJMSCP is expected to reduce impacts to biological resources resulting from the proposed project to a level of less-than-significant. If the applicant chooses not to participate, then the applicant will be required to participate in a similar mechanism that provides the same level of mitigation.

4. Air/ Climate

4.a. The proposed project is for a nut processing/preparation facility to include the construction of 65,500 square feet of buildings in three (3) phases over fifteen (5) years. The project will be subject to applicable San Joaquin Air Pollution Control District requirements regarding fugitive dust emissions and emissions standards for hazardous air pollutants. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) has been established by the State in an effort to control and minimize air pollution. The applicant will be required to meet existing requirements for emissions and dust control as established by SJVAPCD. The project was referred to the SJVAPCD for review. As a condition of approval the project will be subject to the Districts rule and regulations to mitigate for any impacts on air quality. Therefore any impacts to air quality will be reduced to less-than-significant.

5. Noise

5.a. There are two residences located on adjacent properties along the perimeter of the subject parcel. The nearest residence is located 200 feet north from the project site. Development Title Section 9-1025.9 lists the residential use type as a noise sensitive land use. Noise sources emanating from any agricultural operations, including activities associated with the process or transportation of crops when such activities are conducted on agriculturally zoned lands, are exempt from the noise ordinance. The nearest residence is located 200 feet north from the expansion. Therefore, any impacts from this project will be less than significant.

8. Utilities and Public Services

8. a. There are no public services available in this area for water, sewer, or storm water drainage. Any new development shall be accommodated by an on-site well for water, and septic system for sewage. Storm water drainage shall be retained on-site. The Environmental Health Department and the Department of Public Works will determine the feasibility of these systems.

9. Transportation and Circulation

9.a. The proposed project is a nut processing/preparation facility to include the construction of 65,500 square feet of buildings in three (3) phases over fifteen (5) years. The Department of Public Works states that the proposed project would have a less than would have a less than significant impact on traffic on local streets because the development project is not expected to exceed fifty vehicle trips per hour. Projects that have a traffic volume that is less than 50 trips per hour have a less than significant impact on traffic.

13. Land Use

13. a.-c. The proposed project a nut processing/preparation facility to include the construction of 65,500 square feet of buildings in three (3) phases over fifteen (5) years. The use types for the project are Agricultural Processing-Preparation Services. Those use types may be conditionally permitted in the AG-40 (General Agriculture, 40-acre minimum) with an approved Site Approval application.

The proposed project will not set any significant land use precedents in the area or be a growth-inducing action. The proposed project is not in conflict with any Master Plans, Specific Plans, or Special Purpose Plans, or any other applicable plan adopted by the County. Referrals have been sent to the San Joaquin Farm Bureau and the Department of Conservation for review.

			•	
	aga a			
_				