

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Kieu Nguyen

Date: May 31, 2018

Affiliation (if applicable)

Address: 1350 Oakland Rd, Spc # 210, San Jose

Comment/Question: CA 95112.

Làm ơn đừng lấy 1 phần nào của
trường học Orchard mà con tôi đang
theo học, con tôi cần không gian
để chơi với chơi bạn bè tại trường
để học không để bị bị việc học
Cũng như yêu cầu mọi người
đảm bảo về sinh môi trường

Please continue on the back if necessary.

đồng thời gửi an toàn cho
những gì em đang học giờ
lưu ý

Cảm ơn rất nhiều
Thank you so much!

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum
Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Judy Bui

Date: 5/31/2018

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 1350 Oakland Rd San Jose CA 95118

Comment/Question: _____

Tôi không đồng ý xây cầu
chạy vào phần đất của trường
Orchard.

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum
Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Jing Chen

Date: 05/17/2018

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 1903 Silkwood Lane, San Jose

Comment/Question: CA 95131

It is really a bad idea to
implement this extension project
as the neighborhood that this
project will be implemented has
narrow streets! I cannot imagine
how can I live there when the
street is 100% full of cars.

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Jeremie Dalton

Date: 5/17/18

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 1757 Fuma Dr, San Jose, CA

Comment/Question: _____

What is the increased exposure
to and health impacts on
the children at orchard
school from the following:
- traffic noise
- traffic accidents risk
- traffic pollution

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Mandy Peng

Date: 5/17/18

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 1020 Mapleton Ct. San Jose, CA 95131

Comment/Question: _____

Children's safety and health is the
priority. Really make no sense to build
a road through mainly school and
residential areas. It's not the
right plan to build this bridge and
it's going to "MOUNTHERTS". Thank you
and for thinking to stop this project
Seriously.

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: SON NGUYEN

Date: 5/1

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 1074 ROCK AVE, SAN JOSE, CA 95131

Comment/Question: _____

① ^{City} Needs to consider alternative route thru Fox Lane
(noise, air quality, traffic etc)

City needs to compare environmental impacts between

Fox lane & Silkwood lane to see if the extension

can be moved to Fox lane

② Is there any plan to mitigate traffic from the neighborhood

if ~~project~~ Charcot extension is built? Some drivers

may ~~would~~ want to drive thru the neighborhood to

get to Oakland instead of driving thru silkwood lane

Please continue on the back if necessary.

③ if Charcot ext. is built, is there a plan to replace the

wooden wall ~~section~~ on Silkwood Ln with more sound-proof wall?

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Heek Lim

Date: May 17th

Affiliation (if applicable) na

Address: 1826 Silkwood

Comment/Question: _____

Can you comment on ~~traffic~~

additional traffic connecting

Charcot using this extension.

coming from 87 (the other

end of Charcot).

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Elizabeth Thayer

Date: 5/21/18

Affiliation (if applicable)

Address:

Comment/Question:

WHAT CAN WE DO AS OUR
RESIDENTS/PARENTS/STUDENTS
AFFILIATED BY THIS PROJECT
FOR CITY OF SAN JOSE TO
LISTEN TO OUR CONCERNS AND
GATE BE OUR SIDE AND
CANCEL THIS PROJECT.

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Chris Johnson

Date: 5-21-2018

Affiliation (if applicable) California Walkers

Address:

Comment/Question:

Are 11Ft lanes compatible with
complete streets & 12 policies?
Are you doing a ped
cuent DUKING school? yes?

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: SON LAM

Date: 5/30/2018

Affiliation (if applicable) N/A

Address: 1350 ALKAMID RD # 110, ST. JOSE

Comment/Question:

Please: Stop Building and Planning

It's not safety and healthy
for childrens in Orchard School

It will Destroy Environment
of childrens in Orchard School

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: ROZANA MATEO

Date: 05/24/18

Affiliation (if applicable)

Address: 1728 ORCHARD RD SAN JOSE CA 95131

Comment/Question:

by cutting the road the
the school playground make
it small and not safe for
our children

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: THAO NGUYEN

Date: May 31 - 2018

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 1350 Colcland Rd, # 29, San Jose, CA 95122

Comment/Question: _____

This proposed project will create an
unsafe environment for our children.
I am very concerned about this.

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: THAO NGUYEN

Date: May 31, 2018

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 1350 Colcland Rd, San Jose CA 95122

Comment/Question: _____

This proposed project will create an
unsafe environment for our children.
I am very concerned about this.

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Martin & Aileen Bruce

Date: May 24, 2018

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 10800 Blythe Road #110, San Jose CA 95131

Comment/Question: _____

How will this project protect our kids if there is
an accident? who will be responsible?

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: ROZANA WARDEN

Date: 05124118

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 1778 Oaklands Rd

Comment/Question: _____

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: HAZEL CARMEN H.

Date: MAY 23 2018

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 1624 WAYNE AVE. SAN JOSE CA. 95131

Comment/Question: _____

AS A PARENT I'M NOT AGREE TO THIS

CHARCOT AVE. EXTENSION PROJECT.

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: JOSE CAMPOS

Date: 5/23/18

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 2157 OAKLAND RD SPC 1001, G1, CA 95131

Comment/Question: WITH OAKLAND ROAD NOW

HAVING TO ACCOMPLISH 2 TURNING

LANES AND MORE CARS COMING FROM THE

CHARCOT EXTENSION, WAS THE ADDITIONAL

TRAFFIC FOR THE SCHOOL BEEN CONSIDERED?

THIS WILL LEAVE ON ONE ACCESS TO

DROP OFF AND PICK UP STUDENTS

FROM ORCHARD SCHOOL

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Virginia Varela-Campos

Date: 5/23/18

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 2157 Oakland Rd. Sp. 101, SJ, CA 95131

Comment/Question: Is 4 lanes behind a
school really necessary? yet, only
2 lanes would be on the
opposite end where there are
no school children. Why is it
that Oakland Rd needs to receive
more cars??

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Virginia Varela-Campos

Date: 5/23/18

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 2157 Oakland Rd. Sp. 101, SJ, CA 95131

Comment/Question: How can you assure
the neighborhood residents that
this new access will not make this
now safe neighborhood into an
unsafe neighborhood?

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Virginia Varela-Campos

Date: 5/23/18

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 2151 Oakland Rd Spc 601, SJ, CA 95131

Comment/Question: Parking and loading zones
are already an issue for Orchard School
and with this new project you will
be taking away the only other option
we have other than the few spots in
the front of the school on Fox. How
will you replace or accommodate
this issue for the school?

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Virginia Varela-Campos

Date: 5/23/18

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 2151 Oakland Rd Spc 601, SJ, CA 95131

Comment/Question: Are we focused on
reducing traffic? Well why don't we
focus on getting more cars off the
road and encourage more walking or
biking. Has a pedestrian bridge
over 880 been considered instead
of a 4 lane road???

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Virginia Varela-Campos

Date: 5/23/18

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 2157 Oakland Rd #100, SJ, CA 95131

Comment/Question: Will our school children
now have to worry about getting
hit by a speeding car trying to
race to catch their train at the
New Milpitas BART station?

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Virginia Varela-Campos

Date: 5/23/18

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 2157 Oakland Rd Special, SJ, CA 95131

Comment/Question: What is in the plan
to help protect the students from
distraction or interference of their
education? The students will have
to deal with noise, pollution, and
traffic.

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Mike Huang

Date: 5/30/2018

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 2151 OAKLAND RD, SPC 375, SAN JOSE, CA 95131

Comment/Question: To alleviate traffic congestion

on Broadway, there is an alternative to
Charcot Bridge. Please look at the joint
of bish / Old Broadway expansion and the
possibility of using a right lane on ramp
from Broadway West Blvd to 880 N. instead
of using left turn. This will eliminate a
red light stop and keep 3 lane straight.

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: _____

Date: _____

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: _____

Comment/Question: _____

This will allow better traffic flow, minimize
highway traffic volume southward and
have no impact to the school, student
and residents surrounding Charcot.

Please continue on the back if necessary.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: WEI YU-VENTS CECILE

Date: 05/21/18

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 80 DESSAVERO DR, 95134 San Jose

Comment/Question: _____

***SAFETY:**

- How many cars do you expect to ~~be~~
be on this road?

- How many trucks do you expect?

- Will you forbidden truck that transport
dangerous materials considering the road will
be very VERY close by a school?

Please continue on the back if necessary.

- What do you plan to have cars reducing speed arriving close by the school, we all know a RED light does not stop a car?
- How do you manage the safety of children during the construction?
- How do you manage the drop-off of kids, we already have parking issues; we can imagine really dangerous situations with cars stopping in front of the school?
- Will you have reduce speed 25 miles/h on silk wood h and 15th?
- Do you plan walls to protect the classrooms nearby the road?

ENVIRONMENT:

- * Do you Plan Anti-moore walks nearby the school classrooms?
- * How will you measure pollution impact?
- * Why this project didn't be re-access when the school was built, this is a no-sense!
- * Why is this project doesn't take into account parking issues? This is to allow more cars to move, but how do we park?
- * Why does San Jose City doesn't invest more on bikes lanes and public transportation?

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: WEI YU NENG Cecilia

Date: 05/21/18

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 80 DEANUSO DRIVE, 95134 San Jose

Comment/Question: _____

* If this project was existing before the school, why did you let the school be built here, education/transportation... there are city projects, don't you have anybody coordinating to see if project make sense? Maybe you need to think on a new way of working at city level.

Please continue on the back if necessary.

Leaving in the district we have no choice than to send our kids here, we don't want to be scared sending them to school -

* I am really concern about the safety of our kids: The road is really close to the classroom, the traffic will be high at commute time (same time than kids coming at school)

* Will you help the school rebuilding the playground so that our kids have a nice playground during their school years?

* I don't get the point when the council member says "it is a project voted in 1994, we can not change anything" - of course we can in 1994 that situation was totally different, why don't you re-assess the complete project?

* It is false that you will reduce Traffic jam by adding roads, the more roads ~~we~~ we have the more car we have. Be inspired by Denmark that have invest in Bike lanes and have seen the car traffic line reduced.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Kalyan Vahan

Date: May 17, 2018

Affiliation (if applicable) Resident

Address: 1832 Silkwood Ln 95131

Comment/Question: 1. Can you please add
for lane to analyze the EIR along with
Silkwood lane.

2. How long does this project take and what
is the impact to the residential community.
3. During EIR please analyze traffic on 880,
Oakland, and other parks as they are very close
to both school and residential area

Please continue on the back if necessary.

4. Please also analyse the Traffic, air quality impact and Noise impact for residents on Silvead Lane born cut through traffic

5. Will there be housing commercial vehicles

on this new road and how will you control them near school.

6. What measures will be taken to reduce or mitigate impact for people on Silvead Ln.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Erin McCarthy

Date: 5/24/18

Affiliation (if applicable) Orchard Teachers Association

Address: 921 Fox Lane

Comment/Question: *cost to the school should

be studied ~~for~~ health hazards of

building and the number of

cars (pollution) and that impact

on students health during and

after construction.

*Traffic analysis - will it really

improve,

35 mph is too fast.



Please continue on the back if necessary.

* How many children and families will be impacted during and after construction? Please keep in mind that the district is more than 150 years old.

* How close will the road be to playing children or classrooms? (feet)

* What is the pedestrian plan during construction?

* We have had 2 cars crash onto the field (through the wire fence) in the last year. How do we avoid that?

* How many trees will be cut down? Will they be replaced (multiplied)

* EIR should not be completed by an entity that fiscally benefits from the construction.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Nicky Keo-Totn

Date: 5/21/18

Affiliation (if applicable) Orchard School Parent

Address: 1148 McKay Dr. SJ 95131

Comment/Question: The traffic around the
school is already congested. People
cut through McKay Drive and Oakland
road just to get to SGC; to include this
will bring more traffic to the area.
The school already has parking
issues, during school hours (drop off /
pick up) Silkwood lane is often →

Please continue on the back if necessary.

used as parking, what will be
done to help accommodate
the parking issue (especially
since you'll be taking away
additional parking that parents
use to pick up / drop off their
kids)?

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: _____

Owen WJ

Date: _____

5/21/2018

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: _____

1037 Maplestar Court

Comment/Question: _____

① It is a "bad" build

character the extension

in the middle of school and

residential area.

Very dangerous for the ride and

unsafe. ② Noise and dust

will be unbearable for

the school and residents.

Please continue on the back if necessary.

③ The project was proposed before the school and identified areas were in place (identified) things have grown and changed over last 25 years in this area. I recommend "Remove" this project from the general plan.

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Dr. Denise Scheil

Date: May 21, 2018 Orchard School

Affiliation (if applicable): 2nd grade teacher

Address: 1757 thdrst 95124

Comment/Question: I am especially concerned

about the future air quality

of my school, Asthma rates are

high here and additional cars in

close proximity mean more

pollution.

I work here and breathe

here. Please do not increase

pollutants for me and my

Please continue on the back if necessary.

Students,

Also - Student safety during construction. How will students cross the Grand extension while it is being constructed?

BA Commuters from Hill Pitts will cut through from Oakland Rd to 380 - traffic increase will be significant, like people driving ~~from~~ down Lincoln Avenue from Alameda Express to 280. What will you do to prevent this increase in traffic?

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Ma' Ngo

Date: 05/21/18

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 2151 Oakland Rd # 106 San Jose 95131

Comment/Question: First of all, thank you for reading my comment about the street plan around Orchard Elementary School

After the scoping meeting, I do understand that the Charcot Ave extension was approved first. However, this street was approved because the school wasn't

Please continue on the back if necessary.

to say that:

Here. I think it's fair if school was one of the premises in approving process for this street, then this street would not be approved. Now, the school. ~~It~~ ~~there~~ is here, so the original plan for this street must be change to fit for the environment where many children will be potentially in danger.

As the last comment, from the stand point of view of an engineering, he or she will try to fix any existing problem, but no matter what we tried, having so many car, truck, or vehicle around kids is a dangerous thing to do. I hope you, as the reader to the next comment, will consider cause this street plan because it is no longer fit our society and environment. Thank you.

Hai Myo



CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name:

Judy Tang

Date:

5/21/2018

Affiliation (if applicable) N/A

Address: 2151 Oakland Rd # 375, San Jose, CA 95131

Comment/Question:

condition

Subject: regarding parking & safety of students

Orchard Elementary is started from childcare to K8.
so Parents need to pick up student at the
class-room for PreK, K, 1, 2 + 3, ~~also~~ ~~the~~
which mean 50% of those ~~pre~~ school
students need parent to park their cars and

Please continue on the back if necessary.

pick them up in front of class-room
How the city can provide available parking
and safety for kids to get in front of
school?

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Rupa

Date: May 21, 2018

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: Rock Ave, SAN JOSE, CA 95131

Comment/Question: "Engineers" think twice

about our safety & our

future "Engineers, Doctors & Scientists

Kids."

millions San Jose

Prevent traffic entering from "Rock Ave

on to this new Silk Wood - Charcot Ave"

Please continue on the back if necessary.

To prove your talent/skills
don't put kids in danger

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Yoko Fujita

Date: May 25, 2018

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 3507 Palomilla Dr. #1115, San Jose CA

Comment/Question: _____

I am concerned about the safety.

From east to west, drivers tend

to get more speed after the

bridge over the I-880 due to the

downward road and there will be

school kids. Besides, there will be

four lanes in only school area.

~~and~~ drivers might ~~not~~ accelerate

Please continue on the back if necessary.

more to get ~~thru~~ through the traffic light and might not pay attention to kids around there.

How fast do you think about the speed limit? Especially in the school area?

Absolutely 25mph!! Or even less!! Right? Because there will be school children!

Why do you want four lanes there? It's T-section. I think it's OK for two lanes, one for each direction.

PLEASE THINK THE PROJECT OVER AGAIN.

Do we really need it?

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower

San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name:

Amelie Wang

Date:

5/17/18

Affiliation (if applicable)

Orchard School PTA

Address:

1032 Mapleton CT San Jose, CA 95131

Comment/Question:

There are over 200+

people from the neighborhood walking to Orchard

School each way in the mornings and

afternoons - I have personally conducted

the observations on May 9, 2018.

I am extremely concerned about

the safety of five pedestrians crossing

this 4 lane intersection. How effective

Please continue on the back if necessary.

and safe will the crossing signals be? Will the motorists be able to clearly see the signals and stop their vehicles at the cross walk?

The plan of the overpass from 1994 was before the building of community hearby. Did the original plan include the consideration or the awareness of the number of pedestrians walking on Silkwood lane to go to school? The plan is not sound and does not benefit the immediate community of the neighborhood. The residents have expressed that we do not want this project as it will not solve the traffic issues identified over 25 years ago. There should be more studies conducted on CURRENT travel patterns and taking into consideration of widening either Montague or Broken Rd. More studies should be done on the environment impact of the air quality the overpass will bring to the neighborhood & the area.



CITY OF
SAN JOSE
CAPITAL OF SILICON VALLEY

May 17, 2018 - Sign-In Sheet

Community/EIR Scoping Meeting

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT



Name	Address	Email/Telephone	CHECK ALL APPLICABLE			
			Business <input type="checkbox"/>	Organization <input type="checkbox"/>	School <input type="checkbox"/>	Other <input type="checkbox"/>
Tamara Lim		tdmuchowski@yahoo.			X	X
Hock Lim		hockginlim@yahoo.com				X
Linda Locke		Linda LINDALOCKE6@att.net		BCAC		
ANNA TRUONG		annateresatrung@gmail.com				X
Amelia Wang		AmeliaWang2402@yahoo.com			X	X
SUBBU BANGALORE		subbu.bangalore@hotmail.com				X
Michael Lan		michelanno@gmail.com			X	X
Gin Shen		bellgs88@gmail.com			X	X
Jing Chen		chencai@gmail.com				X
Mandy Peng		mdpeng@yahoo.com			X	X
Bi Song		sagbi6@gmail.com				X

May 17, 2018 - Sign-In Sheet



CITY OF
SAN JOSE
CAPITAL OF SILICON VALLEY

May 17, 2018 - Sign-In Sheet

Concerns on Charcot Ave Extension Project

RN

ramya nerabetla <ramya.nerabetla@gmail.com>

Tue 5/22/2018 12:10 PM

To: ✓ Panakkal, Meenaxi

Cc: kalyan vanam <vanamkalyan@gmail.com> 

  Reply all | 

Charcot Ave Extension

Hi Meenaxi,

It was great to meet your team at the community meeting. I am a resident on Silk wood Ln.

As many orchard school parents and the neighborhood residents voiced their concerns I strongly oppose a need for this project in this area for the following reasons.

School Play ground is the only thing we have: Generally residential areas are supposed to be very peaceful with the communities itself surrounded by schools, parks and minimal streets to curb heavy traffic. However, if you scan through the map around the Charcot -Silk wood area, there are absolutely **No parks**. For the kids and parents living in that area, the school playground is their **only last resort** available. The charcot-silk wood project will shatter everything and make it a nightmare for the following reasons -

- **Snatching the basic right of having a play ground:** Unlike small towns in busy cities like San Jose where lot of commercial developments keep coming everyday, a school ground is incredibly precious for the kids. That is the only place kids can be themselves and not confined to 4 walls. Taking away a portion of this basic necessity for improving traffic conditions is pointless. Choosing to shrink the kids playground to solve an issue of the learnt/well educated isn't convincing! It will affect not only the existing students but also all the future students going to that school.
- **Polluted air:** With such busy traffic the school kids will be directly breathing polluted air all along. Over time, it could have a very adverse impact that cannot be corrected later. This project will put the kids at direct risk.
- **Noise:** With a ton of traffic being introduced the teachers and the kids will have to raise their voice for daily conversations in-order to be audible.
- **Safety:** I would request your team to visit the area on Silk wood during school drop off hours. (7-8:30 am) It's a very busy place with a lot of parents dropping off their kids. Knowing that kids run around, the residents in that neighborhood are very cautious while they pass through. With a busy street this situation will completely change. There will be a lot of congestion and No safety what so ever. Safety will be a big concern for both the kids and the residents in that neighborhood.

Disrupt peace in the neighborhood: The continuous noise, pollution and traffic will disrupt the existing environment in the neighborhood. No one will enjoy getting out of their house.

itself. With busy traffic/noise/pollution it will become very unsafe and no longer peaceful for them to take a small stroll around.

- Currently, the silk wood neighborhood is already surrounded by a lot of traffic on 3 sides. (Oakland rd, 880 and Rock ave for super micro traffic) Making Silk wood lane busy as well will completely make us surrounded by traffic/noise and pollution on ALL SIDES.
- No place to play / walk / relax outside, the general atmosphere around the area will change.





Not the best place to solve the bigger problem: I understand the city need to solve the Traffic problem to accommodate the growing population and cars passing by on Montague and Brokaw but Silk wood is **not the best place** to solve this!

- Montague is an express way that runs across San Jose-Santa Clara and Sunnyvale. In few regions like Santa Clara and Sunnyvale that see much more residents and a lot more cars passing through, Montague is the only major expressway and is wide enough to accommodate everyone. Similarly it would make more sense to **expand the exiting Brokaw and Montague for a better and larger impact** rather than taking away the school land and building a small pass through via a residential area.
- Please use our Tax money efficiently. Invest in developing trails / parks and bike lanes rather than making the existing situation worse.

It would be smarter and well appreciated if the transportation department finds to solve this traffic problem via other means instead of convincing the school and residents that it will be OK and that it is hard to mend the general plan. Kindly give it some serious thought and have our voices heard at the City council.

Thanks,
Ramya

Fw: OPPOSITION TO FILE NUMBER: PP18-044

PM ✓ Panakkal, Meenaxi   Reply all | ✓
Tue 5/22/2018 2:55 PM
To: Gulzadah, Zahir;  Nguyen, Thuy (DOT); Kimura, Josephine; ✓ Nguyen, Joe D 

Sent Items

This message was sent with high importance.

 Reply all | ✓  Delete Junk | ✓ ...

From: Deena Said <said.deena@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 21, 2018 11:33 PM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi; jenny.nusbaum@sanjose.gov
Subject: OPPOSITION TO FILE NUMBER: PP18-044

File Number: PP18-044

Project Applicant: City of San Jose

To: The City of San Jose

From: Zaqloub and Deena Said, homeowners with house along Silkwood Lane.

Hello,

I am writing to express my vehement opposition to the Charcot Expansion Project. This Project is poisonous to the children at Orchard School (where my son will be attending in a few years time), to the residents of the Hampton Park neighborhood, and to the homeowners like myself whose homes back up to the proposed project. This project will effectively destroy quiet enjoyment of our property, and will introduce additional pollution that will impact the children of Orchard School, and will personally impact my son who will no longer be able to play freely in his backyard. A busy four-lane road will be the background noise to our son's room. I welcome solutions to alleviate traffic, but not at the expense of homeowners and innocent school children.

This project was first introduced in 1994, and this neighborhood did not come to be until the later half of the 2000's. This is irresponsible and unfair to the homeowners in this neighborhood. Noise pollution, car pollution, and interference with enjoyment of our land is something that must be considered. We are now in 2018, and a project that is 24 years old should no longer be considered for this neighborhood. It would have made sense back when Super Micro was the only tenant in the area, but this is no longer feasible given the residential neighborhood and school. I would appreciate a thoughtful response back to ensure that my concerns have been heard. This is the second home I own in San Jose, and one that I was hoping to stay in for some time. If this project comes to fruition, this will completely upend my family and our future plans. Thank you for your consideration.

Deena Said, Esq.

 Reply all |   Delete Junk |  

Charcot Avenue Extension project

MD

Melody Dejesus <melodydejesus26@gmail.com>

Sat 5/26, 7:25 PM

Panakkal, Meenaxi 



Reply all | 

Charcot Ave Extension

Speeding will become an issue an issue. Drivers have already been seen driving faster than 25 mph on Oakland Road, near Orchard School, during peak drop off and pick up hours; 7:30 am - 3:30 pm.

Speeding and inconsiderate drivers are also an issue on Fox Road, next to Orchard School. Speed bumps are needed to protect students and parents.

Thank you.

Melody Dejesus


 Reply all |  Delete Junk | 

Charcot Ave. Extension Project Comments

EB

Eloisa Borlaza <eloisa.borlaza@yahoo.com>

Yesterday, 5:19 PM

Panakkal, Meenaxi; Nusbaum, Jenny 



 Reply all | 

Charcot Ave Extension

charcot comment card.P...

1007 KB



 Show all 1 attachments (1007 KB) Download Save to OneDrive - City of San Jose



Action Items



Hi,

I am Eloisa Borlaza, resident of [1921 Silkwood Ln, San Jose, CA 95131](#). I live in one of the houses that will be directly affected by the Charcot Ave. Extension Project.

Here are my concerns about this project:

- 1) Rock Ave and Silkwood Ln are small streets. They became even smaller since residents of the community and the mobile homes park on both sides of the streets. They are also busy streets since employees of companies behind Silkwood Ln pass through these streets to go to work. With this project, more people will pass through these streets to get to Charcot Ave via Silkwood Ln. How are you going to mitigate the potential congestion on Rock Ave and Silkwood Ln?
- 2) Residences in the neighborhood especially those along Silkwood Ln are now more vulnerable to more crime since the street is very open and easily accessible to more people. This project will jeopardize the safety of our residents/our children.
- 3) How will you mitigate the pollution and the noise that the traffic will introduce? Noise on 880 is bad enough and now we will add more noise because of the main road that is going to be built right next to the houses on Silkwood Ln.
- 4) How will you ensure the homeless people will not live along the sides of Silkwood Ln / Charcot / Oakland Rd stretch?
- 5) Did you consider how this Charcot extension will affect the prices of the houses along Silkwood Ln and the rest of the community?
- 6) If there was really a desperate need to build this Charcot extension, was building it on Fox Ln/Oakland Rd even considered? If yes, why was this idea turned down? Fox Ln is more business area and a little bit farther from the residences.
- 7) We are paying ridiculously high property taxes with our hard earned money to fund city projects and yet we will be the ones suffering from this project. This project may be beneficial to other people not living in the community but is really disadvantageous to us in the community because of safety, air and noise pollution.

Do I need to mail a comment card directly to the City or this email will suffice?

Thanks,
Eloisa

PS Business Parks Comments on EIR Scope for Charcot Avenue Overpass Extension

SB

Sean Paul Bowlus <sbowlus@psbusinessparks.com>

Tue 5/22, 7:02 PM

Panakkal, Meenaxi; Nguyen, Thuy (DOT); Gulzadah, Zahir; Nguyen, Joe D; Kimura, .



Reply all |



Charcot Ave Extension

This message was sent with high importance.

Charcot Ave EIR Scope.d...

15 KB



Show all 1 attachments (15 KB) Download Save to OneDrive - City of San Jose



Action Items



Hi Meenaxi,

This letter is written and submitted within the NOP response deadline of May 24, 2018 on behalf of PS Business Parks concerning the impacts of the proposed Charcot Avenue Extension Project (File No. PP18-044). The intent of this letter is to outline the specific concerns and impacts we would like studied in the City of San Jose's Environmental Impact Report (EIR) as it relates to our industrial properties commonly known as Charcot I and Charcot II. We formally request the following areas to be included in the EIR scope.

1. Aesthetics

- What effect visually will our customers see post project completion, and how will that effect our rents and occupancy?
- The project proposes a retaining wall that rises to 18ft on the western portion of Charcot Ave. Will our customer's identity be harmed due to the fact that the proposed overpass will yield less of an opportunity for traffic to see the signage of our customers?
- Along with the proposed retaining walls, the project proposes relocating approximately 157 trees to our property along Charcot Ave. This area is already well shaded. Would the additional trees plus the 18ft retaining wall make the areas of Charcot Ave west of O'Toole excessively shaded during normal business hours?
- If the 157 trees were relocated to our property, what is the expected annual cost for maintaining and watering the trees?

2. Traffic Patterns and Circulation

- Southbound traffic on O'Toole Ave will not have westerly access to Charcot Ave. Does the city have an alternative proposal to create western access to Charcot Ave from O'Toole Ave?
- Due to the lack of ingress/egress on Charcot Avenue, how will non-tenant traffic patterns impact our customer's daily operations and parking? It seems logical that vehicles will seek a short cut to the Paragon Ave and Charcot Ave intersection by driving through our parking lots.

- d. The current proposal strips our property and tenants of efficient ingress/egress along Charcot Ave. What alternatives does the City of San Jose propose that would keep these access points open?
 - e. What is the current estimated foot traffic (pedestrians and bicyclist) along the western portion of Charcot Ave and O'Toole Ave? What is the foot traffic expected to be upon project completion?
 - f. Currently Charcot Ave west of O'Toole receives very little pedestrian traffic. Would the City propose an alternate plan showing only walkways or a bike path rather than both?
 - g. What is the daily vehicle traffic count along the completed Charcot Ave overpass projected to be upon project completion?
 - h. Why does the current sidewalk design does not give pedestrians traveling southbound along O'Toole access to the Southside of Charcot Ave?
3. **Utilities and Service Systems**
- a. How will the proposed relocation of utility services affect our properties and tenants?
 - b. Would the proposed relocation leave our tenants without power during normal operating hours? How does the City plan to mitigate this?
 - c. Does the City have a plan to expand the storm drains capacity? Charcot Ave west of O'Toole Ave has a history of flooding during heavy rains. Will storm water from hardscape be entering an already sometimes overloaded storm drain and creek system?
 - d. Does the city have a plan for installing new street lights along Charcot Ave?
4. **Land and Parking**
- a. How much land on a SF basis does the City intend to take both temporarily through easements and permanently through right of way?
 - b. How many parking stall will be temporarily and permanently removed from our sites?
 - c. Will the reduced parking stall count cause us to be in violation of zoning ordinances for minimum parking requirements?
 - d. What buffer if any will remain between the overpass and our parking lots and buildings?
5. **Noise**
- a. Our leases represent quiet enjoyment to our customers. What short term and long term acoustic changes in this area should we understand, and how would our customers be compensated?
6. **Alternatives**
- a. Propose a design that leaves ingress/egress points along Charcot Ave open.
 - b. Propose a design that grants pedestrians traveling Southbound on O'Toole Ave access to cross Charcot Ave and continue Southbound
 - c. Propose a design that mitigates the impact to our tenants normal daily operations

Please confirm your receipt of this letter and that the above items will be included in the City of San Jose's EIR scope. We appreciate being included in the EIR study.

Sincerely,
Sean Paul Bowlus



Sean Paul Bowlus | Real Estate Associate
701 Western Ave | Glendale, CA 91201
t. 818.244.8080 x1247 f. 818.242.0566 c. 951.313.4135



www.psbusinessparks.com

Sean,

There have been no changes to the project since we last met, but since the City's Planning Department is the lead role in preparing the EIR, please submit your letter detailing what you would like included in the EIR to Meenaxi (cc'd on this email and mailing info below). Please keep in mind that you have 30 days from the NOP to submit your comments. The scoping period is from 5/1-5/31 so please submit your letter before that time.

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP
City of San Jose
200 East Santa Clara St., 3rd Floor Tower
San Jose, CA 95113-1905

Let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks!

From: Sean Paul Bowlus [<mailto:sbowlus@psbusinessparks.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2018 10:19 AM
To: Kimura, Josephine <Josephine.Kimura@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: RE: 880 Charcot Extension - Meeting Materials

Hi Josephine,

PS Business Parks plans to submit a letter to the City of San Jose DOT detailing the areas of study we would like included in the EIR for the Charcot Ave overpass. Before I submit the letter, I wanted to follow up and see if there is any new information that has come available since our meeting on May 1. Please let me know. I look forward to your response.

Sean



Sean Paul Bowlus | Real Estate Associate
701 Western Ave | Glendale, CA 91201
t. 818.244.8080 x1247 f. 818.242.0566 c. 951.313.4135



www.psbusinessparks.com

This e-mail may contain confidential or proprietary material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or authorized to receive the information from the recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

From: Kimura, Josephine [<mailto:Josephine.Kimura@sanjoseca.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2018 3:56 PM
To: Nguyen, Joe D; cholly@psbp.com; Sean Paul Bowlus; Jami Shaw; Eric Radmacher; Dick Scott
Cc: Gulzadah, Zahir; Nguyen, Thuy (DOT)
Subject: RE: 880 Charcot Extension - Meeting Materials

Please let us know if you need anything else and as mentioned and noted in the NOP, an EIR scoping meeting will be held on Thursday, **May 17, 2018** at the Berryessa Branch Library (3355 Noble Ave) from 6-8 pm. Joe will have

Thanks!

From: Nguyen, Joe D

Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2018 3:52 PM

To: cholly@psbp.com; sbowlus@psbp.com; jshaw@psbusinessparks.com; eradmacher@psbusinessparks.com; dscott@psbusinessparks.com

Cc: Gulzadah, Zahir <Zahir.Gulzadah@sanjoseca.gov>; Nguyen, Thuy (DOT) <Thuy.Nguyen@sanjoseca.gov>; Kimura, Josephine <Josephine.Kimura@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: 880 Charcot Extension - Meeting Materials

Hi All,

Thanking for taking the time to meet with the City of San Jose today. Please see attached for the materials provided at the meeting along with the Elevation Profile View of the project and the Notice of Preparation of an EIR.

Thank you,

Joe Nguyen

City of San José | Department of Transportation

200 E. Santa Clara St. 8th Floor

San José, CA 95113

P: (408) 794-7514

E: joed.nguyen@sanjoseca.gov

 Reply all |   Delete Junk |  ...

Re: Questions on comments to EIR

PM

Panakkal, Meenaxi

Tue 5/22/2018 12:18 PM

To: Robin Roemer <robin.roemer@ymail.com>

Cc: Kimura, Josephine; Nusbaum, Jenny 



 Reply all | 

Sent Items

Hello Robin,

The City accepts all comments and does translate vietnamese, spanish languages. Other languages may require special engagement of a transcriber. Many words that are technical in English do not necessarily have equivalent words in other languages as I have experienced based on languages I know.

In any event, all comments are welcome.

If you would prefer to hand deliver them, please come to Floor 3, City Hall at 200 East Santa Clara Street. Ron Duba at front desk will take in the package with my name on it. Parking is validated during working hours.

Again, thank you for the participation at the scoping sessions.

Regards,

Meenaxi

From: Robin Roemer <robin.roemer@ymail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2018 9:54:51 AM

To: Panakkal, Meenaxi

Cc: Kimura, Josephine; Nusbaum, Jenny

Subject: Questions on comments to EIR

Hi Meenaxi,

thanks again for coming to the school yesterday.

I had a follow-up question from parents about comments to the EIR: Do they need to be in English or are other languages (e.g. Spanish, Vietnamese) acceptable too?

We also wanted to offer the parents to drop their comment card of at the school office and then I would pick them up on 5/31 and hand deliver them to you/city hall. Is that ok? (instead of them having to mail them in)

Thanks,

Robin

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP
City of San Jose
200 East Santa Clara St., 3rd Floor Tower
San Jose, CA 95113-1905

RE: EIR study for 880 Charcot Ave extension and overpass

Dear Mr. Panakkal,

This letter is written on behalf of PS Business Parks concerning the impacts of the proposed Charcot Avenue Extension Project (File No. PP18-044). The intent of this letter is to outline the specific concerns and impacts we would like studied in the City of San Jose's Environmental Impact Report (EIR) as it relates to our industrial properties commonly known as Charcot I and Charcot II. We formally request the following areas to be included in the EIR scope.

1. Aesthetics

- a. What effect visually will our customers see post project completion, and how will that effect our rents and occupancy?
- b. The project proposes a retaining wall that rises to 18ft on the western portion of Charcot Ave. Will our customer's identity be harmed due to the fact that the proposed overpass will yield less of an opportunity for traffic to see the signage of our customers?
- c. Along with the proposed retaining walls, the project proposes relocating approximately 157 trees to our property along Charcot Ave. This area is already well shaded. Would the additional trees plus the 18ft retaining wall make the areas of Charcot Ave west of O'Toole excessively shaded during normal business hours?
- d. If the 157 trees were relocated to our property, what is the expected annual cost for maintaining and watering the trees?

2. Traffic Patterns and Circulation

- a. Southbound traffic on O'Toole Ave will not have westerly access to Charcot Ave. Does the city have an alternative proposal to create western access to Charcot Ave from O'Toole Ave?
- b. Due to the lack of ingress/egress on Charcot Avenue, how will non-tenant traffic patterns impact our customer's daily operations and parking? It seems logical that vehicles will seek a short cut to the Paragon Ave and Charcot Ave intersection by driving through our parking lots.
- c. What will be the maximum vehicle clearance for vehicles traveling North/South on O'Toole Ave under the proposed overpass?
- d. The current proposal strips our property and tenants of efficient ingress/egress along Charcot Ave. What alternatives does the City of San Jose propose that would keep these access points open?
- e. What is the current estimated foot traffic (pedestrians and bicyclist) along the western portion of Charcot Ave and O'Toole Ave? What is the foot traffic expected to be upon project completion?
- f. Currently Charcot Ave west of O'Toole receives very little pedestrian traffic. Would the City propose an alternate plan showing only walkways or a bike path rather than both?
- g. What is the daily vehicle traffic count along the completed Charcot Ave overpass projected to be upon project completion?
- h. Why does the current sidewalk design does not give pedestrians traveling southbound along O'Toole access to the Southside of Charcot Ave?

3. Utilities and Service Systems

- a. How will the proposed relocation of utility services affect our properties and tenants?
- b. Would the proposed relocation leave our tenants without power during normal operating hours? How does the City plan to mitigate this?
- c. Does the City have a plan to expand the storm drains capacity? Charcot Ave west of O'Toole Ave has a history of flooding during heavy rains. Will storm water from hardscape be entering an already sometimes overloaded storm drain and creek system?
- d. Does the city have a plan for installing new street lights along Charcot Ave?

4. Land and Parking

- a. How much land on a SF basis does the City intend to take both temporarily through easements and permanently through right of way?
- b. How many parking stall will be temporarily and permanently removed from our sites?
- c. Will the reduced parking stall count cause us to be in violation of zoning ordinances for minimum parking requirements?
- d. What buffer if any will remain between the overpass and our parking lots and buildings?

5. Noise

- a. Our leases represent quiet enjoyment to our customers. What short term and long term acoustic changes in this area should we understand, and how would our customers be compensated?

6. Alternatives

- a. Propose a design that leaves ingress/egress points along Charcot Ave open.
- b. Propose a design that grants pedestrians traveling Southbound on O'Toole Ave access to cross Charcot Ave and continue Southbound
- c. Propose a design that mitigates the impact to our tenants normal daily operations

Please confirm your receipt of this letter and that the above items will be included in the City of San Jose's EIR scope.

Sincerely,
Sean Paul Bowlus

Charcot Extension

AW

Audrey Wee <audreywee00@gmail.com>

Today, 11:30 AM

Panakkal, Meenaxi

  Reply all | 

Charcot Ave Extension

Hi Meenaxi,

My family and I live in the Orchard School district in San Jose. My daughter who is currently in grade 1 attends Orchard School and my son will also be attending kindergarten this fall at the school.

I am writing to express my concerns with regards to the Charcot extension plan right by the school. My main concern as a parent is the safety for my kids and also for the other students and staff at the school.

When will construction begin? Are there plans to implement stoplights to prevent vehicles from speeding in a school zone area? I am also very concern about the potential noise and environmental pollution cause by the construction by the school. My son has asthma and severe allergies and with an increase of dust and air pollution, I am concerned how that will affect his health along with the other students who

Also, I am concern about the construction noise level that could cause distractions during school hours. I wouldn't want any kids to have to listen to a jack hammer going off in the background while they are in class trying to learn.

There are many students who live within walking distance near the school. With the heavy flow of traffic coming in I am concern about how this can affect their safety and also potentially cause heavier traffic congestion.

I am writing to express all these concerns that my community and I have over the Charcot extension project. I appreciate your time and your help with these concerns that I have raised. I am hoping that the government would reconsider this plan.

I look forward to hearing back from you.

Best regards,

Audrey

Sent from my iPhone

Charcot Extension Project Issues

JS

Jennie Susanto <jsusanto@hotmail.com>

Tue 5/22/2018 11:20 AM

To: Nusbaum, Jenny; ✓ Panakkal, Meenaxi; Kimura, Josephine ↗



Reply all | ✓

Charcot Ave Extension

Flag for follow up. Start by Tuesday, May 22, 2018. Due by Tuesday, May 22, 2018.

You forwarded this message on 5/22/2018 2:55 PM

Dear Meenaxi, Jenny and Josephine,

We would like to voice our concerns on the proposed Charcot Extension project.

Replying to this was a bit of a pain back many years ago to create an extension of the road to Silkwood Ln - where there was not many residential areas built. I have been living in this area for 10 yrs now and the area has developed with many young families and children walking and playing on the streets in the evening, as well as school children walking to and from school from the nearby mobile homes.

There are tremendous concerns and issues on this project:

- 1) Safety - with the heavy pedestrians and children walking to school and around the neighborhood, this is the ultimate concern. The last thing we need is an accident in a residential areas with incoming traffic of people rushing to get to and from work.
- 2) Orchard School - Pollution exposed to these children with the extension built next to their school fields. We are encouraging children to be healthy, to run and be on the outside where they can enjoy safe and healthy fresh air- but the pollution on the traffic of cars passing by right next to school will cause them more harm than good.
- 3) Traffic - Brokaw road and Montague Expwy served us well around the area and there is no reason to build another road extension into a home neighborhood district. I am not sure why we would need an extension as I used those roads all the time to get home.
- 4) Pollution - both air and noise for the residential areas with the traffic diverting from Montague and Brokaw..

I hope all of you can kindly reconsider on this project and the implications and effects on the health and safety of the children that goes to Orchard school, and the families around the neighborhood. Trying to solve a traffic solution in expense of safety and health environment is not the way to go.

Appreciate your time to truly reconsider this project.

Thank you.

Pride leads to disgrace, but with humility comes wisdom.

~ Proverbs 11:2, NLT

PS Business Parks Comments on EIR Scope for Charcot Avenue Overpass Extension

SB

Sean Paul Bowlus <sbowlus@psbusinessparks.com>

Tue 5/22, 7:02 PM

Panakkal, Meenaxi; Nguyen, Thuy (DOT); Gulzadah, Zahir; Nguyen, Joe D; Kimura, .



Reply all |



Charcot Ave Extension

This message was sent with high importance.

Charcot Ave EIR Scope.d...

15 KB



Show all 1 attachments (15 KB) Download Save to OneDrive - City of San Jose



Action Items



Hi Meenaxi,

This letter is written and submitted within the NOP response deadline of May 24, 2018 on behalf of PS Business Parks concerning the impacts of the proposed Charcot Avenue Extension Project (File No. PP18-044). The intent of this letter is to outline the specific concerns and impacts we would like studied in the City of San Jose's Environmental Impact Report (EIR) as it relates to our industrial properties commonly known as Charcot I and Charcot II. We formally request the following areas to be included in the EIR scope.

1. Aesthetics

- What effect visually will our customers see post project completion, and how will that effect our rents and occupancy?
- The project proposes a retaining wall that rises to 18ft on the western portion of Charcot Ave. Will our customer's identity be harmed due to the fact that the proposed overpass will yield less of an opportunity for traffic to see the signage of our customers?
- Along with the proposed retaining walls, the project proposes relocating approximately 157 trees to our property along Charcot Ave. This area is already well shaded. Would the additional trees plus the 18ft retaining wall make the areas of Charcot Ave west of O'Toole excessively shaded during normal business hours?
- If the 157 trees were relocated to our property, what is the expected annual cost for maintaining and watering the trees?

2. Traffic Patterns and Circulation

- Southbound traffic on O'Toole Ave will not have westerly access to Charcot Ave. Does the city have an alternative proposal to create western access to Charcot Ave from O'Toole Ave?
- Due to the lack of ingress/egress on Charcot Avenue, how will non-tenant traffic patterns impact our customer's daily operations and parking? It seems logical that vehicles will seek a short cut to the Paragon Ave and Charcot Ave intersection by driving through our parking lots.

- d. The current proposal strips our property and tenants of efficient ingress/egress along Charcot Ave. What alternatives does the City of San Jose propose that would keep these access points open?
 - e. What is the current estimated foot traffic (pedestrians and bicyclist) along the western portion of Charcot Ave and O'Toole Ave? What is the foot traffic expected to be upon project completion?
 - f. Currently Charcot Ave west of O'Toole receives very little pedestrian traffic. Would the City propose an alternate plan showing only walkways or a bike path rather than both?
 - g. What is the daily vehicle traffic count along the completed Charcot Ave overpass projected to be upon project completion?
 - h. Why does the current sidewalk design does not give pedestrians traveling southbound along O'Toole access to the Southside of Charcot Ave?
3. **Utilities and Service Systems**
- a. How will the proposed relocation of utility services affect our properties and tenants?
 - b. Would the proposed relocation leave our tenants without power during normal operating hours? How does the City plan to mitigate this?
 - c. Does the City have a plan to expand the storm drains capacity? Charcot Ave west of O'Toole Ave has a history of flooding during heavy rains. Will storm water from hardscape be entering an already sometimes overloaded storm drain and creek system?
 - d. Does the city have a plan for installing new street lights along Charcot Ave?
4. **Land and Parking**
- a. How much land on a SF basis does the City intend to take both temporarily through easements and permanently through right of way?
 - b. How many parking stall will be temporarily and permanently removed from our sites?
 - c. Will the reduced parking stall count cause us to be in violation of zoning ordinances for minimum parking requirements?
 - d. What buffer if any will remain between the overpass and our parking lots and buildings?
5. **Noise**
- a. Our leases represent quiet enjoyment to our customers. What short term and long term acoustic changes in this area should we understand, and how would our customers be compensated?
6. **Alternatives**
- a. Propose a design that leaves ingress/egress points along Charcot Ave open.
 - b. Propose a design that grants pedestrians traveling Southbound on O'Toole Ave access to cross Charcot Ave and continue Southbound
 - c. Propose a design that mitigates the impact to our tenants normal daily operations

Please confirm your receipt of this letter and that the above items will be included in the City of San Jose's EIR scope. We appreciate being included in the EIR study.

Sincerely,
Sean Paul Bowlus



Sean Paul Bowlus | Real Estate Associate
701 Western Ave | Glendale, CA 91201
t. 818.244.8080 x1247 f. 818.242.0566 c. 951.313.4135



www.psbusinessparks.com

Sean,

There have been no changes to the project since we last met, but since the City's Planning Department is the lead role in preparing the EIR, please submit your letter detailing what you would like included in the EIR to Meenaxi (cc'd on this email and mailing info below). Please keep in mind that you have 30 days from the NOP to submit your comments. The scoping period is from 5/1-5/31 so please submit your letter before that time.

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP
City of San Jose
200 East Santa Clara St., 3rd Floor Tower
San Jose, CA 95113-1905

Let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks!

From: Sean Paul Bowlus [<mailto:sbowlus@psbusinessparks.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2018 10:19 AM
To: Kimura, Josephine <Josephine.Kimura@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: RE: 880 Charcot Extension - Meeting Materials

Hi Josephine,

PS Business Parks plans to submit a letter to the City of San Jose DOT detailing the areas of study we would like included in the EIR for the Charcot Ave overpass. Before I submit the letter, I wanted to follow up and see if there is any new information that has come available since our meeting on May 1. Please let me know. I look forward to your response.

Sean



Sean Paul Bowlus | Real Estate Associate
701 Western Ave | Glendale, CA 91201
t. 818.244.8080 x1247 f. 818.242.0566 c. 951.313.4135



www.psbusinessparks.com

This e-mail may contain confidential or proprietary material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or authorized to receive the information from the recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

From: Kimura, Josephine [<mailto:Josephine.Kimura@sanjoseca.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2018 3:56 PM
To: Nguyen, Joe D; cholly@psbp.com; Sean Paul Bowlus; Jami Shaw; Eric Radmacher; Dick Scott
Cc: Gulzadah, Zahir; Nguyen, Thuy (DOT)
Subject: RE: 880 Charcot Extension - Meeting Materials

Please let us know if you need anything else and as mentioned and noted in the NOP, an EIR scoping meeting will be held on Thursday, **May 17, 2018** at the Berryessa Branch Library (3355 Noble Ave) from 6-8 pm. Joe will have

Thanks!

From: Nguyen, Joe D

Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2018 3:52 PM

To: cholly@psbp.com; sbowlus@psbp.com; jshaw@psbusinessparks.com; eradmacher@psbusinessparks.com; dscott@psbusinessparks.com

Cc: Gulzadah, Zahir <Zahir.Gulzadah@sanjoseca.gov>; Nguyen, Thuy (DOT) <Thuy.Nguyen@sanjoseca.gov>; Kimura, Josephine <Josephine.Kimura@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: 880 Charcot Extension - Meeting Materials

Hi All,

Thanking for taking the time to meet with the City of San Jose today. Please see attached for the materials provided at the meeting along with the Elevation Profile View of the project and the Notice of Preparation of an EIR.

Thank you,

Joe Nguyen

City of San José | Department of Transportation

200 E. Santa Clara St. 8th Floor

San José, CA 95113

P: (408) 794-7514

E: joed.nguyen@sanjoseca.gov

 Reply all |   Delete Junk |  ...

Re: Questions on comments to EIR

PM

Panakkal, Meenaxi

Tue 5/22/2018 12:18 PM

To: Robin Roemer <robin.roemer@ymail.com>

Cc: Kimura, Josephine; Nusbaum, Jenny 



 Reply all | 

Sent Items

Hello Robin,

The City accepts all comments and does translate vietnamese, spanish languages. Other languages may require special engagement of a transcriber. Many words that are technical in English do not necessarily have equivalent words in other languages as I have experienced based on languages I know.

In any event, all comments are welcome.

If you would prefer to hand deliver them, please come to Floor 3, City Hall at 200 East Santa Clara Street. Ron Duba at front desk will take in the package with my name on it. Parking is validated during working hours.

Again, thank you for the participation at the scoping sessions.

Regards,

Meenaxi

From: Robin Roemer <robin.roemer@ymail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2018 9:54:51 AM

To: Panakkal, Meenaxi

Cc: Kimura, Josephine; Nusbaum, Jenny

Subject: Questions on comments to EIR

Hi Meenaxi,

thanks again for coming to the school yesterday.

I had a follow-up question from parents about comments to the EIR: Do they need to be in English or are other languages (e.g. Spanish, Vietnamese) acceptable too?

We also wanted to offer the parents to drop their comment card of at the school office and then I would pick them up on 5/31 and hand deliver them to you/city hall. Is that ok? (instead of them having to mail them in)

Thanks,

Robin

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP
City of San Jose
200 East Santa Clara St., 3rd Floor Tower
San Jose, CA 95113-1905

RE: EIR study for 880 Charcot Ave extension and overpass

Dear Mr. Panakkal,

This letter is written on behalf of PS Business Parks concerning the impacts of the proposed Charcot Avenue Extension Project (File No. PP18-044). The intent of this letter is to outline the specific concerns and impacts we would like studied in the City of San Jose's Environmental Impact Report (EIR) as it relates to our industrial properties commonly known as Charcot I and Charcot II. We formally request the following areas to be included in the EIR scope.

1. Aesthetics

- a. What effect visually will our customers see post project completion, and how will that effect our rents and occupancy?
- b. The project proposes a retaining wall that rises to 18ft on the western portion of Charcot Ave. Will our customer's identity be harmed due to the fact that the proposed overpass will yield less of an opportunity for traffic to see the signage of our customers?
- c. Along with the proposed retaining walls, the project proposes relocating approximately 157 trees to our property along Charcot Ave. This area is already well shaded. Would the additional trees plus the 18ft retaining wall make the areas of Charcot Ave west of O'Toole excessively shaded during normal business hours?
- d. If the 157 trees were relocated to our property, what is the expected annual cost for maintaining and watering the trees?

2. Traffic Patterns and Circulation

- a. Southbound traffic on O'Toole Ave will not have westerly access to Charcot Ave. Does the city have an alternative proposal to create western access to Charcot Ave from O'Toole Ave?
- b. Due to the lack of ingress/egress on Charcot Avenue, how will non-tenant traffic patterns impact our customer's daily operations and parking? It seems logical that vehicles will seek a short cut to the Paragon Ave and Charcot Ave intersection by driving through our parking lots.
- c. What will be the maximum vehicle clearance for vehicles traveling North/South on O'Toole Ave under the proposed overpass?
- d. The current proposal strips our property and tenants of efficient ingress/egress along Charcot Ave. What alternatives does the City of San Jose propose that would keep these access points open?
- e. What is the current estimated foot traffic (pedestrians and bicyclist) along the western portion of Charcot Ave and O'Toole Ave? What is the foot traffic expected to be upon project completion?
- f. Currently Charcot Ave west of O'Toole receives very little pedestrian traffic. Would the City propose an alternate plan showing only walkways or a bike path rather than both?
- g. What is the daily vehicle traffic count along the completed Charcot Ave overpass projected to be upon project completion?
- h. Why does the current sidewalk design does not give pedestrians traveling southbound along O'Toole access to the Southside of Charcot Ave?

3. Utilities and Service Systems

- a. How will the proposed relocation of utility services affect our properties and tenants?
- b. Would the proposed relocation leave our tenants without power during normal operating hours? How does the City plan to mitigate this?
- c. Does the City have a plan to expand the storm drains capacity? Charcot Ave west of O'Toole Ave has a history of flooding during heavy rains. Will storm water from hardscape be entering an already sometimes overloaded storm drain and creek system?
- d. Does the city have a plan for installing new street lights along Charcot Ave?

4. Land and Parking

- a. How much land on a SF basis does the City intend to take both temporarily through easements and permanently through right of way?
- b. How many parking stall will be temporarily and permanently removed from our sites?
- c. Will the reduced parking stall count cause us to be in violation of zoning ordinances for minimum parking requirements?
- d. What buffer if any will remain between the overpass and our parking lots and buildings?

5. Noise

- a. Our leases represent quiet enjoyment to our customers. What short term and long term acoustic changes in this area should we understand, and how would our customers be compensated?

6. Alternatives

- a. Propose a design that leaves ingress/egress points along Charcot Ave open.
- b. Propose a design that grants pedestrians traveling Southbound on O'Toole Ave access to cross Charcot Ave and continue Southbound
- c. Propose a design that mitigates the impact to our tenants normal daily operations

Please confirm your receipt of this letter and that the above items will be included in the City of San Jose's EIR scope.

Sincerely,
Sean Paul Bowlus

Charcot Avenue extension

SN

Son Nguyen <sonn@sbcglobal.net>

Yesterday, 10:43 PM

Panakkal, Meenaxi; Nusbaum, Jenny



Reply all |



Charcot Ave Extension



Action Items



Dear Mrs. Panakkal and Mrs. Nusbaum,



Reply all |



Delete

Junk |



First of all, we greatly appreciate your effort in organizing the scoping meetings to keep the people in the surrounding neighborhoods informed of the status and also have a chance to input their concerns.

As a resident on Rock Ave, below are some of my concerns regarding the Charcot Ave extension:

1. Silkwood lane will be widened up to four lanes. This will certainly bring much more noise and pollution to the neighborhood.

I kind of understand that Silkwood lane needs to be 4 lanes to accommodate the 6-lane Oakland rd. However, why Silkwood Lane

can't be like Fox lane (which is only 2 lanes) ? Also, how much traffic flow can be improved as the Charcot end only has 2 lanes ?

2. Commuters may try to short cut to the "extended" Charcot Lane via Rock Ave and Silkwood Lane (i.e: instead of going to Charcot directly via Silkwood Lane).

This will bring more traffic thru the neighborhood. Is there a consideration for some kind of blockage to minimize/cut this potential traffic flow thru the neighborhood ?

3. Current houses along the Silkwood Lane may need to be protected by some sound-proof walls. Currently, they only have wooden fences.

4. Is there a plan to restrict speed limit and kinds of traffic that can travel on the "extended" Charcot Lane ? This is a school zone in which lots of kids try to get to Orchard school via Silkwood Lane.

5. How far are the foots of elevated bridge to the neighborhood ? If they are too close, it can brings more noise, pollution and potentially accidents to the neighborhood.

6. Is there detailed research to see how much traffic flow can be improved with the Charcot extension ?

school was built and new neighborhood was built,
does it make sense that the Charcot extension plan should be changed (and potentially cancelled)
as well ?

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Best Regards,
Son Nguyen
(address: 1074 Rock Ave, San Jose, CA 95131)



ORCHARD SCHOOL PARENT TEACHER ASSOCIATION (PTA)

ASOCIACION DE PADRES Y MAESTROS
Hiệp Hội Giáo Chức Phụ Huynh

Comments to Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report for the Charcot Avenue Extension Project

Comments on community outreach:

1. Please in future provide **On-Site Notice-Billboards**
2. Mailings should go to **all households in the area bordered by I-880, Montague, Lundy, Brokaw**
3. Information in **Spanish and Vietnamese** should be included

Alternatives to examine

4. **No-Project Alternative**
5. **Bike- and pedestrian-only overpass**
6. **Relocating the overpass south of Brokaw** from Ridder park to Junction next to Lowe's Home Improvement (this could be continued to Zanker Rd and Skyport Dr)
7. **Road widening on Brokaw Rd.** incl. reassessing the timing of traffic lights there (see also VTA document http://vtaorgcontent.s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/Site_Content/EnvisionSV%20Projects%20Not%20Modeled.xlsx, page 3, #52)
8. **Alignment with only 2 lanes throughout** (incl. only one left turn lane from Oakland into Charcot)
9. **Inclusion of additional traffic calming measures next to school** (e.g. installing speed bumps along the side of the school, not allowing truck traffic, full traffic light on Silkwood/Charcot, traffic guards on Silkwood/Charcot & Oakland/Charcot, 10 foot lanes instead of 11)
10. **Moving the school to a different location**

Impact traffic

11. Describe traffic impact **during construction and upon completion.**
12. Do an impact analysis according to **Transportation Impact Policy.**
13. Will this project increase **neighborhood cut-through traffic especially by trucks?**
14. Describe impact on **Vision Zero San José.**
15. Please provide **a breakdown of the VMT analysis** for the complete overpass as well as **by transportation mode** (biking, walking, car and separately for trucks).
16. Throughout traffic analysis provide specific data on and impact from truck traffic.
17. **Impact on intersections locally** (1mi radius) as well as **regionally** especially Montague/Oakland, Brokaw/Oakland, Montague/McCarthy, Montague/Trimble, Brokaw/Ridder Park, Murphy/Ringwood, Murphy/Lundy, Ringwood/Trade Zone, Trade Zone/Montague, Trimble/Junction, Charcot/Zanker, Charcot/1st, O'Toole/Brokaw, 880/Montague, 880/Brokaw
18. Expected **amount of total traffic on Charcot by the hour and breakdown for truck traffic** specifically?

19. Describe the amount of **expected traffic turning into Charcot from Oakland** that will make two turn lanes necessary
20. Describe **planned speed limits** along Charcot from Highway 87 to Oakland Rd as well as **expected actual average speeds** based on experience from similarly designed roads (i.e. down ramp with wide lanes)
21. When describing **car speed** along Charcot from Highway 87 to Oakland Rd take into consideration that acc. to “North San José Urban Design Guidelines” Charcot from 1st to Paragon is planned as a Parkway a street that “has a more lush, vegetated character created through a combination of planted medians, generous landscaping along their street and building edges, and two lanes of traffic moving at relatively slow speeds.”
22. **Impact on drop-off, pick-up and parking situation** at the school especially Fox Ln but also Event Center on Oakland Rd and in Silkwood Ln neighborhood (more people parking further into the neighborhood and towards Rock Ave)
23. **Impact on surrounding residential areas**, especially:
 - a. Silkwood Ln between Rock Ave and Charcot
 - b. Wayne Ave, McKay Dr, Ringwood Ave (traffic from Charcot cutting through these streets instead of going to Montague or Brokaw on Oakland)
24. Impact on traffic on Charcot overpass **during construction of other planned projects** in the area (e.g. Montague-Trimble-Flyover, Montague-McCarthy intersection, Brokaw widening) if they are done after overpass is constructed
25. Impact on **access to industrial businesses on Oakland** especially for trucks entering those businesses
26. Impact on **Paragon Dr** from taking away right turn from O’Toole to Charcot
27. Impact on **railroad running through Oakland Rd** from increased traffic on Oakland.
28. How will **traffic from Fedex shipping Center** on 710 Dado St, San Jose, CA 95131, **USPS facility** on Lundy, **Amazon shipping center** on 196 Montague Expy use the Charcot overpass?
29. Impact from **traffic coming from and going to Highway 87** (was Charcot part of the EIR for any Highway 87 expansions)?
30. Impact **from future traffic towards/coming from Berryessa BART Station and the potential Apple Campus**
31. Impact from **nearby truck fueling station**
32. Impact of **11 foot lanes** instead of 10 foot lanes
33. Impact on Fox Ln from possibly needed **reconfiguration of SuperMicro Loading Dock** (trucks seem to currently access that loading dock through Rock and SuperMicro property but would then be diverted to Fox Ln)
34. Are any **changes planned to Oakland/Montague and Oakland/Brokaw intersections** in the context of this project?
35. Impact of **large number of pedestrians crossing Charcot** between 7:50 and 8:30 on the of traffic flow on Charcot.
36. Did any of the previous studies incorporate the recent, plentiful (especially housing) **developments in Milpitas**?
37. Will **right turn from Silkwood Ln onto Charcot be possible** during peak hours when traffic could be expected to be so dense that a car or truck, though allowed to turn, will not be able to actually make the turn – the right turn at this intersection especially by truck traffic also seems very dangerous to pedestrians crossing the street.

Impact bike and pedestrian

38. Describe impact on bike and pedestrians impact **during construction and upon completion along all streets that will see increased traffic.**
39. Impact on **walkability to school from the North (impact from overpass/Charcot directly as well as additional traffic on Silkwood Ln and Rock Ave) including studying the average number of people entering the school from the North**
40. Impact of increased traffic on Oakland Rd on **walkability to school from the South**
41. **Describe timing of planned traffic signal on Charcot** given the population crossing it will to a significant part be children and elderly and impact of that on traffic flow on Charcot
42. Impact on **walkways to school during construction** especially for students coming from North of the school
43. **Left turn safety for bicycles on Oakland Rd** (turning into and from Charcot)
44. **Bicycle and Pedestrian access to overpass on O'Toole**
45. Impact on **bus stops on Oakland Rd.**
46. Will there be **crossing guards** on Silkwood/Charcot and on Oakland/Charcot?
47. Please consider **standard signal at Charcot /Silkwood Ln**
48. What school population do you expect to **walk to school on the overpass and Charcot from westward direction?**
49. **Consider people illegally stopping on Charcot to pick-up or drop-off students**
50. Impact on **Bike Plan 2020**
51. **Impact on General Plan 2040 policies** such as:
 - a. CD-3.2 Prioritize pedestrian and bicycle connections to transit, community facilities (including schools), commercial areas, and other areas serving daily needs. Ensure that the design of new facilities can accommodate significant anticipated future increases in bicycle and pedestrian activity
 - b. TR-2.7 Give priority to pedestrian improvement projects that: improve pedestrian safety; improve pedestrian access to and within the Urban Villages and other growth areas; and that improve access to parks, schools, and transit facilities.

Air Quality/Pollution

52. Describe pollution impact **during construction and upon completion along all streets that will see increased traffic.**
53. Describe not only general air conditions including pollution in the Bay Area but do site **specific analysis** including
 - a. Impact **outside as well as in the classrooms** close to the road
 - b. **primary wind direction**
 - c. **seasonal effects**
54. Please measure and consider especially the **existing impacts of nearby pollution sources**
 - d. Kinder Morgan San Jose Terminal
 - e. I-880
 - f. Train tracks
 - g. Truck fueling stations
 - h. Industrial area
 - i. As well as of increased traffic (especially from trucks)
55. Consider impact given that students at the school are coming from a particularly **vulnerable population**
56. Consider impact given that the school has been **identified as Area of Concern by BAAQMD**
57. Identify **impact on homes on Silkwood and other roads in the area** that will see increased traffic

58. Impact of **taking away trees on school grounds** on pollution levels
59. Consider that the baseball field and playground is used for **vigorous activity**
60. Describe impact on plan **Climate Smart San José**
61. Describe potential **mitigation measures during construction and upon completion**
62. **Impact on General Plan 2040 policy** such as:
 - j. EC-6.7 Do not approve land uses and development that use hazardous materials that could impact existing residences, schools, day care facilities, community or recreation centers, senior residences, or other sensitive receptors if accidentally released without the incorporation of adequate mitigation or separation buffers between uses.

Safety

63. Describe safety impact **during construction and upon completion along all streets that will see increased traffic (especially Oakland, Fox, Charcot, Silkwood).**
64. Describe **setback of class rooms bordering Charcot**
65. Consider **safety of children playing on playground in case of accidents on Charcot** (car crashing into school grounds as has happened before on Oakland Rd)
66. Consider possible **blocking of escape routes** for school in case of disasters (e.g. chemical carrying train exploding on Oakland Rd crossing)
67. Consider **safety procedures in case of accident** of truck carrying dangerous goods (gas etc.) on Charcot
68. **Impact on behavior of homelessness population** nearby
 - a. Risk of junk and drug paraphernalia on and around school grounds
 - b. Risk of more frequent school lockdown situations (see recent lockdown)
 - c. Pan handing during drop-off/pickup
69. **Risk of suicides** close to school on the overpass
70. Look at if the school is designed as **shelter in place** and if the project would have any impact on that.
71. During **heavy rains**, how will water from Overpass be drained? Could it flow down to Silkwood Lane and adversely cause flooding to our playground? What safety measures will be done to prevent that?
72. Describe **Street/Road Lighting** plans - how many street lamps do you plan to install? Will there be road reflectors installed?
73. Will the overpass be **earthquake proof**?
74. Please analyze relevant **police reports** from the area for at least the past 5 years (e.g. **accident and crash data on Oakland Rd, illegal street racing in the area**)

State and Federal regulation regarding School

75. Please consider State and Federal regulation especially regarding **school site selection, minimum size of schools** such as <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ls/fa/sf/schoolsiteguide.asp#Roadways>
76. Consider impact of project on **land use on school grounds beyond right-of-way** – Children can't play too close to the borders of the school/street

Noise

77. Describe noise impact **during construction and upon completion along all streets that will see increased traffic.**
78. Describe **impact to the school from (increased) traffic along Charcot, Oakland Road and Fox Ln.**
79. Consider **noise level guidelines specific to schools**

80. Evaluate **noise level impact to classrooms next to the road**
81. **Impact of taking away existing trees** on school grounds on noise levels
82. Please **identify the quietest place for students to be at recess?** What is the expected minimum noise level anywhere in the recess area?
83. Describe potential **mitigation measures during construction and upon completion**

Aesthetically

84. If **sound walls along school borders** (Charcot/Oakland) are needed please provide visualization for that.
85. Will the overpass function as a **“gateway” to North San Jose** as described in North San José Urban Design Guidelines and the Envision 2040 Scenic Corridors Diagram (<https://www.sanjoseca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/7466>). If yes, how so? Please provide visualization.
86. Please provide **visualization of the planned change in layout going from lush parkway between 1st and Paragon to Overpass construction**
87. Show how the section closest to the classrooms will look like. Provide **cut-through at the narrowest section.**

Biologically

88. **Impact on trees** along the baseball field.
89. Are they part of the **original orchard** at this site?
90. Describe impact of **pollution on vegetation** (e.g. in yards at Silkwood Ln neighborhood)

Public Services

91. Are there plans to **expand Public Services** in the area in the context of this project?

Timing of the project

92. **Consider delaying the overpass after other planned improvements on Montague** have been finished (Trimble Flyover, McCarthy Intersection) and especially all Phase 1 improvements from the North San José Area Development Policy
93. **Consider moving playground structure first** and with minimal impact on the school (e.g. during summer months) instead of after completion of overpass.

Impact on homeowners

94. Describe the **estimated loss in value to the homeowners** near the project.

Equity

95. Consider that the neighborhood has been identified as a **Community of Concern** in the Bay Area Plan 2040 by the MTC. (http://2040.planbayarea.org/sites/default/files/2017-07/Equity_Report_PBA%202040%207-2017.pdf) and designated a **“disadvantaged community”** by CalEPA for the purpose of SB 535 (<https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/report/calenviroscreen-30> & <http://oehha.maps.arcgis.com/apps/View/index.html?appid=c3e4e4e1d115468390cf61d9db83efc4>)

Previous EIRs

96. Could you include **any EIRs for projects in the area** that included impacts from Charcot (e.g. school, Hampton Parks neighborhood, Silkwood homes, expansion of highway 87, shipping centers)

Right-of-Way

97. **Why did the city not request for more right-of-way for the overpass from the developers of the homes on Silkwood Ln in 2005** instead of now wanting to purchase the right-of-way from the school?
98. Will the city require **additional right-of-way during construction to store e.g. materials, heavy machinery?**
What impacts will that have in terms of e.g. safety, exercise space and pollution impact for the children at the school?

Mitigation measures

99. Moving the playground and reconstructing the field / building soundproof walls: who will pay for the cost associated?

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [John Hesler](#); [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Charcot Ave Extension Project COMMENTS & CONCERNS
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:31:14 AM

From: Stacy Amorim <stacy@focusedstaffing.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 10:13 PM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi; Nusbaum, Jenny
Subject: Charcot Ave Extension Project COMMENTS & CONCERNS

Hello Meenaxi and Jenny,

I'm the parent of 2 children who attend Orchard School and have participated in the meetings that have been held for the Charcot Extension Project. My husband, family, and neighbors have many concerns.

1. Pollution, noise and congestion during time of construction - along with the noise and congestion that will still occur after the project is done.
2. When conducting environmental studies, these people should actually stand outside to witness how fast cars go, how many children and people cross and use these streets, and observe how congested it already is. Forget using software programs - being there live to experience is a whole other thing. You may not live there or have children who attend school here, but WE do and pay our taxes to the city like everyone else - but not in support of projects like this!
3. Safety - More traffic means more cars traveled in this area. It's already bad enough with the oil rigs and tankers blasting very fast on our roads (Oakland and Fox), now to allow even more passing through? This is just unsafe, period.
4. Speed limit - he said no more than 35mph but the week before he said 40mph
5. The extension/overpass is right next to the 5/6th grade pod which is dangerous if there is an accident. What happens if cars collide and come through or into the school? What barrier is there to prevent this from EVER happening? If even just once, that's way to many.
6. This will bring our property value down - who wants to live near a busy, noisy overpass/road, or feel safe in raising a family or sending their children to school in this area?

Yes, this was approved in 1994, but much has changed since then. I (and many) just don't understand or agree with how this project is still actually viable given that there's a full fledged school here with 900 students, not to mention other common residents nearby.

We ask that you please reconsider and stop the project.

--

Best,

Stacy

p: [408.218.8411](tel:408.218.8411)

f: [408.519.6653](tel:408.519.6653)

stacy@focusedstaffing.com

www.focusedstaffing.com



From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [John Hesler](#); [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Charcot Avenue and Silkwood Lane By Orchard School
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:15:39 AM

From: Nusbaum, Jenny
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2018 4:40 PM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi
Subject: Fwd: Charcot Avenue and Silkwood Lane By Orchard School

-- Jenny

Begin forwarded message:

From: Roger Locke <lindalocke6@att.net>
Date: May 31, 2018 at 3:56:58 PM PDT
To: "jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov" <jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Charcot Avenue and Silkwood Lane By Orchard School
Reply-To: Roger Locke <lindalocke6@att.net>

May 31, 2018

Hello.

My name is Linda Locke and I am the president of the Berryessa Citizens Advisory Council. Our Organization has been continuously active since 1973. We promote the increase quality of life in our area, and the City as a whole. We are part of San Jose Council District 4.

We are deeply concerned about the East - West traffic over 880. How does the City and VTA justify cutting across the area of Orchard School where children play near Silkwood Lane?

How does the City allow a school to be BUILT when they have agreed to develop a plan to widen Charcot Road?

How does the City and VTA justify making children and families cross this ROAD over 880?

How many safety precautions can possibly be made?

How does the City and VTA think this improves the quality of life for the people of our City?

We will all be affected.

Please include these questions from the Scoping Meeting.

Thank you.

Linda Locke
2721 Camino Del Rey
San Jose, CA 95132

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [John Hesler](#); [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Charcot Avenue Extension Project
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:24:03 AM

From: Bet Danabar II <betdanabar@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 11:23 PM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi; Nusbaum, Jenny
Cc: Mike Tungol
Subject: Charcot Avenue Extension Project

Hello,

We wanted to share our concerns about the Charcot Avenue extension project that will have a direct negative impact to our community & Orchard school.

There are major safety concerns with the proposed extension because it directly cuts through our Orchard School & neighborhood where parents & children walk daily. The two lane street will be filled with commuters trying to avoid the current traffic that is in Brokaw Ave & Montague. Our streets cannot handle these volume of traffic & cars which puts our school & neighborhood children in danger.

Please help us keep our community safe for our children. Thank you for your time & attention to this urgent matter.

Sincerely,

Bet & Mike Tungol
1967 Bright Willow Circle
San Jose, Ca 95131

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [John Hesler](#); [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Charcot Avenue Extension Project
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:30:36 AM

From: Victoria Long <victoriamylong@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 10:23 PM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi; Nusbaum, Jenny; bebathon2828@sbcglob.net; Victoria Long
Subject: Charcot Avenue Extension Project

Hello Meenaxi and Jenny,

I am writing to you regarding our concerns regarding the Charcot Ave Extension Project.
I am one of the residents along the Silkwood Road. Here are some of the major concerns

1. The extension would be dangerous for the school children crossing the road. You will get the traffic coming through the street at a high speed from all direction including Milpitas. Currently, Parents are dropping off and picking up the children on this road. Already, you see crazy drivers coming from Old Oakland coming through this road to turn right on Silkwood to cut through the neighborhood to get to Supermicro on Rock Ave. I have caught many times where they almost run over the children.
2. Do you know that there are only 2 exits for the residents to get out of their properties between Rock and Silkwood- The 2 exits are only Rock Ave and Silkwood Rd What happen in case of emergency where there are hundreds of residents trying to get out and we can only turn right into Charcot from Silkwood Ave?
3. Further more, the new residents from the home being built in Milpitas will be coming down Montague Expressway, turn into Rock and cut through the neighborhood to get to Charcot causing congestion, pollution, traffic, speeding in a residential neighborhood and to get to Supermicro. Are we doing this extension for the convenience of Milpitas Residents?
4. This 4 lane traffic to result in high speed, high pollution, not to mention big tanker trucks, or gasoline tanker trucks coming through the neighborhood.
5. QUESTION- with your plans to improve, why are you not considering the health, safety of the residents along this street? Your plan does not include a higher retaining wall for the properties along Silkwood. What happen when you have a high speed car or tanker speeding into our wood fence?

--

Best Regards,
Victoria My Long

Cell (408)802-1048

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [John Hesler](#); [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Charcot Avenue Extension Project: Comments on Notice of Preparation
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:21:52 AM

From: linette Fuerst <linette_fuerst@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2018 3:47 PM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi; Nusbaum, Jenny
Subject: Charcot Avenue Extension Project: Comments on Notice of Preparation

To Meenaxi Panakkal / Jenny Nusbaum:

As a sales specialist for an industrial supplier, I understand the need for more connectivity in our community. However, despite this being an industrial area, there are families living here, working nearby, and children who need an education. There needs to be a balance between what the immediate community needs and what the City of San Jose as a whole needs.

My biggest concern is that if the extension is built as is, there will be a huge loss to the school. The meeting informed us that the school would only lose 10 feet. However, that 10 feet is the difference between whether time and resources will be diverted away from the classroom to the reconstruction of the play ground and such. The soft and hard costs could be spent on programs to benefit the children (i.e. programming, music, classroom supplies). Having the District's focus be on the reconstruction of the property will have long-term consequences on every child. This is an unnecessary waste of the communities resources on all fronts.

This superfluous use of resources is exacerbated by the fact that the only part where there is 4 lanes is right next to the school. I understand the desire for 2 lanes leaving Charcot AVE and onto Oakland RD. However, I do not get why there needs to be 2 lanes turning left onto Charcot AVE from Oakland RD. More lanes encourages people to move faster despite the speed limits. If we can just have 1 lane turning left to save the school from reconstruction, then why not? Why are we not trying to save the resources of the education system for the education of our children? Honestly, I would be okay if it was 2 lanes all the way through if it meant not taking land from Orchard Elementary School.

On top of how the road will effect the school once it is built, there is also the concern of safety during the construction. How will 2 to 3 years of exposure to the chemicals for the road effect the children as they are going through stages of rapid growth? How will the City of San Jose protect kids as young as 4 and as old as 14 from hours of exposure each day for years? There should be something in place to address this.

Then there is the safety of how the children will get to and from school from the apartments and mobile home park. During construction, how are they expected to walk around it without walking in the opposite direction first? In addition, the traffic on Oakland RD is dangerously fast. Who will monitor the safety of the students if they do cross Oakland RD to go around the construction?

I know we need more roads as San Jose becomes more populated but the original plans did not account for an elementary school being there. Now that it is, I urge that this project be altered to accommodate the immediate community. Our children deserve to be in a safe learning environment, where the staff can focus on the education. We should all be encouraging the next generation, not providing hindrances. In this way, the community can grow to be a city we are proud to call our home.

I look forward to reading your findings in the EIR when it is made public.

Thank you for this opportunity.

Sincerely,
Linette Fuerst
phone: 408.390.9341
email: linette_fuerst@yahoo.com

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [John Hesler](#); [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Charcot EIP questions #2
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:29:59 AM

From: Hock Gin Lim <hockginlim@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 11:15 PM

To: Nusbaum, Jenny; Panakkal, Meenaxi

Subject: Charcot EIP questions #2

10.

There will be a proposed 2 lane highway across from 880 on the Charcot Extension. Were there consideration of extending the 2 lane highway under 880 on Brokaw Rd. to 3 lanes, reconfiguring the traffic lights and reworking the highway to be more efficient.

Although there are 3 lanes heading West Bound, there is effectively 2 lanes going west bound as the left most lane is a left turn lane to enter 880 North Bound. In the morning, similarly, there are only two lanes going east bound in the morning, due to all the traffic wanting to turn left into the business area from Brokaw/880 exit to Ridder. Making this intersection more efficient, including potentially an exit from 880 to Fox Drive and/or Lane allows Brokaw East West to be more efficient.

Furthermore, if there is an exit to Fox Lane/Dr from 880, it will alleviate traffic in the peak hours.

Was a solution to increase traffic flow west bound or east bound include increasing traffic capacity by better design on Brokaw Rd and 880 exits?

What would be the Env Impact of widening and making Brokaw East and West more efficient and thus changing the need or impact on the Charcot Extension?

11.

Similar to point above, in making Brokaw Road more effective, Were there consideration of working with the County to extend and make Montague highway more efficient? Currently traffic is backed up and the traffic lights and McCarthy on the West side of 880 and Oakland Road on the East side of 880. If we make this intersection more efficient, this road carrying traffic to business area without going through a school and residential area with a 4 lane highway?

In the morning, west bound traffic is backed up by the traffic light at McCarthy Blvd and Montague. Eliminating the traffic light, or changing it's configuration will make it more efficient. Traffic is also backed up due to the Merge from 880 exiting to Montague going West Bound.

In the evening, traffic is backed up on the right lane going East Bound, of the merge from 880, traffic heading lining up to "Trade Zone" and traffic turning right from Montague to Oakland can't move because there is no "right" turn lane only lane to Oakland.

Has there been traffic studies if widening Montague expressway will allow increase traffic growth. This would be a better solution as the commuters won't have to divert through residential and school streets to go east and west.

12.

Were there consideration of funding or joint funding City and County funds for these modifications? I understand that Montague express way is a County Highway.

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [John Hesler](#); [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Charcot Extension project comments/questions
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:28:28 AM

From: Loc <locsanjose@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 8:56 AM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi
Cc: Johnny
Subject: Charcot Extension project comments/questions

Hello Ms Meenaxi,

Ref : Charcot Extension project
Name : Loc Tran
Date : 5/30/18
Address: 1021 Banyan Ct. San Jose, CA 95131

First are my concerns/comments:

The first thought came to my mind is the safety of my children when I learned about the Charcot Extension project. I have two daughters, the oldest will finish her Kindergarten soon. My second daughter will attend Orchard Elementary after next year. We live near this school, I walked my daughter to/from school every day using the school's back gate at Silk Wood Lane.

It is possible this scenario may have already came up, but it may be worth to mention it here. With Charcot Extension, and even with all the precautions in place such as school zone, traffic lights, speed limit, The possibility of street crossing traffic accident(s) will be there, where as will never occur without it. Children are carefree, at any one instance, they may forget all about traffic safety rules they have learned, they may just cross the street without looking for incoming traffics, potential traffic accident usually occur in these moments, and lives could be lost.

The potential risk of traffic accidents could and will happen to these Elementary school students (and parents), is that an acceptable trade-off for additional traffic flow across I-880. Please consider the safety of these children in arriving to your conclusion.

I hope the comments above will be taken into consideration by the San Jose City Council members in making their final decision whether to go ahead with the Charcot Extension project.

Second are my questions:

1. Current Silk Wood Lane basically have non-existent pass thru traffics. With Charcot Extension, That will add pass thru traffic to Silk Wood Lane from the other side of I-880. Even stop light, school zone designation, and speed limit, how can you guarantee these pass thru traffics will NEVER cause accident (worse with lost of lives) with these pedestrians (school kids and parents) ?
2. With Charcot Extension, traffic lights, speed limit, and school zone designation, like any other road in the US, there will be speeders. How do you solve the safety issue regarding speeders ?
3. Silk Wood Lane currently have sparse residential traffic. The intended goal to achieve with Charcot Extension is to add pass thru traffics from the other side of I-880. That promote an increase in the amount of traffics on Silk Wood Lane along Orchard Elementary school. How do you solve the increase polluted air emitted and the noises generated from these vehicles for the students attending Orchard Elementary ?
4. Currently, parents depend on Silk Wood Lane along side of Orchard Elementary to park their cars in order to walk their kids to the class room to drop them off and pick them up. How do you solve the parking needs on Silk Wood Lane after this new Charcot Extension is in place ?
5. Charcot Extension make it possible to go from Oakland Road to Rock Avenue to Silk Wood Lane and go over to the other side of I-880. This result in an increase of non-local (people not live there) traffics on Rock Avenue and Silk Wood Lane. And worst are the speeders going through the residential neighborhood.

This extension will benefit those people from Milpitas to be able to go the other side of I-880 via Rock Avenue, Silk Wood Lane/Tiger Lily Lane, and Charcot Extension. Thus, avoid using the crowded Montague Expr. and Brokaw Road at the expense of the people lived in the neighborhood in term of safety, noise, traffic congestion and polluted air.

How do you solve this increase traffic load in the residential streets from the safety, noise, traffic congestion, and polluted air stand points ?

6. Will a permanent barricade install on Silk Wood Lane where it meets

Charcot Extension to prevent traffics from using Rock Avenue and other residential streets to reach Charcot Extension and continue to go to the other side of I-880 ?

Making Silk Wood Lane a dead end street at the interface to Charcot Extension. This will result in only local residential and Super Micro traffics use Rock Avenue entry from Oakland Road.

A concerned parent

Loc

CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT

COMMENT CARD

Comments must be submitted no later than
Thursday, May 31, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.

City of San José
Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attn: Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP

Tel.: (408) 535-7895

Email: meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov

Attn: Jenny Nusbaum

Tel.: (408) 535-7872

Email: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov

Name: Denise Huynh

Date: 5/31/18

Affiliation (if applicable) _____

Address: 1590 Nobu Drive, San Jose,

Comment/Question: 95131

See Email for Comments.

Please continue on the back if necessary.

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Charcot Project Comments
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:25:03 AM
Attachments: [comment-card.pdf](#)

From: Panakkal, Meenaxi
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:14 AM
To: John Hesler
Subject: Fw: Charcot Project Comments

From: Denise Huynh <denisehuynh2828@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2018 5:10 PM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi
Subject: Charcot Project Comments

May 31, 2018
Attention: [Meenaxi R. Panakkal, AICP](#)
Reference to: [Charcot Avenue Extension Project](#)

From: Denise Huynh
Address: 1590 Nobu Drive, San Jose, CA 95131.

Comment: Please re-assess the current situation and put Orchard School (from K-8th grades) and nearby residents into your plan before proceeding with the Charcot overpass project. My main concerns for this project is the increase of traffic will pose dangers for the students, parents, teachers, staffs and other pedestrians, quality of life, income disadvantage, air quality, health issue, noise pollution and learning issue.

My worry is that during rush hours, if Silk Wood Lane is converted into the Charcot overpass, the increase of traffic various with types of vehicles--big delivery trucks, oil tanker truck, cars and other vehicles--will pose dangers to the parents and their children who are crossing the roads on Oakland Road, Fox Road, and Silk Wood Lane during drop off time for school due to heavy traffic, careless drivers or drivers who take short cuts.

Currently parking on the streets are already big problems. To drop off or pick

up kids, parents need to arrive at school 20-30 minutes prior to school starts or ends. If the Silk Wood Lane is converted for Charcot overpass, parents would have to park further away from the school, which means they will need to come 40-50 minutes earlier. This is extra time to make sure their children safety. It may be okay for parents who stay home to take care of their kids, but what about the working parents or single parent family who has only one income? It would mean they have to adjust their work hours and maybe reduce some of their work hours to drop off and pick up their kids. This implicitly affect their lives for 9 years while their children attend Orchard School (from K-8th grades). You are talking about 450+ families because there are 900 students with an average of 2 kids per family. It is 10 months of regular school year plus 1 ½ months of summer school stressful over dropping off their kids to school and picking up their kids from school. The Charcot Overpass will affect the San Jose residents' quality of life and affect their economic situations. While the overpass will inadvertently help the Milpitas residents to improve their quality of life because this will create better access for most Milpitas residents. In addition, parents have to worry about their children's safety while they are at recess playing on the playground and at the grass area close to the street due to heavy traffic and potential accident that crash onto the school areas. They have to worry about the air quality which affects their children's long-term health while attending school from all the vehicles passing throughout the day. They have to worry about the noise pollution which affects the children's concentration while attending classes and not learnings.

I am against the project and would prefer NOT to have the overpass build. From the City of San Jose spoke person, the project was to benefit the pedestrians and the bike riders. Then the overpass should build for just that NO cars and NO Trucks access, but only for the purpose of pedestrians and bike riders.

Sincerely,

Denise Huynh
Parent of 2 kids.

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Comment Card - Charcot Ave Extension Project
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:25:09 AM

From: Panakkal, Meenaxi
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:14 AM
To: John Hesler
Subject: Fw: Comment Card - Charcot Ave Extension Project

From: anson ko <koanson@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2018 4:51 PM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi; Nusbaum, Jenny
Subject: Comment Card - Charcot Ave Extension Project

Hi

Instead of making an extension using the Silk Wood Ln from Charcot, please use Fox Ln as an extension because it is already a commercial street. It may cost more but for long term, safety, crime, pollution, all taken into consideration. Most important is if you use Fox Ln, you get my vote on the next term.

Thank you for your consideration.
Anson Ko
1849 Silk Wood Ln
San Jose CA 95131
4087689032

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [John Hesler](#); [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Comment on Charcot Avenue Extension
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:29:01 AM

From: Marilou Mutuc <76.mutuc@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 7:45 AM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi
Subject: Comment on Charcot Avenue Extension

Good morning,

I'm a resident at the Hampton Park neighborhood by Rock Ave. I also have a child going to Orchard School.

My family's main concern is the safety of our children and lost of one entry to the school. If the other gate by Silkwood Lane will still be available if this project is realized, I can't imagine children going through the soon-to-be very busy street. This gate is being used by most parents who dropped their children off and also when picking them up.

Another thing is the lost of some play space for the children at school. I believe the road will be widen. Fox Lane, the other street, is also busy now, so this means the school will be in the middle of 2 very busy streets.

Last one is about the usage of our private streets at Hampton Park to go to the Silkwood Lane route. I wonder if there's a rule to prevent public usage of private streets in this case.

Thank you,

Marilou

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [John Hesler](#); [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Concerns against Charcot Project
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:26:12 AM

From: Olivia Amorim <ogamorim@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 2:32 PM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi; Nusbaum, Jenny
Subject: Concerns against Charcot Project

Hello,

I'm sending concerns regarding the Charcot Extension Project.

1. Safety - More traffic means more cars traveled in this area. It's already bad enough with the oil rigs and tankers blasting very fast on our roads (Oakland and Fox), now to allow even more passing through.
2. The extension/overpass is right next to the 5/6th grade pod which is dangerous if there is an accident. What happens if cars collide and come through or into the school? What barrier is there to prevent this from EVER happening? If even just once, that's way to many.
3. Construction - IF this project happens, can they do construction during off peak hours, or after [3pm](#)? The congestion and huge inconvenience of noise, pollution, debris, etc will just add more unfavorable conditions for all.
4. Environment - we don't want the gas and smog to fill the school area for children to breathe with the tankers, trucks and cars that will be constantly passing through. Have JD Power and Assoc. take this into account when doing the environmental studies. I also believe they should physically stand outside to truly observe all of the congestion, traffic and children that already occur around the school. Adding to it with this project will make things 1000X worse.
5. Property Value - the value of our homes will now go down. What a sore spot to live near a busy, noisy overpass/road. Not many would feel safe in raising a family or sending their children to school in this area.
6. Additional traffic will now be coming in from surrounding cities - from Milpitas, other areas of San Jose and Santa Clara. We DON'T want this here!!!!

I, and everyone that I know in this area and at the school oppose this project. It doesn't make common sense to continue with it. These are children we are talking about - the next generation. There's already a shortage of good schools as it is - please preserve the environment for this one.

--

Best,

Stacy and Olivia Amorim

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [John Hesler](#); [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Please stop the extension project
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:24:44 AM

From: Jingxian Lin <star0.lin@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 9:00 PM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi
Subject: Please stop the extension project

This project will mean a smaller school, more traffic, more pollution and more noise. It will separate the school from the neighborhood and put our children at risk. We cannot solve our traffic problems on the back of our children. Please stop this project and save our kids!



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [John Hesler](#); [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Public Review for Notice of Preparation: Charcot Avenue Extension Project
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:27:52 AM
Attachments: [NOP-Caltrans-comments Charcot Avenue Extention Project.docx](#)

From: Singh, Inderpreet@DOT <inderpreet.singh@dot.ca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 11:32 AM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi; Walsh, Susan
Cc: Ong, Neil
Subject: FW: Public Review for Notice of Preparation: Charcot Avenue Extension Project

Hi there,

Please review the email below. I am sending email this as I got an auto response that Dipa is no longer with City of San Jose.

Thanks,

Inder Preet Singh, MS, PE
Project Manager – Santa Clara County
Caltrans District 04
(510) 622-8812

From: Singh, Inderpreet@DOT
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 11:28 AM
To: Chundur, Dipa <Dipa.Chundur@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Ong, Neil <neil.ong@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Review for Notice of Preparation: Charcot Avenue Extension Project

Hi Dipa,

Thanks much for your email. Please find attached the Department's input/comment and also keep us in the loop in future as well.

Thanks,

Inder Preet Singh, MS, PE
Project Manager – Santa Clara County
Caltrans District 04

(510) 622-8812

From: Chundur, Dipa [<mailto:Dipa.Chundur@sanjoseca.gov>]
Sent: Monday, April 30, 2018 4:49 PM
To: Chundur, Dipa <Dipa.Chundur@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Public Review for Notice of Preparation: Charcot Avenue Extension Project

**NOTICE OF PREPARATION
OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE
CHARCOT AVENUE EXTENSION PROJECT**

April, 2018

FILE NUMBER:

PP18-044

PROJECT APPLICANT:

City of San José

The project proposes to extend Charcot Avenue from its eastern boundary at Paragon Drive, over Interstate 880 (I-880), to Oakland Road in the North San José area.

As the Lead Agency, the City of San José will prepare an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the above-referenced project. The City welcomes your input regarding the scope and content of the environmental information that is relevant to your area of interest, or to your agency's statutory responsibilities in connection with the proposed project. If you are affiliated with a public agency, the EIR may be used by your agency when considering subsequent approvals related to the project. The project description, location, and a summary of the probable environmental effects that will be analyzed in the EIR for the project can be found at <http://www.sanjoseca.gov/index.aspx?NID=6078>

Community/Scoping Meeting: A Community/EIR Scoping meeting will be held on Thursday, May 17, 2018 from 6:00 pm to 8:00 pm at Berryessa Branch Library, 3355 Noble Ave., San José CA 95132.

According to State law, the deadline for your response is 30 days after receipt of this notice. However, responses earlier than 30 days are always welcome. If you have comments on this Notice of Preparation, please identify a contact person, and send your response to:

City of San José
Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
Attn: Dipa Chundur

200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905
Phone: (408) 535-7688,
E-mail: Dipa.chundur@sanjoseca.gov

Date: May 29, 2018

CT- comments

Charcot Avenue Extension Project

Provide information regarding the location of overcrossing structural columns across the I-880 freeway. The placement of overcrossing structural columns, near state facilities such as ramps and freeway segments, should consider (provide enough room for) future widening of said State facilities.

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [John Hesler](#); [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Scoping Input for Charcot Avenue Extension Project
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:16:08 AM

From: Michael Lam <michelanno@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2018 4:23 PM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi
Subject: Scoping Input for Charcot Avenue Extension Project

I'd like the environment impact report (EIR) of Charcot Avenue Extension Project to address the following:

- school boundary impact: the report should detail exact changes to school property boundaries with to-scale image overlay of such changes. A general statement of size changes will not be sufficient.
- finished air quality impact: the projected number of related ailment increase due to the extended roadway for school staff, students and for nearby residents, with classification of severity for short term and long term effects
- construction air quality impact: the projected number of related ailment increase due to the construction of the project for school staff, students and for nearby residents, with classification of severity for short term and long term effects
- finished noise impact: the projected noise pollution due to the extended roadway for school and nearby residents
- construction noise impact: the projected noise pollution due to the construction of the project for school and nearby residents
- finished safety impact: the projected number of related injuries from traffic accidents due to the extended roadway for school staff, students and for nearby residents, with classification of severity for short term and long term effects
- construction safety impact: the projected number of related injuries from traffic accidents due to the extended roadway for school staff, students and for nearby residents, with classification of severity for short term and long term effects
- finished traffic impact: the projected traffic flow statistics due to the extended roadway, including streets within the nearby residential areas, with projection to longer term traffic flow caused by future development effected by the new roadway
- construction traffic impact: the projected traffic flow statistics due to the construction of the project, including streets within the nearby residential areas
- finished school program impact: the projected impact to school programs, including the competitiveness

of the related programs and unstructured recreational use, for the finished project

- construction school program impact: the projected impact to school programs, including the competitiveness of the related programs and unstructured recreational use, during construction of the project

Thanks for your attention.

Michael Lam
1028 Bramble Wood Ln
San Jose, CA 95131

From: [Panakkal, Meenaxi](#)
To: [John Hesler](#); [Amy Wang](#)
Subject: Fw: Strongly against this plan!
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:23:13 AM

From: Nusbaum, Jenny
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2018 6:03 AM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi
Subject: Fwd: Strongly against this plan!

-- Jenny

Begin forwarded message:

From: Bi Song <songbi6@gmail.com>
Date: May 30, 2018 at 9:03:26 PM PDT
To: jenny.nusbaum@sanjoseca.gov
Subject: Strongly against this plan!

The extension project will take away some 20,000 square feet of Orchard's campus, make it unsafe for students walking to and from school and force these children to breathe polluted air. Our kids are more valuable and more important than a roadway. I am strongly against this plan!



Virus-free. www.avast.com



May 31, 2018

City of San José
Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor Tower
San José, CA 95113-1905

Attention: Dipa Chundur

Subject: City File No. PP18-044 | Charcot Avenue Extension Project & Environmental Impact Report

Dear Dipa Chundur:

Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) staff has reviewed the NOP for a 0.6-mile long, 2-lane extension of Charcot Avenue from Paragon Drive to Oakland Road, and associated intersection improvements in the North San José area. We have the following comments regarding the scope and content of the EIR:

Pedestrian and Bicycle Accommodations

The DEIR analysis of Pedestrian and Bicycle Accommodations should address the completeness of the pedestrian and bicycle network around the project corridor, and connectivity to key destinations, e.g., Orchard Elementary School, retail on Oakland Road, and employment sites on the west side of I-880.

Transportation Analysis

The DEIR analysis should provide a multimodal approach to transportation analysis, including meaningful analyses of impacts and mitigation measures for pedestrian, bicycle, and transit modes in addition to automobiles. VTA recommends the use of other multimodal performance indicators such as non-auto mode shares, transit boardings, and pedestrian and bicycle quality of service measures, in addition to vehicle-miles traveled.

Effects on the Transit Network

The DEIR analysis should address any potential effects on the transit network associated with the project. VTA recommends studying how the project could influence use of the facility by VTA for routing, service planning, circulation and connectivity between core stops or transfer hubs.

Transit Passenger Facilities

VTA recommends the City coordinate with VTA on the potential improvements to the two existing bus stops at the intersection of Oakland Road & Silkwood Lane. A new signalized intersection may impact the existing configuration of the bus stops. VTA Bus Stop & Passenger Facilities Standards are available upon request and any other bus stop questions can be sent to bus.stop@vta.org.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Melissa R. Cerezo', followed by a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Melissa R. Cerezo, AICP
Senior Transportation Planner

cc: Patricia Maurice, Caltrans
Brian Ashurst, Caltrans

SJ1815

Amy Wang

From: John Hesler
Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2018 8:44 AM
To: Amy Wang
Subject: FW: KM #18-0466 Response to Notice of Community and Public Scoping Meeting for the Charcot Avenue Extension Project & Environmental Impact Report; File Ref. No. PP18-044
Attachments: 18-0466.pdf; GMAP C 05-16-18.png; Gmap with measure.JPG
Importance: High

John Hesler | Vice President/Sr. Environmental Specialist
David J. Powers & Associates, Inc.
1871 The Alameda, Suite 200 | San José, CA 95126
Main: 408.248.3500 | Direct: 408.454.3424
jhesler@davidjpowers.com

Quality Environmental Review Makes a Difference

From: Kimura, Josephine <Josephine.Kimura@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 11:39 AM
To: Panakkal, Meenaxi <meenaxi.panakkal@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: John Hesler <jhesler@davidjpowers.com>; Nguyen, Joe D <joed.nguyen@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: FW: KM #18-0466 Response to Notice of Community and Public Scoping Meeting for the Charcot Avenue Extension Project & Environmental Impact Report; File Ref. No. PP18-044
Importance: High

Meenaxi/John,

FYI. I received this from Kinder Morgan, Inc.

Thanks,

From: Payne, Karly [<mailto:PayneK@kindermorgan.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 16, 2018 3:31 PM
To: Kimura, Josephine <Josephine.Kimura@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Chundur, Dipa <Dipa.Chundur@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: KM #18-0466 Response to Notice of Community and Public Scoping Meeting for the Charcot Avenue Extension Project & Environmental Impact Report; File Ref. No. PP18-044
Importance: High

Please see our attached response to the referenced inquiry.

Also attached are two Geospatial maps. One shows the project area in green and the noticing radius in yellow. The second map shows the distance between the pipeline (shown in red) and Charcot Avenue.

The project area as highlighted in green with no KM pipelines or facilities within the project area confirms this project as a no conflict.

Sincerely,

Karly Payne
Administrative Assistant
Pipeline Engineering Division
Kinder Morgan, Inc.
Suite 700
1100 West Town & Country Road
Orange CA 92868
(714) 560-4604 Phone
(714) 560-6549 Fax
Email: karly_payne@kindermorgan.com



May 16, 2018

ENG 4-2-1 (930)
File Reference #18-0466

Josephine Kimura
Project Manager
City of San Jose
Department of Transportation
3rd Floor Tower
200 East Santa Clara Street
San Jose CA 95113
josephine.kimura@sanjoseca.gov

Re: Notice of Community and Public Scoping Meeting for the Charcot Avenue Extension Project &
Environmental Impact Report
Project File No.: PP18-044

Dear Ms. Kimura:

This is in response to the above Notice received April 30, 2018, regarding the referenced project in the City of San Jose, Santa Clara County, California.

Based on the information provided, Kinder Morgan has no facilities within the specified project area and therefore has no conflict with the proposed project.

On the attached Geospatial map the Noticing Radius is shown in yellow highlighter and the project area is shown in green highlighter.

The attached Geospatial with measurement map shows the area in feet between Charcot Avenue and our LS-16 pipeline as 1,568 feet.

Please refer to our **File Reference Number 18-0466** in any future communications concerning this project.

In the event of any project scope changes, please resubmit your request.

Sincerely,

Karly Payne

Karly Payne
Administrative Assistant
Pipeline Engineering Department

T: Quinn\letters\421-(930)\18-0466\emc

Enclosures