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Table 1. Level of Service Characteristics

| LOS | Traffic Condition |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Free flow conditions; Low volumes; high operating speeds; uninterrupted flow; no <br> restriction on maneuverability; drivers maintain desired speeds; little or no delays. |
| B | Stable flow conditions; operating speeds beginning to be restricted. |
| C | Stable flow but speed and maneuverability restricted by higher traffic volumes; <br> satisfactory operating speed for urban conditions; delays at signals. |
| D | Approaching unstable flow; low speeds; major delays at signals; little freedom to <br> maneuver. |
| E | Lower operating speeds; volume at or near capacity; unstable flow; major delays <br> and stoppages. |
| F | Forced flow conditions; low speeds; volumes below capacity, may be zero; <br> stoppages for long periods because of downstream congestion. |

Source: Transportation Research Board 2016

Table 2. Level of Service Criteria for Freeways

| LOS | Density (passenger cars/mile/lane) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 11$ |
| B | $11-18$ |
| C | $18-26$ |
| D | $26-35$ |
| E | $35-45$ |
| F | $>45$ |

Source: Transportation Research Board 2016

Table 3. Level of Service Criteria for Two-Lane Highways in Class I

| LOS | Percent Time-Spent-Following |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 35$ |
| B | $>35-50$ |
| D | $>50-65$ |
| E | $>65-80$ |

Source: Transportation Research Board 2016
Note: LOS F applies whenever the flow rate exceeds the segment capacity.

Table 4. Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

| LOS | Control Delay (sec/veh) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $10-15$ |
| C | $15-25$ |
| D | $25-35$ |
| E | $35-50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

Source: Transportation Research Board 2016

Table 5. Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections

| LOS | Control Delay (sec/veh) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $10-20$ |
| C | $20-35$ |
| D | $35-55$ |
| E | $55-80$ |
| F | $>80$ |

Source: Transportation Research Board 2016

Table 6. Level of Service Criteria for Roadways - Merced County

| \# | Area | Facility | Interchanges | Intersections | Flow | Lanes | Median | Level of Service (Average Annual Daily Traffic) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | B | C | D | E |
| 1 | Urban | Freeway | <2 miles apart | - | - | 4 | N/A | 22,000 | 36,000 | 52,000 | 67,000 | 76,500 |
| 2 | Urban | Expressway | - | - | - | 4 | Divided | - | - | 21,400 | 31,100 | 32,900 |
| 3 | Urban | Highway | - | - | Uninterrupted | 2 | Undivided | 2,000 | 7,000 | 13,800 | 19,600 | 27,000 |
| 4 | Urban | Highway | - | < 2/mile | - | 2 | Undivided | - | 4,200 | 13,800 | 16,400 | 16,900 |
| 5 | Urban | Highway | - | < 4.5/mile | - | 2 | Undivided | - | 1,900 | 11,200 | 15,400 | 16,300 |
| 6 | Urban | Collector | - | - | - | 2 | Undivided | - | - | 4,800 | 10,000 | 12,600 |
| 7 | Urban | Highway | - | < 4.5/mile | - | 4 | Undivided | - | 3,500 | 23,200 | 29,100 | 30,600 |
| 8 | Urban | Arterial | - | - | - | 4 | Undivided | - | - | 15,600 | 27,800 | 29,400 |
| 9 | Urban | Highway | - | < 2/mile | - | 4 | Undivided | 3,500 | 20,900 | 24,600 | 25,700 | - |
| 10 | Urban | Collector | - | - | - | 4 | Undivided | - | - | 9,800 | 19,200 | 22,800 |
| 11 | Urban | Highway | - | <2/mile | - | 2 | Undivided | - | 4,000 | 13,100 | 15,500 | 16,300 |
| 12 | Urban | Arterial | - | - | - | 2 | Undivided | - | - | 7,000 | 13,600 | 14,600 |
| 13 | Transition | Freeway | - | - | - | 4 | - | 23,500 | 38,700 | 52,500 | 62,200 | 69,100 |
| 14 | Transition | Collector | - | - | - | 2 | Undivided | - | - | 4,400 | 9,400 | 12,000 |
| 15 | Rural | Freeway | - | - | - | 6 | - | 33,100 | 54,300 | 73,900 | 87,400 | 97,200 |
| 16 | Rural | Freeway | - | - | - | 4 | - | 21,300 | 35,300 | 47,900 | 56,600 | 63,000 |
| 17 | Rural | Non-Freeway | - | - | Uninterrupted | 4 | Divided | 17,500 | 28,600 | 40,800 | 52,400 | 58,300 |
| 18 | Rural | Non-Freeway | - | - | Isolated Stops | 4 | - | - | 2,900 | 17,400 | 23,000 | 25,200 |
| 19 | Rural | Non-Freeway | - | - | Uninterrupted | 2 | Undivided | 2,600 | 5,300 | 8,600 | 13,800 | 22,300 |
| 20 | Rural | Non-Freeway | - | - | Isolated Stops | 2 | Undivided | - | 1,900 | 8,000 | 10,700 | 12,100 |
| 21 | Suburban | Non-Freeway | - | - | Interrupted | 4 | Divided | - | 5,300 | 25,200 | 29,400 | 31,200 |
| 22 | Suburban | Highway | - | - | Uninterrupted | 2 | Undivided | 2,500 | 7,200 | 12,700 | 17,300 | 23,500 |
| 23 | Suburban | Arterial | - | - | Interrupted | 2 | Undivided | - | 2,200 | 11,000 | 13,900 | 14,900 |
| 24 | Suburban | Collector | - | - | - | 2 | Undivided | - | - | 1,900 | 7,600 | 10,100 |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | Existing (2016) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Northbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.18 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 64.2 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 2050 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1416 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.60 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 64.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 22.1 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.8 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 64.2 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | Existing (2016) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Northbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.18 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 64.2 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 2150 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1485 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.63 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 64.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 23.1 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.8 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 64.2 |  |  |

[^1]
## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | Existing (2016) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project Southbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.00 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 65.0 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1700 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1174 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.50 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 65.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 18.1 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.0 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 65.0 |  |  |

Copyright © 2018 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | Existing (2016) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project Southbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.00 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 65.0 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1100 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 760 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.32 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 65.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 11.7 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.0 | Level of Service (LOS) | B |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 65.0 |  |  |
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HCS7: Two-Lane Highways Release 7.4

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Northbound |
| From/To | SR 152/I-5 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | Existing (2016) |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

| Direction | Analysis(d) | Opposing (o) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.0 | 1.1 |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 1.000 | 0.971 |  |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 | 1.00 | pc/h |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 625 | pc/h | 410 |  |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) | BPTSFd | 57.6 | $\%$ |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 32.9 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 77.5 | $\%$ |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.37 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 531 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1870 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 12.6 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp 55
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 625.0
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 12.20
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

HCS7: Two-Lane Highways Release 7.4

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Northbound |
| From/To | SR 152/I-5 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | Existing (2016) |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$


Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | E |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.43 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 628 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 2210 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 15.1 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | veh $/ \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

| Total length of analysis segment, Lt | 3.4 | mi |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, | Lu | - | mi |
| Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl | - | mi |  |
| Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) | 41.5 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) | 80.8 |  |  |
| Level of service, LOSd (from above) | E |  |  |

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp 55
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 738.6
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 12.29
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

HCS7: Two-Lane Highways Release 7.4

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Southbound |
| From/To | I-5/SR 152 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | Existing (2016) |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.1 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.971 |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 410 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 625 |  | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) | -e-4) BPTSFd | 46.1 | \% |  |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 33.0 |  |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 59.2 | \% |  |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.23 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 338 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1190 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 7.9 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

| Total length of analysis segment, Lt | 3.4 | mi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu | - | mi |
| Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl | - | mi |
| Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) | 43.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) | 59.2 | D |
| Level of service, LOSd (from above) |  |  |

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp 55
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 397.7
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 11.97
Bicycle LOS F
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

HCS7: Two-Lane Highways Release 7.4

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Southbound |
| From/To | I-5/SR 152 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | Existing (2016) |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

| Direction | Analysis(d) | Opposing (o) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.1 | 1.0 |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.971 | 1.000 |  |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 | 1.00 | pc/h |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 351 | pc/h | 739 |  |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) | BPTSFd | 43.8 | $\%$ |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 28.1 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 52.8 | $\%$ |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.20 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 290 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1020 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 6.8 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

| Total length of analysis segment, Lt | 3.4 | mi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu | - | mi |
| Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl | - | 42.8 |
| Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) | 52.8 |  |
| Level of service, LOSd (from above) | D |  |

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp 55
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 340.9
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 11.89
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```


## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020 |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Northbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.18 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 64.2 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 2101 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1452 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.62 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 64.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 22.6 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.8 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 64.2 |  |  |

[^2]
## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020 |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Northbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.18 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 64.2 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 2200 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1520 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.65 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 64.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 23.7 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.8 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 64.2 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020 |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project Southbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.00 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 65.0 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1750 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1209 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.51 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 65.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 18.6 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.0 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 65.0 |  |  |

[^3]
## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020 |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project Southbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.00 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 65.0 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1100 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 760 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.32 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 65.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLC) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 11.7 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.0 | Level of Service (LOS) | B |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 65.0 |  |  |

[^4]Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
$\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Northbound |
| From/To | SR $152 / I-5$ |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | 2020 |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

| Direction | Analysis(d) | Opposing (o) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.0 | 1.1 |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 1.000 | 0.971 |  |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 | 1.00 | pc/h |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 625 | pc/h | 410 |  |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) | BPTSFd | 57.6 | $\%$ |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 32.9 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 77.5 | $\%$ |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.37 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 531 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1870 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 12.6 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 625.0
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 12.20
Bicycle LOS F
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
$\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Northbound |
| From/To | SR 152/I-5 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | 2020 |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$


Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | E |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.43 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 628 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 2210 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 15.1 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | veh $/ \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

| Total length of analysis segment, Lt | 3.4 | mi |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, | Lu | - | mi |
| Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl | - | mi |  |
| Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) | 41.5 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) | 80.8 |  |  |
| Level of service, LOSd (from above) | E |  |  |

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 738.6
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 12.29
Bicycle LOS F
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
$\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Southbound |
| From/To | I-5/SR 152 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | 2020 |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.1 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.971 |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 410 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 625 |  | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) | -e-4) BPTSFd | 46.1 | \% |  |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 33.0 |  |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 59.2 | \% |  |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.23 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 338 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1190 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 7.9 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

| Total length of analysis segment, Lt | 3.4 | mi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu | - | mi |
| Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl | - | mi |
| Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) | 43.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) | 59.2 | D |
| Level of service, LOSd (from above) |  |  |

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp 55
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 397.7
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 11.97
Bicycle LOS F
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
$\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Southbound |
| From/To | I-5/SR 152 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | 2020 |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

| Direction | Analysis(d) | Opposing (o) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.1 | 1.0 |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.971 | 1.000 |  |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 | 1.00 | pc/h |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 351 | pc/h | 739 |  |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) | BPTSFd | 43.8 | $\%$ |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 28.1 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 52.8 | $\%$ |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.20 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 290 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1020 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 6.8 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

| Total length of analysis segment, Lt | 3.4 | mi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu | - | mi |
| Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl | - | 42.8 |
| Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) | 52.8 |  |
| Level of service, LOSd (from above) | D |  |

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp 55
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 340.9
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 11.89
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```


## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+ReservoirRestriction |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Northbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.18 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 64.2 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 2101 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1452 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.62 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 64.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 22.6 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.8 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 64.2 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+ReservoirRestriction |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Northbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.18 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 64.2 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 2101 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1452 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.62 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 64.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 22.6 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.8 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 64.2 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+ReservoirRestriction |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project Southbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.00 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 65.0 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1751 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1210 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.51 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 65.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 18.6 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.0 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 65.0 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+ReservoirRestriction |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Eastbound SR 152 W of I-5 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.77 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.4 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1201 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 747 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.32 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 12.0 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.6 | Level of Service (LOS) | B |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.4 |  |  |

Copyright © 2018 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+ReservoirRestriction |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Eastbound SR 152 W of I-5 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.77 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.4 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 2008 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1249 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.54 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 20.0 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.6 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.4 |  |  |

[^8]
## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+ReservoirRestriction |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Westbound SR 152 W of I-5 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.77 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.4 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1601 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 996 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.43 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 16.0 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.6 | Level of Service (LOS) | B |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.4 |  |  |

Copyright © 2018 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+ReservoirRestriction |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Westbound SR 152 W of I-5 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.77 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.4 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 950 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 591 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.25 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frıc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 9.5 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.6 | Level of Service (LOS) | A |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.4 |  |  |

Copyright © 2018 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+ReservoirRestriction |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Eastbound SR 152 W of SR 33 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.87 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.1 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 201 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 125 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.05 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 2.0 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.9 | Level of Service (LOS) | A |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.1 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+ReservoirRestriction |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Eastbound SR 152 W of SR 33 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.87 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.1 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1916 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1192 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.51 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 19.2 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.9 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.1 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+ReservoirRestriction |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Westbound SR 152 W of SR 33 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.87 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.1 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1601 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 996 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.43 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 16.0 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.9 | Level of Service (LOS) | B |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.1 |  |  |

Copyright © 2018 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+ReservoirRestriction |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Westbound SR 152 W of SR 33 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.87 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.1 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 650 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fнv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 404 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.17 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 6.5 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.9 | Level of Service (LOS) | A |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.1 |  |  |

Copyright © 2018 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
$\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Northbound |
| From/To | SR $152 / I-5$ |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | $2020+$ ReservoirRestriction Alt |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.0 |  | 1.1 |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 1.000 |  | 0.971 |  |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 626 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 411 |  | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) | Le-4) BPTSFd | 57.7 | \% |  |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 32.8 |  |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 77.5 | \% |  |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.37 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 532 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1873 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 12.6 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 626.1
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 12.20
Bicycle LOS F
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
$\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Northbound |
| From/To | SR $152 / I-5$ |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | $2020+$ ReservoirRestriction Alt |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

| Direction | Analysis(d) | Opposing (o) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.0 | 1.1 |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 1.000 | 0.971 |  |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 | 1.00 | pc/h |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 743 | pc/h | 351 |  |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) | BPTSFd | 62.0 | $\%$ |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 27.9 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd | 80.9 | $\%$ |  |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | E |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.44 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 632 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 2224 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 15.3 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis $\qquad$

| Total length of analysis segment, Lt | 3.4 | mi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu | - | mi |
| Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl | - | mi |
| Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) | 41.4 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) | 80.9 | E |

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane__
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 743.2
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 12.29
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
$\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Southbound |
| From/To | I-5/SR 152 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | $2020+$ ReservoirRestriction |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.1 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.971 |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 411 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 626 |  | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) | Le-4) BPTSFd | 47.2 | \% |  |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 33.0 |  |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 60.3 | \% |  |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.23 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 339 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1193 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 7.9 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

| Total length of analysis segment, Lt | 3.4 | mi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu | - | mi |
| Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl | - | 42.9 |
| Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) | 60.3 |  |
| Level of service, LOSd (from above) | D |  |

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp 55
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 398.9
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 11.97
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

Phone:
E-Mail:

Fax:

Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Southbound |
| From/To | I-5/SR 152 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | $2020+$ ReservoirRestriction |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |


| Highway class C | Class 1 |  | Peak hour factor, PHF | 0.88 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shoulder width | 15.0 | ft | \% Trucks and buses | 30 | \% |
| Lane width | 12.0 | ft | \% Trucks crawling | 0.0 | \% |
| Segment length | 3.4 | mi | Truck crawl speed | 0.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Terrain type | Level |  | \% Recreational vehicles | 4 | \% |
| Grade: Length | - | mi | \% No-passing zones | 62 | \% |
| Up/down | - | \% | Access point density | 9 | /mi |
| Analysis directi | ion volume, | d 300 | veh/h |  |  |
| Opposing directi | ion volume, | - 654 | veh/h |  |  |

Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$


Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.20 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 290 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1020 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 6.8 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

| Total length of analysis segment, Lt | 3.4 | mi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu | - | mi |
| Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl | - | mi |
| Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) | 42.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) | 53.6 |  |
| Level of service, LOSd (from above) | D |  |

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp 55
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 340.9
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 11.89
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```


## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(w/ <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Northbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.18 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 64.2 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 2104 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1454 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.62 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 64.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frıc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 22.6 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.8 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 64.2 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(w/ <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Northbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.18 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 64.2 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 2200 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1520 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.65 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 64.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frıc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 23.7 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.8 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 64.2 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(w/ <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project Southbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.00 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 65.0 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1754 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1212 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.52 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 65.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 18.6 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.0 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 65.0 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(w/ <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project Southbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.00 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 65.0 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1122 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (frv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 775 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (Cadj), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.33 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 65.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 11.9 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.0 | Level of Service (LOS) | B |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 65.0 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(w/ <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Eastbound SR 152 W of I-5 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.77 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.4 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1206 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 750 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.32 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 12.0 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.6 | Level of Service (LOS) | B |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.4 |  |  |

[^13]
## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(w/ <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Eastbound SR 152 W of I-5 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.77 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.4 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 2044 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1272 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.55 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 20.4 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.6 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.4 |  |  |

HCS7 TiN Freeways Version 7.4
Generated: 7/12/2018 3:50:29 PM

## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(w <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Westbound SR 152 W of I-5 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.77 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.4 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1606 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 999 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.43 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 16.0 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.6 | Level of Service (LOS) | B |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.4 |  |  |

[^14]
## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(w <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Westbound SR 152 W of I-5 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.77 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.4 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 950 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 591 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.25 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 9.5 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.6 | Level of Service (LOS) | A |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.4 |  |  |

[^15]
## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(w/ <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Eastbound SR 152 W of SR 33 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.87 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.1 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 210 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 130 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.06 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frıc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 2.1 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.9 | Level of Service (LOS) | A |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.1 |  |  |

HCS7 TiN Freeways Version 7.4
Generated: 7/12/2018 4:45:31 PM

## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(w/ <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Eastbound SR 152 W of SR 33 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.87 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.1 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1966 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1223 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.53 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frıc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 19.7 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.9 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.1 |  |  |

HCS7 TiN Freeways Version 7.4
Generated: 7/12/2018 4:46:20 PM

## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(w/ <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Westbound SR 152 W of SR 33 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.87 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.1 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1610 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1002 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.43 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 16.1 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.9 | Level of Service (LOS) | B |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.1 |  |  |

HCS7 TiN Freeways Version 7.4
Generated: 7/12/2018 4:56:01 PM

## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(w/ <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Westbound SR 152 W of SR 33 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.87 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.1 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 650 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 404 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.17 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frıc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 6.5 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.9 | Level of Service (LOS) | A |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.1 |  |  |

HCS7 TiN Freeways Version 7.4
Generated: 7/12/2018 4:56:43 PM

HCS7: Two-Lane Highways Release 7.4

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Northbound |
| From/To | SR 152/I-5 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | $2020+$ CrestRaise w/ Shear Key |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

| Direction Analysis(d) |  |  | Opposing (o) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 630 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 402 |  | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | Le-4) BPTSFd | d 56.8 | \% |  |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 33.0 |  |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 76.9 | \% |  |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.37 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 535 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1884 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 12.7 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis $\qquad$

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane__
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 629.5
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 12.21
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

HCS7: Two-Lane Highways Release 7.4

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Northbound |
| From/To | SR $152 / I-5$ |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | $2020+$ CrestRaise w/o Shear Key |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |


| Highway class C | Class 1 |  | Peak hour factor, PHF | 0.88 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shoulder width | 15.0 | ft | \% Trucks and buses | 30 | \% |
| Lane width | 12.0 | ft | \% Trucks crawling | 0.0 | \% |
| Segment length | 3.4 | mi | Truck crawl speed | 0.0 | mi/hr |
| Terrain type | Level |  | \% Recreational vehicles | 4 | \% |
| Grade: Length | - | mi | \% No-passing zones | 60 | \% |
| Up/down | - | \% | Access point density | 9 | /mi |
| Analysis directi | ion volume, | d 672 | veh/h |  |  |
| Opposing directi | ion volume, | - 300 | veh/h |  |  |

Average Travel Speed


| Direction | Analysis(d) | Opposing (o) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.0 | 1.1 |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 1.000 | 0.971 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 | 1.00 | pc/h |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 764 | pc/h | 351 |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) | BPTSFd | 62.9 | $\%$ |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 27.2 |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd | 81.5 | $\%$ |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | E |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.45 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 649 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 2285 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 15.7 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

| Total length of analysis segment, Lt | 3.4 | mi |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, | Lu | - | mi |
| Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl | - | 41.3 | mi |
| Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) | 81.5 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) | E |  |  |

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane__
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 763.6
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 12.30
Bicycle LOS F
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

HCS7: Two-Lane Highways Release 7.4

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Southbound |
| From/To | I-5/SR 152 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | $2020+$ CrestRaise(w/ ShearKey) |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  |  | Opposing (o) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.3 |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adj. factor, (note-5) fHV | - 0.917 |  |  | 0.971 |  |  |  |
| Grade adj. factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 439 |  |  |  | 648 |  | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field measured speed, (note-3) S FM |  | - |  | mi/h |  |  |  |
| Observed total demand, (note-3) V |  | - |  | veh/h |  |  |  |
| Estimated Free-Flow Speed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base free-flow speed, (note-3) BFFS |  | 55.0 |  | mi/h |  |  |  |
| Adj. for lane and shoulder width, (note-3) | -3) fLS | 0.0 |  | mi/h |  |  |  |
| Adj. for access point density, (note-3) fA | fA | 2.3 |  | mi/h |  |  |  |
| Free-flow speed, FFSd |  | 52.8 |  | mi/h |  |  |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 1.4 |  | mi/h |  |  |  |
| Average travel speed, ATSd |  | 42.9 |  | mi/h |  |  |  |
| Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS |  | 81.3 |  | \% |  |  |  |

$\qquad$


Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.24 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 342 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1204 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 8.0 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp 55
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 402.3
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 11.98
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

HCS7: Two-Lane Highways Release 7.4

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Southbound |
| From/To | I-5/SR 152 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | $2020+$ CrestRaise(w/ ShearKey) |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

| Direction | Analysis(d) | Opposing (o) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.1 | 1.0 |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.971 | 1.000 |  |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 351 | pc/h | 764 | pc/h |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) | BPTSFd | 44.6 | $\%$ |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 27.3 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 53.2 | $\%$ |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.20 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 290 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1020 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 6.8 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp 55
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 340.9
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 11.89
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```


## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | 7/12/2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(wo <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Northbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.18 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 64.2 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 2104 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1454 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.62 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 64.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frıc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 22.6 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.8 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 64.2 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | 7/12/2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(wo <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Northbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.18 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 64.2 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 2200 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1520 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2342 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.65 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 64.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 23.7 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.8 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 64.2 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | 7/12/2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(wo <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project Southbound I-5 S of SR 152 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.00 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 65.0 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 10 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1754 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (frv) | 0.770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1212 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 29.85 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (Cadj), pc/h/ln | 2350 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.52 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 65.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frLc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 18.6 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 0.0 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 65.0 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | 7/12/2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(wo <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Eastbound SR 152 W of I-5 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.77 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.4 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1206 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 750 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.32 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 12.0 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.6 | Level of Service (LOS) | B |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.4 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | 7/12/2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(wo <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Eastbound SR 152 W of I-5 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.77 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.4 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 2034 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1266 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.54 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 20.3 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.6 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.4 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | 7/12/2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(wo <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Westbound SR 152 W of I-5 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.77 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.4 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1606 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 999 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.43 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 16.0 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.6 | Level of Service (LOS) | B |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.4 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | 7/12/2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(wo <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Westbound SR 152 W of I-5 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.77 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.4 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 950 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 591 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2324 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.25 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frıc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 9.5 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.6 | Level of Service (LOS) | A |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.4 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | 7/12/2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(wo <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Eastbound SR 152 W of SR 33 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.87 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.1 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 210 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 130 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.06 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frıc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 2.1 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.9 | Level of Service (LOS) | A |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.1 |  |  |
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## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | 7/12/2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(wo <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Eastbound SR 152 W of SR 33 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.87 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.1 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1950 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1213 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.52 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (fLw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 19.5 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.9 | Level of Service (LOS) | C |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.1 |  |  |

[^21]
## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | 7/12/2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(wo <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Westbound SR 152 W of SR 33 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | 2 | Terrain Type | Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | - | Percent Grade, \% | - |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.87 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.1 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 1610 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 1002 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.43 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frıc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 16.1 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.9 | Level of Service (LOS) | B |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.1 |  |  |

[^22]
## HCS7 Basic Freeway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | SHC | Date | 7/12/2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | CDM Smith | Analysis Year | 2020+CrestRaise(wo <br> ShearKey) |
| Jurisdiction | CDM Smith | Time Period Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Project Description | B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project - Westbound SR 152 W of SR 33 |  |  |

## Geometric Data

| Number of Lanes (N), In | Terrain Type | Level |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (L), ft | Percent Grade, \% | - |  |
| Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed | Base | Grade Length, mi | - |
| Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h | 65.0 | Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi | 0.87 |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h | 62.1 |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft | 9 |  |  |

## Adjustment Factors

| Driver Population | All Familiar | Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF) | 1.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weather Type | Non-Severe Weather | Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF) | 1.000 |
| Incident Type | No Incident | Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF) | 1.000 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Demand Volume (V), veh/h | 650 | Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fhv) | 0.855 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor (PHF) | 0.94 | Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln | 404 |
| Total Trucks, \% | 17.00 | Capacity (c), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), \% | - | Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln | 2321 |
| Tractor-Trailers (TT), \% | - | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) | 0.17 |
| Passenger Car Equivalent (ET) | 2.000 |  |  |

## Speed and Density

| Lane Width Adjustment (flw) | 0.0 | Average Speed (S), mi/h | 62.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (frıc) | 0.0 | Density (D), pc/mi/ln | 6.5 |
| Total Ramp Density Adjustment | 2.9 | Level of Service (LOS) | A |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h | 62.1 |  |  |

[^23]HCS7: Two-Lane Highways Release 7.4

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Northbound |
| From/To | SR $152 / I-5$ |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | $2020+$ CrestRaise(wo ShearKey) |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

| Direction Analysis(d) |  |  | Opposing (o) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 630 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 402 |  | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | Le-4) BPTSFd | d 56.8 | \% |  |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 33.0 |  |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 76.9 | \% |  |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.37 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 535 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1884 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 12.7 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis $\qquad$

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane__
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 629.5
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 12.21
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

HCS7: Two-Lane Highways Release 7.4

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Northbound |
| From/To | SR 152/I-5 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | $2020+$ CrestRaise (wo ShearKey) |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed


| Direction | Analysis(d) | Opposing (o) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.0 | 1.1 |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 1.000 | 0.971 |  |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 | 1.00 | pc/h |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 757 | pc/h | 351 |  |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) | BPTSFd | 62.6 | $\%$ |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 27.4 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 81.3 | $\%$ |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | E |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.44 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 643 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 2264 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 15.6 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

| Total length of analysis segment, Lt | 3.4 | mi |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu | - | mi |  |
| Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl | - | 41.3 | mi |
| Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) | 81.3 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) | E |  |  |
| Level of service, LOSd (from above) |  |  |  |

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane__
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane_
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 756.8
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 12.30
Bicycle LOS F
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

HCS7: Two-Lane Highways Release 7.4

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Southbound |
| From/To | I-5/SR 152 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | $2020+$ CrestRaise(wo ShearKey) |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$


Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.24 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 342 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1204 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 8.0 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp 55
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 402.3
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 11.98
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

HCS7: Two-Lane Highways Release 7.4

Phone:
Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | SHC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CDM Smith |
| Date Performed | $7 / 12 / 2018$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak |
| Highway | SR 33 Southbound |
| From/To | I-5/SR 152 |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| Analysis Year | $2020+$ CrestRaise(wo ShearKey) |
| Description B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams M |  |



Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$


Level of Service and Other Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Level of service, LOS | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume to capacity ratio, v/c | 0.20 |  |
| Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 | 290 | veh-mi |
| Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 | 1020 | veh-mi |
| Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 | 6.8 | veh-h |
| Capacity from ATS, CdATS | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Directional Capacity | 1700 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Passing Lane Analysis

| Total length of analysis segment, Lt | 3.4 | mi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu | - | mi |
| Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl | - | mi |
| Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) | 42.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) | 52.7 | D |
| Level of service, LOSd (from above) |  |  |

__Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane___
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl -
$\ldots$ ___ Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane ___ _ _
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl E Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 - veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp 55
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P 3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 340.9
Effective width of outside lane, We 42.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.79
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 11.89
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |










## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR, WBT] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

|  | ＊ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\leqslant$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | F＇ |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 201 | 6 | 15 | 1585 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 201 | 6 | 15 | 1585 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1633 | 1633 | 1366 | 1648 | 1648 | 1870 | 1870 | 818 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 218 | 7 | 16 | 1723 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 18 | 18 | 36 | 17 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 73 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 2 | 2607 | 83 | 23 | 2846 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 12 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.02 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3069 | 98 | 1301 | 3214 | 0 | 1417 | 0 | 693 | 0 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 110 | 115 | 16 | 1723 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1552 | 1616 | 1301 | 1566 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 693 | 0 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.06 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 2 | 1318 | 1372 | 23 | 2846 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 12 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.70 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 124 | 1318 | 1372 | 91 | 2846 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 130 | 110 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 52.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 53.1 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 31.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 165.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（ $50 \%$ ），veh／In | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 84.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 53.1 | 0.0 | 218.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | F | A | A | D | A | F | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 225 |  |  | 1739 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 1.4 |  |  | 2.7 |  |  | 190.9 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 0.0 | 102.4 |  | 5.3 | 6.4 | 96.0 |  | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 7.5 | 91.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 | 91.5 |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 0.0 | 14.0 |  | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.2 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 20.7 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR, WBT] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \％ | $\%$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \％ | $1$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 1897 | 2 | 3 | 647 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 1897 | 2 | 3 | 647 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1648 | 1648 | 1648 | 1648 | 1648 | 1870 | 1870 | 1796 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 2062 | 2 | 3 | 703 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 2 | 2709 | 3 | 6 | 2785 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 51 | 43 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.00 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3210 | 3 | 1570 | 3214 | 0 | 1417 | 0 | 1522 | 0 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 1006 | 1058 | 3 | 703 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1566 | 1647 | 1570 | 1566 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1522 | 0 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 30.3 | 30.4 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 30.3 | 30.4 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 2 | 1321 | 1391 | 6 | 2785 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 51 | 43 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.48 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 123 | 1321 | 1391 | 109 | 2785 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 129 | 110 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 53.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 51.5 | 0.0 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 47.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（ $50 \%$ ），veh／ln | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 101.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 51.6 | 0.0 | 65.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | F | A | A | D | A | E | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 2064 |  |  | 706 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 7.8 |  |  | 1.5 |  |  | 62.8 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 0.0 | 100.9 |  | 7.5 | 4.9 | 96.0 |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 7.5 | 91.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 | 91.5 |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 0.0 | 5.5 |  | 3.8 | 2.2 | 32.4 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 4.8 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.2 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR, WBT] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \％ | （ | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{*}+$ | 「 |  | ${ }_{*} \uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 210 | 6 | 18 | 1582 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 210 | 6 | 18 | 1582 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1633 | 1633 | 1174 | 1648 | 1648 | 1870 | 1870 | 1485 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 228 | 7 | 20 | 1720 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 18 | 18 | 49 | 17 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 28 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 2 | 2551 | 78 | 23 | 2792 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 50 | 42 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.02 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3074 | 94 | 1118 | 3214 | 0 | 1417 | 0 | 1259 | 0 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 115 | 120 | 20 | 1720 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1552 | 1616 | 1118 | 1566 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1259 | 0 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.06 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 2 | 1288 | 1342 | 23 | 2792 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 50 | 42 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.86 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 121 | 1288 | 1342 | 76 | 2792 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 127 | 108 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 53.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 52.3 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 55.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 109.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 52.3 | 0.0 | 91.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | F | A | A | D | A | F | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 235 |  |  | 1740 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 1.9 |  |  | 3.7 |  |  | 90.4 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 0.0 | 102.8 |  | 7.4 | 6.8 | 96.0 |  | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 7.5 | 91.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 | 91.5 |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 0.0 | 16.6 |  | 4.4 | 4.0 | 3.5 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 20.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR, WBT] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $4$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中t |  |  | $\leqslant$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 1906 | 2 | 21 | 629 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 1906 | 2 | 21 | 629 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Initial Q $(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1648 | 1648 | 1870 | 1648 | 1648 | 1870 | 1870 | 1856 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 2072 | 2 | 23 | 684 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 17 | 17 | 2 | 17 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 2 | 2624 | 3 | 41 | 2757 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 73 | 62 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.02 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3210 | 3 | 1781 | 3214 | 0 | 1417 | 0 | 1572 | 0 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 1010 | 1064 | 23 | 684 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1566 | 1648 | 1781 | 1566 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1572 | 0 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 2 | 1280 | 1347 | 41 | 2757 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 73 | 62 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.57 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 119 | 1280 | 1347 | 119 | 2757 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 125 | 106 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 54.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 51.9 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 11.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.0 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 65.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 72.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | B | B | E | A | A | D | A | E | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 2074 |  |  | 707 |  |  | 53 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 10.1 |  |  | 3.3 |  |  | 70.5 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 0.0 | 103.1 |  | 8.9 | 7.1 | 96.0 |  | 8.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 7.5 | 91.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 | 91.5 |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 0.0 | 5.7 |  | 5.4 | 3.4 | 39.2 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 4.6 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.1 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR, WBT] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \％ | $\%$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \％ | $1$ | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 㻢 |  | \％ | 㻢 |  |  | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 212 | 6 | 18 | 1582 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 212 | 6 | 18 | 1582 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1633 | 1633 | 1174 | 1648 | 1648 | 1870 | 1870 | 1559 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 230 | 7 | 20 | 1720 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 18 | 18 | 49 | 17 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 2 | 2544 | 77 | 23 | 2783 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 56 | 47 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.02 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3075 | 93 | 1118 | 3214 | 0 | 1417 | 0 | 1321 | 0 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 116 | 121 | 20 | 1720 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1552 | 1616 | 1118 | 1566 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1321 | 0 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.06 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 2 | 1284 | 1337 | 23 | 2783 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 56 | 47 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.86 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 121 | 1284 | 1337 | 76 | 2783 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 127 | 107 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 54.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 55.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（ $50 \%$ ），veh／ln | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 109.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 91.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | F | A | A | D | A | F | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 237 |  |  | 1740 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 1.9 |  |  | 3.8 |  |  | 90.8 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 0.0 | 102.8 |  | 7.8 | 6.8 | 96.0 |  | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 7.5 | 91.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 | 91.5 |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 0.0 | 17.0 |  | 4.8 | 4.0 | 3.5 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 20.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR, WBT] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \％ |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{*}+$ | 「 |  | ${ }_{*} \uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 1911 | 2 | 26 | 624 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 1911 | 2 | 26 | 624 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1648 | 1648 | 1870 | 1648 | 1648 | 1870 | 1870 | 1856 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 2077 | 2 | 28 | 678 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 17 | 17 | 2 | 17 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 2 | 2590 | 2 | 46 | 2731 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 90 | 77 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.03 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3210 | 3 | 1781 | 3214 | 0 | 1417 | 0 | 1572 | 0 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 1013 | 1066 | 28 | 678 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1566 | 1648 | 1781 | 1566 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1572 | 0 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 40.1 | 40.2 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 40.1 | 40.2 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 2 | 1263 | 1329 | 46 | 2731 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 90 | 77 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.61 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 118 | 1263 | 1329 | 118 | 2731 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 124 | 105 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 54.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 51.5 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 12.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.0 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 66.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 51.7 | 0.0 | 77.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | B | B | E | A | A | D | A | E | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 2079 |  |  | 706 |  |  | 65 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 11.3 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 75.3 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 0.0 | 103.4 |  | 10.0 | 7.4 | 96.0 |  | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 7.5 | 91.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 | 91.5 |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 0.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.3 | 3.8 | 42.2 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 4.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.5 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    Source: Merced County 2013.
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